UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,

Debtors.¹

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, et al.,

Defendants.

Chapter 11

Case No. 19-23649 (RDD)

(Jointly Administered)

Adv. Pro. No. 19-08289

EIGHTEENTH AMENDED ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) GRANTING MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Upon the motion, dated September 18, 2019 ("September 18, 2019 Motion"), of Purdue Pharma L.P. and certain affiliated debtors, as debtors and debtors in possession herein (collectively, "Debtors"), that are plaintiffs in this adversary proceeding, for an order pursuant to section 105(a) of title 11 of the United States Code ("Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 7065 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure ("Bankruptcy Rules"), to (i) enjoin the governmental defendants in this adversary proceeding ("Governmental Defendants") from the commencement or continuation of their active judicial, administrative, or other actions or

_

¹ The Debtors in these cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's registration number in the applicable jurisdiction, are as follows: Purdue Pharma L.P. (7484), Purdue Pharma Inc. (7486), Purdue Transdermal Technologies L.P. (1868), Purdue Pharma Manufacturing L.P. (3821), Purdue Pharmaceuticals L.P. (0034), Imbrium Therapeutics L.P. (8810), Adlon Therapeutics L.P. (6745), Greenfield BioVentures L.P. (6150), Seven Seas Hill Corp. (4591), Ophir Green Corp. (4594), Purdue Pharma of Puerto Rico (3925), Avrio Health L.P. (4140), Purdue Pharmaceutical Products L.P. (3902), Purdue Neuroscience Company (4712), Nayatt Cove Lifescience Inc. (7805), Button Land L.P. (7502), Rhodes Associates L.P. (N/A), Paul Land Inc. (7425), Quidnick Land L.P. (7584), Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P. (6166), Rhodes Technologies (7143), UDF LP (0495), SVC Pharma LP (5717) and SVC Pharma Inc. (4014). The Debtors' corporate headquarters is located at One Stamford Forum, 201 Tresser Boulevard, Stamford, CT 06901.

proceedings against the Debtors that were or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case ("Governmental Actions") that are included in the chart annexed hereto as Appendix II, as well as the commencement or continuation of any other actions against the Debtors alleging substantially similar facts or causes of action as those alleged in the Governmental Actions, and (ii) enjoin the Governmental Defendants and the private defendants ("Private Defendants") in this adversary proceeding from the commencement or continuation of their active judicial, administrative, or other actions or proceedings, included in the chart annexed hereto as Appendix III, and the commencement or continuation of other actions alleging substantially similar facts or causes of action as those alleged in the actions identified in Appendix II and Appendix III, against current or former (a) owners (including any trusts and their respective trustees and beneficiaries), (b) directors, (c) officers, (d) employees, and (e) other similar associated entities of the Debtors that were or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case ("Related Parties," as identified in Appendix III,² and the claims

² The Related Parties are: The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Purdue Pharma Technologies Inc.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings Inc.; BR Holdings Associates L.P.; BR Holdings Associates Inc.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company, Inc.; Beacon Company; PRA Holdings Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates Inc.; Purdue Holdings L.P.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; MNP Consulting Limited; Richard S. Sackler; the Estate of Jonathan D. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; the Estate of Beverly Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Marianna Sackler; Estate of Mortimer Sackler; Estate of Raymond Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Raymond Sackler Trust; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees Under Trust Agreement Dated November 5, 1964; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees Under Trust Agreement Dated November 5, 1974; Paulo Costa; Cecil Pickett; Ralph Snyderman; Judith Lewent; Craig Landau; Mark Timney; Stuart D. Baker; Frank Peter Boer; John Stewart; Russell Gasdia; Marv Kelly; Shelli Liston; Heather Weaver; Doug Powers; Lori Fuller; Rodney Davis; Brandon Worley; Donald Leathers; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; LeAvis Sullivan; Jeffrey Ward; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Mark Waldrop; Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes; Carol Debord; Jeff Waugh; Shane Cook; James David Haddox; Aida Maxsam; Tessa Rios; Amy K. Thompson; Joe Coggins; Lyndsie

against them described in this paragraph, the "Related-Party Claims"); and the Court having jurisdiction to decide the Motion and the relief requested therein under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(a)-(b) and 1334(b); and there being due and sufficient notice of the Motion; and the Court having reviewed the Complaint, the September 18, 2019 Motion, the Debtors' brief in support of the September 18, 2019 Motion, the declarations in support of the September 18, 2019 Motion, and other evidence and argument submitted by the Debtors in support thereof; all pleadings filed in support of the September 18, 2019 Motion; and all objections filed in opposition or partial opposition to the September 18, 2019 Motion, as well as all filed letters in response to the September 18, 2019 Motion; and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the September 18, 2019 Motion's request for entry of a preliminary injunction on October 11, 2019 (the "October 11, 2019 Hearing") and at the hearing held on November 6, 2019 (the "November 6, 2019 Hearing"); and, after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the foregoing hearings, good and sufficient cause appearing having entered Orders on October 11, 2019 granting the Motion in part and on October 18, 2019 and November 6, 2019 amending such Order; and upon a motion dated March 4, 2020 by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction for 180 days, until October 5, 2020 (the "March 4, **2020 Motion**"); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the March 4, 2020 Motion (the "March 18, 2020 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the March 18, 2020 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order on March 30, 2020 granting the relief requested in the March 4, 2020 Motion; and upon a motion dated September 16, 2020 by the Debtors to

Fowler; Mitchell "Chip" Fisher; Rebecca Sterling; Vanessa Weatherspoon; Chris Hargrave; Brandon Hassenfuss; Joe Read; Andrew T. Stokes; Nathan C. Grace; Jaclyn P. Gatling; Leslie Roberson; Barbara C. Miller; Briann Parson-Barnes; Becca Beck Harville; Lindsey Bonifacio; Tammy Heyward; James Speed; Damon Storhoff; Diana C. Muller; and Draupadi Daley.

extend the Preliminary Injunction for 147 days, until March 1, 2020 (the "September 16, 2020 Motion"); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the September 30, 2020 Motion (the "September 30, 2020 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the September 30, 2020 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order on October 1, 2020 granting the relief requested in the September 16, 2020 Motion; and upon a motion dated February 14, 2021 by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction for 23 days, until March 24, 2021 (the "February 14, 2021 **Motion**"); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the February 14, 2021 Motion (the "March 1, 2021 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the March 1, 2021 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order on March 1, 2021 granting the relief requested in the February 14, 2021 Motion; and upon a motion dated March 12, 2021 by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction for 28 days, until April 21, 2021 (the "March 12, 2021 Motion"); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the March 12, 2021 Motion (the "March 24, 2021 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the March 24, 2021 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order on March 24, 2021 granting the relief requested in the March 12, 2021 Motion and overruling the objections of the Non-Consenting States [ECF No. 233], the Ad Hoc Committee on Accountability [ECF No. 232], and certain Tennessee Public Officials [ECF No. 231] to the March 12, 2021 Motion; and upon a motion dated April 7, 2021 by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction for 29 days, until May 20, 2021 (the "April 7, 2021) Motion"); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the April 7, 2021 Motion (the "April 21, 2021 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the

reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the April 21, 2021 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order on April 21, 2021 granting the relief requested in the April 7, 2021 Motion; and such Orders having contemplated a procedure to amend the Orders further; and the Court having entered Orders on November 20, 2019, December 9, 2019, January 2, 2020, February 17, 2020, March 4, 2020, April 14, 2020, May 18, 2020, July 20, 2020, August 31, 2020, October 1, 2020, October 31, 2020, and March 1, 2021 amending such Orders further and enjoining actions brought by Additional Plaintiffs; and upon the Court having reviewed the Debtors' motion to extend the Preliminary Injunction until and including June 16, 2021 filed on May 6, 2021 ("May 6, 2021 Motion," together with the September 18, 2019 Motion, March 4, 2020 Motion, September 16, 2020 Motion, the February 14, 2021 Motion, the March 12, 2021 Motion, and the April 7, 2021 Motion, the "Motions"), the Debtors' brief in support of the May 6, 2021 Motion, all pleadings filed in support of the May 6, 2021 Motion, and all objections filed in opposition or partial opposition to the May 6, 2021 Motion; and upon the record made at the telephonic hearing held by the Court on May 20, 2021 on the May 6, 2021 Motion (the "May 20, **2021 Hearing**," together with the October 11, 2019 Hearing, the November 6, 2019 Hearing, March 18, 2020 Hearing, September 30, 2020 Hearing, March 1, 2021 Hearing, the March 24, 2021 Hearing, and the April 21, 2021 Hearing, the "Hearings") and all of the proceedings herein; and after due deliberation and for the reasons stated by the Court at the May 20, 2021 Hearing, the Court having determined that the Debtors have established good and sufficient legal and factual grounds to amend and extend such orders as provided therein and grant the Debtors' request to amend and extend the orders as provided in this Amended Order, which amends and supersedes the prior orders. Now, therefore, the Court finds and concludes as follows:

- (a) The Defendants in this adversary proceeding are the Governmental Defendants and the Private Defendants that, along with the Additional Plaintiffs, are listed in the "Underlying Plaintiffs" column of each of the charts annexed hereto as Appendix II and Appendix III, with such Appendices being made a part of and incorporated in this Order. The Defendants in this adversary proceeding and the Additional Plaintiffs are all plaintiffs in judicial, administrative, or other actions or proceedings that seek to hold the Debtors and/or the Related Parties, as identified in Appendix III, liable in connection with claims and/or causes of action arising out of or otherwise related to the Debtors' prescription opioid business.
- (b) The Court has jurisdiction over this adversary proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(a)-(b) and 1334(b). This adversary proceeding is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).³
- (c) The Debtors have demonstrated that the continuation of the active litigation against them and the Related Parties, identified in Appendix II and Appendix III, respectively, would result in irreparable harm to the Debtors and their reorganization.
- (d) The representatives of the Raymond Sackler family and of the Mortimer Sackler family (collectively, the "Sackler Families") agreed on the record at the October 11, 2019 Hearing to toll all applicable statutes of limitations and similar time limits on the commencement of Additional Actions against any member of the Sackler Families, and to treat as inoperative all deadlines (including deadlines for appeals) in any currently pending Related Party Claim against any member of the Sackler Families, for the duration of this preliminary injunction.

³ The Court does not hereby make any determination that the Governmental Actions and Related-Party Claims are core proceedings.

- (e) Accordingly, this Court finds it appropriate to extend the preliminary injunction as provided herein pursuant to section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Rule 7065 of the Bankruptcy Rules.
- (f) The legal and factual bases set forth in the Complaint, the Motions, other supporting papers, and at the Hearings establish just cause for the relief granted herein.
- Columbia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Nevada, North Carolina, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Wisconsin, the Ad Hoc Group of Non-Consenting States (as listed on the October 11, 2019 Verified Statement pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2019 filed under Docket No. 296 of Case No. 19-23649), the ad hoc committee of government and other contingent litigation claimants and each of its members (as listed on the October 10, 2019 Verified Statement pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2019 filed under Docket No. 279 of Case No. 19-23649), and the Multi-State Governmental Entities Group and each of its members⁴ (as listed on the October 30, 2019 Verified Statement pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2019 filed under Docket No. 409 of Case No. 19-23649) (collectively, the "Voluntarily Bound Parties") have each consented and agreed to continue to abide by the terms of the Seventeenth Amended

⁴ The following members of the Multi-State Governmental Entities Group are not Consenting Parties and are instead bound to the terms of this Order until June 16, 2021: (1) Bryant C. Dunaway, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirteenth Judicial District, Tennessee; (2) Jennings H. Jones, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Sixteenth Judicial District, Tennessee; (3) Robert J. Carter, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Seventeenth Judicial District, Tennessee; (4) Brent A. Cooper, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Twenty-Second Judicial District, Tennessee; and (5) Lisa S. Zavogiannis, in her official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirty-First Judicial District, Tennessee.

Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion for a Preliminary Injunction, without the need to have any order entered against them.

Based on these findings, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that the Governmental Defendants, other than those who are Voluntarily Bound Parties, the Private Defendants, and the Additional Plaintiffs that have been bound by the Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, and Seventh, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth, Eleventh, Twelfth, Thirteenth, Fourteenth, Fifteenth, Sixteenth, and Seventeenth Amended Orders are prohibited and enjoined from (i) the commencement or continuation of their active judicial, administrative, or other actions or proceedings against the Debtors and/or Related Parties that were or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case under this title against the Debtors and/or the Related Parties arising from or in any way relating to the Debtors' prescription opioid business, including the actions reflected in Appendix II and Appendix III, as well as (ii) from commencing or continuing any other actions against the Debtors or Related Parties alleging substantially similar facts or causes of action as those alleged in actions reflected in Appendix II and Appendix III, in each case through and including Wednesday, June 16, 2021. The preliminary injunction period may be extended by further order of the Court.

ORDERED, that the May 6, 2021 Motion constitutes a joint motion of the Debtors and UCC to extend the stay beyond the Initial Stay Period as provided in paragraph 2 of the Amended and Restated Case Stipulation Among the Debtors, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors and Certain Related Parties, *In re: Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al.*, Case No. 19:23649 (RDD) (Nov. 20, 2019) [ECF No. 518] ("UCC Stipulation"). All obligations under the UCC Stipulation, inclusive of obligations of any Covered Party as defined therein, that remain in effect during the Stay Period, as defined therein, shall remain in full force and effect

so long as the Preliminary Injunction, as amended and extended, remains in effect. For the avoidance of doubt, the Initial Stay Period as defined in the UCC Stipulation expired on April 8, 2020.

ORDERED, that the Debtors in these chapter 11 cases continue to be subject to the Voluntary Injunction annexed hereto as Appendix I through and including June 16, 2021.

ORDERED, that the Debtors need not give security in connection with this injunctive relief.

ORDERED, that this Order shall be promptly filed in the Clerk's Office and entered into the record.

ORDERED, that the Debtors are authorized to take all steps necessary or appropriate to carry out this Order.

ORDERED, that nothing in this Order shall prevent the Debtors from seeking a further extension of the requested injunction.

ORDERED, that if, while the preliminary injunction provided for in this Order is effective, either (i) any inactive litigation currently pending against the Debtors or Related Parties becomes active, or (ii) any new action is commenced against the Debtors or Related Parties (in either case, an "Additional Action"), the Debtors may promptly serve the plaintiff or plaintiffs in such Additional Action ("Applicable Plaintiff") with a copy of the Complaint, the Motions, the Debtors' memoranda of law in support of the Motions, and this Order (the "Service Documents"). The Debtors shall file a notice of such service on the docket promptly after service. If the Applicable Plaintiff in such Additional Action does not file and serve an objection within seven (7) days of service of the Service Documents, the Court may determine whether such Additional Action should be enjoined pursuant to this Order without further

proceedings. If the Applicable Plaintiff files and serves an objection, the Debtors shall have the right to file and serve a response to the objection within seven (7) days of service of the objection, after which the Court may determine whether such Additional Action should be enjoined pursuant to this Order without further proceedings, or either party may seek to schedule and provide notice of a hearing.

ORDERED, that all applicable statutes of limitations and similar time limits on the commencement of Additional Actions, and all deadlines (including deadlines for appeals) in any currently pending Governmental Action, Related Party Claim or action brought by an Additional Plaintiff (including as agreed on the record at the October 11, 2019 Hearing by the representatives of the Sackler Families), shall be tolled or otherwise inoperative for the duration of this preliminary injunction. This is without prejudice to any party's rights to assert that any currently pending Governmental Action, Related Party Claim or claim brought by an Additional Plaintiff is time barred, or that commencement of any Additional Action, or any other action taken by a party with respect to any Governmental Action, Related Party Claim or Additional Plaintiff after the entry of this Order would have been time barred or untimely had it been commenced or taken before the entry of this Order.

ORDERED, that nothing in this Order shall affect or abrogate the automatic stay as to the Debtors under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code.

ORDERED, that the time for all defendants to answer the Complaint is extended to July 16, 2021, subject to further extension by agreement of the parties and/or order of the Court. All claims and defenses of the parties, including those under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure made applicable to this proceeding by Rule 7012 of the Bankruptcy Rules, are expressly preserved.

Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document 19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Pg 11 of 283

ORDERED, that the Pre-Trial Conference in this adversary proceeding has been adjourned to July 16, 2021 at 10:00 am (Prevailing Eastern Time) before the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, at the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, 300 Quarropas St., White Plains, New York, NY 10601.

ORDERED, that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of this Order.

Dated: May 20, 2021

White Plains, New York

/s/ Robert D. Drain

THE HONORABLE ROBERT D. DRAIN UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

Appendix I

Voluntary Injunction

I. **DEFINITIONS**

- A. "Bankruptcy Court" or "Court" shall mean the court presiding over the chapter 11 proceedings *In re Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.*, Case No. 19-23649-RDD (S.D.N.Y.).
- B. "Cancer-Related Pain Care" shall mean care that provides relief from pain caused by active cancer or ongoing cancer treatment, as distinguished from treatment provided during remission.
- C. "CDC Guideline Recommendations" shall mean the 12 enumerated Recommendations published by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for the prescribing of opioid pain medication for patients 18 and older in primary care settings as part of its 2016 Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain (CDC Guidelines), as updated or amended by the CDC.
- D. "Company" shall mean the Debtors as defined in these chapter 11 proceedings *In re Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.*, Case No. 19-23649-RDD (S.D.N.Y.).
- E. "Direct Customer Data" shall mean transaction information that the Company collects relating to the Company's direct customers' orders, including direct customer's wholesale orders, order history, and customer files.
- F. "Downstream Customer Data" shall mean transaction information that the Company collects relating to the Company's direct customers' sales to downstream customers, including chargeback data tied to the Company providing certain discounts, "867 data," and IQVIA data.
- G. "End-of-Life Care" shall mean care for persons with a terminal illness or at high risk for dying in the near future in hospice care, hospitals, long-term care settings, or at home.
- H. "Health Care Provider" shall mean any U.S.-based physician, nurse practitioner, physician assistant, dentist, pharmacist, podiatrist, nurse, or other person engaged in the business of providing health care services and/or prescribing an Opioid Product and any medical facility, practice, hospital, clinic, or pharmacy engaged in providing health care services and/or prescribing an Opioid Product in the United States.
- I. "Including but not limited to," when followed by a list or examples, shall mean that list or examples are illustrative instances only and shall not be read to be restrictive.
- J. "In-Kind Support" shall mean payment or assistance in the form of goods, commodities, services, or anything else of value.
- K. "Initial Covered Sackler Persons" shall mean the Estate of Beverly Sackler, David A. Sackler, Ilene Sackler, the Estate of Jonathan D. Sackler, Jonathan D. Sackler, Kathe Sackler, Mortimer D.A. Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, Theresa Sackler, any trusts of which any of the foregoing are beneficiaries, and the trustees thereof (solely in their capacities as such), each Shareholder Party and each other entity or person that directly or indirectly

owns equity in, or has voting control over, any of the Debtors, and in the event of the death of an Initial Covered Sackler Person who is a natural person, other than a natural person who is an Initial Covered Sackler Person solely in the capacity as a trustee, the estate of such person.

- L. "Lobby" and "Lobbying" shall have the same meaning as such terms have under U.S. federal law and the law governing the person or entity being lobbied.
- M. "Opioid(s)" shall mean all natural, semi-synthetic, or synthetic chemicals that interact with opioid receptors on nerve cells in the body and brain. The term "Opioids" shall not mean (i) methadone, buprenorphine, buprenorphine/naloxone (oral/sublingual), suboxone, and other substances when used exclusively to treat opioid or other substance use disorders, abuse, addiction, or overdose; (ii) raw materials and/or immediate precursors used in the manufacture or study of Opioids or Opioid Products, but only when such materials and/or immediate precursors are sold or marketed exclusively to DEA-licensed manufacturers or DEA-licensed researchers; or (iii) Opioids listed by the DEA as Schedule IV drugs pursuant to the federal Controlled Substances Act.
- N. "Opioid Product(s)" shall mean all natural, semi-synthetic, or synthetic chemicals that interact with opioid receptors on nerve cells in the body and brain, and that are approved by the U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA) and listed by the DEA as Schedule II or III drugs pursuant to the federal Controlled Substances Act (including but not limited to codeine, fentanyl, hydrocodone, hydromorphone, meperidine, methadone, morphine, oxycodone, oxymorphone, tapentadol, tramadol, and buprenorphine for the treatment of pain). The term "Opioid Products(s)" shall not mean (i) methadone, buprenorphine, buprenorphine/naloxone (oral/sublingual), suboxone, and other substances to treat opioid or other substance use disorders, abuse, addiction, or overdose; (ii) raw materials and/or immediate precursors used in the manufacture or study of Opioids or Opioid Products, but only when such materials and/or immediate precursors are sold or marketed exclusively to DEA-licensed manufacturers or DEA-licensed researchers; or (iii) Opioid Products listed by the DEA as Schedule IV drugs pursuant to the federal Controlled Substances Act.
- O. "Promote," "Promoting," and "Promotion" shall mean the dissemination of information by the Company to a Third Party that is either likely or intended to influence prescribing practices of Health Care Providers in favor of prescribing greater amounts, quantities, doses, and/or strengths of Opioid Products.
- P. "Section" shall mean, unless the context requires otherwise, a Section of this injunction.
- Q. "Suspicious Order" shall have the same meaning as provided by the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904, and the regulations promulgated thereunder and analogous state laws and regulations.
- R. "Third Party" shall mean any person or entity other than the Company or a government entity.
- S. "Treatment of Pain" shall mean the provision of therapeutic modalities to alleviate or reduce pain.

T. "Unbranded Information" shall mean any information regarding an Opioid or Opioid Product that does not identify a specific product(s).

II. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

A. Ban on Promotion

- 1. The Company shall not Promote Opioids or Opioid Products, including by:
 - a. Employing or contracting with sales representatives or other persons to Promote Opioids or Opioid Products to Health Care Providers or patients;
 - b. Using speakers, key opinion leaders, thought leaders, lecturers, and/or speaking events for Promotion of Opioids or Opioid Products;
 - c. Sponsoring, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support to medical education programs;
 - d. Creating, sponsoring, operating, controlling, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support to any website, network and/or social or other media account for the Promotion of Opioids or Opioid Products;
 - e. Creating, sponsoring, distributing, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support for materials Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products, including but not limited to brochures, newsletters, pamphlets, journals, books, and guides;
 - f. Creating, sponsoring, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support for advertisements that Promote Opioids or Opioid Products, including but not limited to internet advertisements or similar content, and providing hyperlinks or otherwise directing internet traffic to advertisements;
 - g. Engaging in Internet search engine optimization or other techniques designed to Promote Opioids or Opioid Products by improving rankings or making content appear among the top results in an Internet search or otherwise be more visible or more accessible to the public on the Internet; and
 - h. Engaging in Internet marketing techniques that Promote Opioids or Opioid Products by identifying or generating sales leads, including through pop up ads or information obtained from web forms completed by prospective patients or consumers.
- 2. Notwithstanding Sections II.A.1 and II.C, the Company may:
 - a. Maintain corporate websites;

- b. Maintain a website for any Opioid Product that contains principally the following content: the FDA-approved package insert, dosage strengths, dosage forms, packaging configurations, and medication guides; a statement directing patients or caregivers to speak with a licensed Health Care Provider; Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS) materials; contact information to report an adverse event or product complaint; and/or information regarding savings programs, savings cards, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs for the Company's Opioid Products;
- c. Provide information or support the provision of information, as expressly required by (i) law, (ii) settlement agreement, (iii) court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, or (iv) any state or federal government agency, including providing all information necessary in order for the Company to comply with its regulatory obligations pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, and/or (v) provide information about legal proceedings involving the Company;
- d. Engage Health Care Providers or other Third Parties to assist the Company in responding to, preparing for, and participating in, any initiatives, advisory committees, working groups, action plans, boards, meetings and/or hearings by any state or federal government or state or federal agencies or regulators, including the Food and Drug Administration;
- e. Provide the following by mail, electronic mail, on or though the Company's corporate or product websites or through other electronic or digital methods: FDA-approved package insert, medication guide, approved labeling for Opioid Products, Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy materials, or other prescribing information or guidelines for Opioid Products that are published by a state or federal government agency with jurisdiction;
- f. Provide scientific and/or medical information in response to an unsolicited request by a Health Care Provider concerning Opioid Products by providing truthful, balanced, non-misleading, non-promotional scientific or medical information that is responsive to the specific request. Such responses should be handled by medical or scientific personnel at the Company who are independent from the sales or marketing departments;
- g. Provide a response to any unsolicited question or request from a patient or caregiver by (i) directing the patient or caregiver to the FDA-approved labeling and reviewing the prescribing information with the patient as relevant to their inquiry, and, to the extent the question cannot be answered solely by reference to a specific provision of the FDA-approved labeling, providing a response that is truthful, balanced, non-misleading and fully consistent with the FDA-approved labeling, if applicable;

- (ii) recommending that the patient or caregiver speak with a licensed Health Care Provider without naming any specific provider or healthcare institution; (iii) directing the patient or caregiver to speak with their insurance carrier regarding coverage of an Opioid Product; and/or (iv) directing the patient or caregiver to information concerning savings programs, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs for the Company's Opioid Products;
- h. Provide information to a payor, formulary committee, distributor, or other similar entity with knowledge and expertise in the area of health care economics concerning the cost or availability of a Company Opioid Product, including the costs compared to the cost of an Opioid Product manufactured or distributed by another company. Such information may include information about the stocking of the Opioid Product; product attributes of the Opioid Product as described in the FDA-approved labeling; tier status; applicable prescribing guidelines that are consistent with the FDA-approved labeling; step-edits for Opioid Products; restrictions; and/or prior authorization status concerning an Opioid Product:
- i. Sponsor or provide financial support or In-Kind Support for an accredited or approved continuing medical education program required by either an FDA-approved Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy program, other federal or state law or regulation, or settlement, through an independent Third Party, which shall be responsible for determining the program's content without the participation of the Company;
- j. Provide Unbranded Information in connection with managing pain in Endof-Life Care and/or Cancer-Related Pain Care relating to the use of Opioids for the Treatment of Pain, as long as the Unbranded Information identifies Company as the source of the information; and
- k. Provide information about, discuss, or comment on, issues regarding mechanisms for preventing opioid abuse and misuse, including (i) abuse deterrent formulations and the use of blister packaging for opioid medications; (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid use disorders or opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.
- 3. The Company shall not engage in the following specific Promotional activity relating to any products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prohibits the Company's provision or dissemination of information or activities relating to: (i) the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose:

- a. Employing or contracting with sales representatives or other persons to Promote products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects to Health Care Providers or patients;
- b. Creating, sponsoring, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support for advertisements that Promote products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects, including but not limited to internet advertisements or similar content, and providing hyperlinks or otherwise directing internet traffic to advertisements; and
- c. Engaging in any other Promotion of products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects in a manner that encourages the utilization of Opioids or Opioid Products or normalizes the use of Opioids or Opioid Products for chronic pain.
- 4. Notwithstanding Section II.A.3 directly above, the Company may engage in other marketing activities for products that are indicated or used for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects, so long as such activities do not Promote Opioids or Opioid Products. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in Sections II.A.3 or 4 shall limit or otherwise restrict the ability of the Company to Promote products for occasional constipation or restrict the Company from Promoting (i) products relating to the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) products relating to the treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.

5. Treatment of Pain

- a. The Company shall not engage in Promotion of the Treatment of Pain in a manner that encourages the use of Opioids or Opioid Products.
- b. The Company shall not Promote the concept that pain is undertreated in a manner that encourages the use of Opioids or Opioid Products.
- c. The Company shall not knowingly use Third Parties to engage in the Promotion of the Treatment of Pain or Promote the concept that pain is undertreated in manners that encourage the use of Opioids or Opioid Products.
- 6. To the extent that the Company engages in conduct permitted by Section II.A.2 above, the Company shall do so in a manner that is:
 - a. Consistent with the CDC Guidelines Recommendations, as applicable; and
 - b. Truthful, not misleading, accurate, and not deceptive.

7. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in this injunction shall be construed or used to prohibit the Company in any way whatsoever from taking legal or factual positions in litigation, the bankruptcy proceedings, investigations, regulatory actions and initiatives, or other legal or administrative proceedings, or exercising its right to legally challenge the enactment of any federal, state, or local legislation, rule, or regulation, or in any way whatsoever prohibit or limit the Company's right to make public statements or respond to media reports or inquires relating to any legal, administrative, regulatory, or legislative proceedings.

B. No Financial Reward or Discipline Based on Volume of Opioid Sales

- 1. The Company shall not provide financial incentives to its sales and marketing employees, or take disciplinary actions against its sales and marketing employees, that are directly based on, or tied to, sales volume or sales quotas for Opioid Products, unless otherwise permitted by the Bankruptcy Court.
- 2. The Company shall not offer or pay any remuneration directly or through a Third Party, to or from any person in return for the prescribing, sale, use or distribution of Opioid Product. For the avoidance of doubt, this shall not prohibit the provision of rebates and/or chargebacks.

C. Ban on Funding/Grants to Third Parties to Promote Opioids

- 1. The Company shall not provide financial support or In-Kind Support to any Third Party for purposes of Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prevents the Company from directly or indirectly supporting Third Parties as required by any Judgment, court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, settlement, or federal or state law or regulation.
- 2. The Company shall not operate, control, create, sponsor, or provide financial support or In-Kind Support to any medical society or patient advocacy group for the purpose of Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prevents the Company from supporting any medical society or patient advocacy group as required by any Judgment, court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, settlement, or federal or state law or regulation.
- 3. For the purposes of Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products, the Company shall not provide links to any Third Party website or materials or otherwise distribute materials created by a Third Party relating to any Opioids or Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prevents the Company from providing links to any Third Party website or materials or otherwise distributing materials created by a Third Parties that the Company supports as required by any Judgment, court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, settlement, or federal or state law or regulation.

- 4. The Company shall not knowingly use a Third Party, including Health Care Providers, to engage in any activity that the Company itself would be prohibited from engaging in pursuant to the injunction.
- 5. No director, officer, or management-level employee of the Company may concurrently serve as a director, board member, employee, agent, or officer of any entity that engages in Promotion relating to Opioids, Opioid Products, the Opioid-related Treatment of Pain, or products indicated to treat Opioid-related side effects.
- 6. The Company shall not advocate for the appointment of persons to the board, or hiring persons to the staff, of any entity that principally engages in the Promotion of Opioids and Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this paragraph shall prohibit the Company from fully and accurately responding to unsolicited requests or inquiries about a person's fitness to serve as an employee or Board member at any such entity.
- 7. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in Section II.C or this injunction shall be construed or used to prohibit the Company from providing financial or In-Kind Support to, or disseminating information about, Third Parties, including medical societies and patient advocate groups, who are principally involved in issues relating to (i) the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.

D. Lobbying Restrictions

- 1. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Lobby for the enactment of any federal, state, or local legislation or promulgation of any rule or regulation that:
 - a. Encourages or requires Health Care Providers to prescribe Opioids or sanctions Health Care Providers for failing to prescribe Opioids or failing to treat pain with Opioids;
 - b. Would have the effect of limiting access to any non-Opioid alternative pain treatments; or
 - c. Pertains to the classification of any Opioid or Opioid Product as a scheduled drug under the Controlled Substances Act.
- 2. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Lobby against the enactment of any federal, state or local legislation or promulgation of any rule or regulation that supports:

- a. The use of non-pharmacologic therapy and/or non-Opioid pharmacologic therapy to treat chronic pain over or instead of Opioid therapy, including but not limited to Third Party payment or reimbursement for such therapies;
- b. The use and/or prescription of immediate release Opioids instead of extended release Opioids when Opioid therapy is initiated, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for such prescriptions;
- c. The prescribing of the lowest effective dose of an Opioid, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for such prescription;
- d. The limitation of initial prescriptions of Opioids to treat acute pain;
- e. The prescribing and other means of distribution of naloxone to minimize the risk of overdose, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for naloxone;
- f. The use of urine testing before starting Opioid therapy and annual urine testing when Opioids are prescribed, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for such testing;
- g. Evidence-based treatment (such as using medication-assisted treatment with buprenorphine or methadone in combination with behavioral therapies) for Opioid Use Disorder, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for such treatment; or
- h. The implementation or use of Opioid drug disposal systems that have proven efficacy for the Company's Opioid Products.
- 3. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Lobby against the enactment of any federal, state, or local legislation or promulgation of any rule or regulation limiting the operation or use of PDMPs, including, but not limited to, provisions requiring Health Care Providers to review PDMPs when Opioid therapy is initiated and with every prescription thereafter.
- 4. Nothing in Section II.D or this Injunction, however, limits the Company from:
 - a. Challenging the enforcement of, or suing to stop the enactment of, or for declaratory or injunctive relief with respect to any legislation, rules, or regulations, including legislation, rules, or regulations relating to any issues referred to in Section II.D.1;
 - b. Communications made by the Company in response to a statute, rule, regulation, or order requiring such communication;

- c. Communications by a representative of the Company appearing before a federal or state legislative or administrative body, committee, or subcommittee, as result of a mandatory order, subpoena commanding that person to testify, or an unsolicited request from an elected or appointed official, federal or state legislative or administrative body, committee, or subcommittee;
- d. Responding to an unsolicited request for the input on the passage of legislation or the promulgation of any rule or regulation;
- e. Communications by the Company, including to elected or appointed officials, federal or state legislative or administrative bodies, committees, or subcommittees regarding (i) mechanisms for preventing opioid abuse and misuse, including abuse deterrent formulations and the use of blister packaging for opioid medications, (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid use disorders or opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.
- 5. The Company shall require all of its officers, employees, and representatives engaged in Lobbying to certify in writing to them that they are aware of and will fully comply with the provisions of this injunction with respect to Lobbying.

E. Ban on High-Dose Opioids

1. The Company shall abide by any decision by the FDA on the pending Citizens Petition dated September 1, 2017 (docket number FDA-2017-P-5396) requesting a ban on specific high doses of prescription oral and transmucosal Opioids that, when taken as directed, exceed 90 morphine milligram equivalents per day.

F. Ban on Prescription Savings Programs

- 1. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Promote savings card, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs to Health Care Providers for any Opioid Product. Nothing in this provision shall prohibit the Company from providing savings cards, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs, including electronic point-of-dispense programs: (i) in response to requests from Health Care Providers, patients, or other caregivers or (ii) on its website or product-specific websites.
- 2. The Company shall not directly or through a Third Party provide financial support to any Third Party to avoid the prohibited conduct in Section II.F.1 above.

G. Self-Monitoring and Reporting of Direct and Downstream Customers

1. The Company shall operate an effective monitoring and reporting system that shall include processes and procedures that:

- a. Reasonably analyze all collected Direct Customer Data to identify a Suspicious Order of a Company Opioid Product by a direct customer;
- b. Reasonably utilize available Downstream Customer Data to identify whether a downstream customer poses a material risk of diversion of a Company Opioid Product;
- c. Analyze all information that the Company receives that indicates an unreasonable risk of diversion activity of a Company Opioid Product or an unreasonable potential for diversion activity of a Company Opioid Product, by a direct customer or a downstream customer, including reports by employees and customers of the Company, Health Care Providers, law enforcement, state, tribal, or federal agencies, or the media; and
- d. Unless otherwise required by law, upon a relevant state's request, report to the relevant state agency any direct customer or downstream customer in each state that the Company has identified as part of the monitoring required by (a)-(c), above, and any Company customer relationship in each state that was terminated by the Company because of an unreasonable risk of diversion or unreasonable risk for potential for diversion.
- 2. Upon request, the Company shall promptly provide reasonable assistance to law enforcement investigations of potential diversion and/or suspicious circumstances involving the Company's Opioid Products subject to, and without waiving, any applicable privilege objections.
- 3. If one or more of the nation's three largest pharmaceutical distributors establishes a system to aggregate data concerning transactions of Opioid Products and/or concerning reports of Suspicious Orders of Opioid Products, and the system is designed to use information provided by manufacturers of Opioid Products, the Company shall provide information to such system to the extent reasonably available and feasible, subject to, and without waiving, any applicable privilege objections.
- 4. The Company agrees that it will refrain from acting as a distributor of Opioid Products by providing an Opioid Product directly to a retail pharmacy or Health Care Provider or otherwise engaging in activity that requires it to be registered as a distributor under the Controlled Substances Act unless otherwise required by local, state, or federal law. Nothing in this provision, however, prevents the Company from acting as a distributor of medications relating to (i) the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) the treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.

H. Appointment and Responsibilities of Monitor

- 1. The Company shall retain a Monitor. On February 21, 2020, the Debtors retained Thomas J. Vilsack to serve as Monitor. On February 3, 2021, Monitor Vilsack requested that he be discharged from further duties and responsibilities. On February 18, 2021, the Debtors retained Stephen Bullock, former Montana Governor and former Montana Attorney General, to replace Secretary Vilsack as Monitor.
- 2. The Monitor shall perform its duties according to the terms of this injunction and shall be vested with all rights and powers reasonably necessary to carry out such powers, duties, authority, and responsibilities enumerated herein.
- 3. The Monitor shall work with all diligence to confirm and oversee compliance with this injunction, and shall provide reports to the Company's Board of Directors and the Bankruptcy Court as outlined below.

4. The Monitor shall:

- a. subject to any legally recognized privilege and as necessary or to perform their duties hereunder, have full and complete access to the Company's personnel, books, records, and facilities, and to any other relevant information, as the Monitor may request. The Company shall develop such information as the Monitor may request and shall fully, completely and promptly cooperate with the Monitor. The Monitor may raise with the Court any issues relating to any failure of or delay in such cooperation for an expedited resolution by the Court;
- b. serve, without bond or other security, at the cost and expense of the Company, with the Monitor's fees subject to final approval by the Court. The Monitor shall have the authority to employ, upon Court approval, at the cost and expense of the Debtors' estates, such consultants, accountants, attorneys, and other representatives and assistants as are necessary to carry out the Monitor's and responsibilities. The Monitor shall serve throughout the term of this injunction and submission of a final report;
- c. have no obligation, responsibility or liability for the operations of the Company;
- d. file a report no less than every 90 days regarding compliance by the Company with the terms of this injunction; provided that elements of any such report may be filed under seal or subject to such other confidentiality restrictions contained in the Protective Order. The Court may, in response to such reports, provide further direction to the Monitor as it deems appropriate;

- e. sign onto the Protective Order entered by the Court in this matter, and any confidentiality agreement consistent with the Protective Order as deemed necessary by the parties, and each of the Monitor's consultants, accountants, attorneys and other representatives and assistants shall also sign onto the Protective Order entered by the Court, and any confidentiality agreement consistent with the Protective Order as deemed necessary by the parties; *provided, however*, that nothing shall restrict the Monitor from providing any information to the Court and the parties consistent with the terms of the Protective Order; and
- f. promptly seek an order requiring compliance or such other remedies as may be appropriate under the circumstances should the Company not comply with this injunction.

5. Disputes Regarding Compliance

- a. If an Attorney General should have a reasonable basis to believe the Company is not in compliance with the terms of this injunction, the Attorney General shall notify the Company, via the Company's General Counsel, in writing of the specific objection, including identifying the provisions of this injunction that the practice appears to violate, and give the Company thirty (30) days to respond to the notification and cure the conduct at issue, if necessary.
- b. The Attorney General shall provide notification to the Monitor at the same time as notification is provided to the Company. To the extent that the Company fails to cure the alleged conduct within the thirty (30) day period, the Monitor shall have ten (10) days to determine the appropriate action and response. After that ten (10) day period and unless otherwise ordered by the Monitor or Bankruptcy Court, any Attorney General may petition the Bankruptcy Court to enforce the terms of this injunction and/or to obtain any remedy as a result of alleged non-compliance with the Company.

I. Initial Covered Sackler Persons

a. The Initial Covered Sackler Persons shall not actively engage in the opioid business in the United States (other than by virtue of their ownership of beneficial interests in the Company), and shall not take any action that would interfere with the Company's compliance with its obligations under this injunction.

Appendix II

Chart of Governmental Actions

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 27 of 283

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
State	Actions				
1.	AG	Alabama	The State of Alabama	The State of Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Montgomery Cnty. 03-CV-2019-901174
2.	AG	Alaska	State of Alaska	State of Alaska v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. AK, 3rd Jud. Dist. 3AN-17-09966
3.	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Pima Cnty. C20072471
4.	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	U.S. Supreme Court No. 22O151
5.	AG	Arkansas	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Leslie Rutledge	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Leslie Rutledge v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Pulaski Cnty. 60CV-18-2018
6.	AG	California	The People of the State of California	The People of the State of California v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Los Angeles Cnty. Super. Ct. 19STCV19045
7.	AG	Colorado	The State of Colorado ex rel. Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General	The State of Colorado ex rel. Phil Weiser, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Dist. Ct. Denver 2018CV33300
8.	AG	Connecticut	State of Connecticut	State of Connecticut v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. NO. X07 HHD-CV-19-6105325-S
9.	AG	DC	District of Columbia	District of Columbia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. District of Columbia 2019 CA 003680 B
10.	AG	Delaware	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathy Jennings	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathy Jennings v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. of Delaware C.A. No. N18C-01-223 MMJ (CCLD)
11.	AG	Florida	State of Florida, Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs	State of Florida, Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Pasco Cnty. Case No. 2018-CA-001438
12.	AG	Georgia	State of Georgia	State of Georgia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Gwinnett Cnty. 19-A-00060-4
13.	AG	Guam	Territory of Guam	Territory of Guam v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Guam, Hagatna CV1020-19

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 28 of 283

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
14.	AG	Hawaii	State of Hawaii, ex rel. Clare E. Connors, Attorney General	State of Hawaii, ex rel. Clare E. Connors, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1st Cir. Ct. of Hawaii 09-1-0862-06 JHA
15.	AG	Idaho	State of Idaho, Through Attorney General Lawrence G. Wasden	State of Idaho, Through Attorney General Lawrence G. Wasden vs. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Ada County District Court CV01-19-10061
16.	AG	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2019-CH-04406
17.	AG	Indiana	State of Indiana	State of Indiana v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. / Superior Ct. Marion Cnty. 49D10-1811-PL-045447
18.	AG	Iowa	State of Iowa, Thomas J. Miller, Attorney General of Iowa	State of Iowa, Thomas J. Miller, Attorney General of Iowa v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Polk Cnty. Dist. Ct. EQCE 084514
19.	AG	Kansas	State of Kansas, ex rel. Derek Schmidt, Attorney General	State of Kansas, ex rel. Derek Schmidt, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Shawnee Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2019-cv-000369
20.	AG	Louisiana	State of LA f/k/a Louisiana Dept. of Health	State of LA f/k/a Louisiana Dept. of Health v. Purdue Pharma L.P, et al.	19th Judicial District Court, Parish of East Baton Rouge 661638
21.	AG	Maine	State of Maine	State of Maine v. Purdue Pharma L.P., Purdue Pharma Inc., Richard Sackler, Jonathan Sackler, Mortimer D.A. Sackler and Kathe Sackler	State of Maine Kennebec County Superior Court CV-19-112
22.	AG	Maryland	Consumer Protection Division Office of the Attorney General (Md.)	Consumer Protection Division Office of the Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Consumer Protection Division of the Office of the Attorney General (Md.) / Office of Administrative Hearings CPD Case No.: 311366 OAH Case No. 1923474
23.	AG	Massachusetts	Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 1884-cv-01808 (BLS2)
24.	AG	Minnesota	State of Minnesota by its Attorney General, Keith Ellison	State of Minnesota by its Attorney General, Keith Ellison v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4th Jud. Dist. Ct., Hennepin Cnty. Court File No. 27-CV-18-10788

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 29 of 283

	T.	G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	C. N	Court /
2.5	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
25.	AG	Mississippi	State of Mississippi	State of Mississippi v. Purdue	Hinds Cnty.
26.	AG	Missouri	State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric	Pharma L.P., et al. State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric	25CH1:15-cv-001814
20.	AG	Missouri	Schmitt, in his official capacity as	Schmitt, in his official capacity	Cir. Ct. St. Louis City 1722-CC10626
			Missouri Attorney General	as Missouri Attorney General v.	1722-0010020
			Wissouri Attorney General	Purdue Pharma L.P.	
27.	AG	Montana	State of Montana	State of Montana v. Purdue	Lewis & Clark Cty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	ADV-2017-949
28.	AG	Nevada	State of Nevada	State of Nevada v. McKesson	Dist. Ct. Clark County
				Corp., et al.	A-19-796755-B
29.	AG	New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire v.	Merrimack Super. Ct.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	217-2017-CV-00402
30.	AG	New Jersey	Gurbir S. Grewal, Attorney	Gurbir S. Grewal, et al. v.	Super. Ct. NJ Chancery Div., Essex
			General and Paul Rodriguez,	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cty.
			Acting Director of the New Jersey		ESX-C-245-17
			Division of Consumer Affairs		
31.	AG	New Mexico	State of New Mexico, ex rel.,	State of New Mexico, ex rel.,	Santa Fe Dist.
			Hector Balderas, Attorney General	Hector Balderas, Attorney	D-101-CV-201702541
				General v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
32.	AG	New York	The People of the State of New	et al. The People of the State of New	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
32.	AG	New York	York, by Letitia James, Attorney	York, by Letitia James,	400016/2018
			General of the State of New York	Attorney General of the State of	400010/2018
			General of the State of New York	New York v. Purdue Pharma	
				L.P., et al.	
33.	AG	North Carolina	State of North Carolina, ex rel.	State of North Carolina, ex rel.	Super. Ct. Wake Cnty.
			Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General	Joshua H. Stein, Attorney	18-cv-6051
				General v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
				et al.	
34.	AG	North Dakota	State of North Dakota, ex rel.	State of North Dakota, ex rel.	Dist. Ct. Burleigh Cnty.
			Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney	Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney	08-2018-CV-01300
			General	General v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
_				et al.	
35.	AG	Ohio	State of Ohio, ex rel. David Yost,	State of Ohio, ex rel. David	C.P. Ross Cnty.
			Ohio Attorney General	Yost, Ohio Attorney General v.	17CI000261
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 30 of 283

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
36.	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 18CV40526
37.	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Cir. Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 19CV22185
38.	AG	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Commonwealth Ct. of PA 257-md-19
39.	AG	Puerto Rico	The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico	The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. San Juan SJ2018CV01659
40.	AG	Rhode Island	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter Neronha, Attorney General	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter Neronha, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Providence/Bristol County Super. Ct. PC-18-4555
41.	AG	South Carolina	State of South Carolina, ex rel. Alan Wilson Attorney General	State of South Carolina, ex rel. Alan Wilson Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Richland Cnty. 2017-CP-4004872
42.	AG	South Dakota	State of South Dakota, ex rel. Jason Ravnsborg, South Dakota Attorney General	State of South Dakota, ex rel. Jason Ravnsborg, South Dakota Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Hughes Cnty. 32CIV18-000065
43.	AG	Tennessee	State of Tennessee, ex rel. Herbert H. Slatery III, Attorney General and Reporter	State of Tennessee, ex rel. Herbert H. Slatery III, Attorney General and Reporter v. Purdue Pharma L.P., a foreign limited partnership	Cir. Ct. Knox Cnty. 1-173-18
44.	AG	Texas	State of Texas	State of Texas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77003
45.	AG	Utah	Utah Division of Consumer Protection	In the Matter of Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Div. Consumer Protection DCP Case No. 107102
46.	AG	Vermont	State of Vermont	State of Vermont v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Chittenden Civ. Div. 757-9-18-CRCV

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 31 of 283

	TD.	G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	C. N	Court /
47	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
47.	AG	Virginia	Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. Mark R. Herring, Attorney General	Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. Mark R. Herring, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Tazewell Cnty. CL18-1076
48.	AG	Washington	State of Washington	State of Washington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. King Cty. 17-2-25505-0 SEA
49.	AG	West Virginia	State of West Virginia, ex rel. Patrick Morrisey, Attorney General	State of West Virginia, ex rel. Patrick Morrisey, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Boone Cnty. 19-C-62
50.	AG	Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Dane Cnty. 2019CX000009
51.	AG	Wyoming	State of Wyoming, ex rel. Bridget Hill, Attorney General	State of Wyoming, ex rel. Bridget Hill, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1st Jud. Ct. Laramie Cnty. 190-576
Triba	ıl (MDL)	·			
52.	Tribal	MDL	Puyallup Tribe of Indians	Puyallup Tribe of Indians v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45660 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
53.	Tribal	MDL	The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation	The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45749 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
54.	Tribal	MDL	The Muscogee (Creek) Nation	The Muscogee (Creek) Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45459 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
Triba	al (State Court)				
55.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Apache Tribe of Oklahoma	Apache Tribe of Oklahoma v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Caddo Cnty. CJ-2019-69
Loca	l Government (Sta	te Court)			
56.	Municipality	Arizona	Bullhead City	Bullhead City v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Mohave Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. S8015cv201900591
57.	Municipality	Arizona	City of Glendale	City of Glendale v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Maricopa Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. CV2019-010792

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 32 of 283

	_	_	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
_	Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
58.	Municipality	Arizona	City of Prescott	City of Prescott v. Allergan	Yavapai Cnty. Super. Ct.
				PLC, et al.	Case No. P1300cv201900393
59.	Municipality	Arizona	City of Surprise	City of Surprise v. Allergan	Maricopa Cnty. Super. Ct.
				PLC, et al.	Case No. CV2019-003439
60.	Municipality	Arizona	County of Apache	County of Apache v. Allergan	Apache Cnty. Super. Ct.
				PLC, et al.	Case No. S0100cv201900101
61.	Municipality	Arizona	County of La Paz	County of La Paz v. Allergan	La Paz Cnty. Super. Ct.
				PLC, et al.	Case No. S1500cv201900053
62.	Municipality	Arizona	Pinal County	Pinal County v. Allergan PLC,	Sup. Ct. Pinal Cnty.
				et al.	S1100CV201901448
63.	Prosecuting	Arkansas	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Scott	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Scott	Cir. Ct., Crittenden Cnty.
	Attorney		Ellington;	Ellington v. Purdue Pharma	CV-2018-268
			City of Little Rock;	L.P., et al.	
			City of Fort Smith;		
			City of Springdale;		
			City of Jonesboro;		
			City of North Little Rock;		
			City of Conway;		
			City of Rogers;		
			City of Pine Bluff;		
			City of Bentonville;		
			City of Hot Springs;		
			City of Benton;		
			City of Texarkana;		
			City of Sherwood;		
			City of Jacksonville;		
			City of Monticello;		
			County of Arkansas;		
			County of Ashley;		
			County of Baxter;		
			County of Beauty		
			County of Boone;		
			County of Calhour		
			County of Carroll		
			County of Carroll;		
			County of Clorks		
			County of Clark;		

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 33 of 283

		Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
		County of Clay;		
		County of Cleburne;		
		County of Cleveland;		
		County of Columbia;		
		County of Conway;		
		County of Craighead;		
		County of Crawford;		
		County of Cross;		
		County of Dallas;		
		County of Desha;		
		County of Faulkner;		
		County of Franklin;		
		County of Fulton;		
		County of Garland;		
		County of Grant;		
		County of Greene;		
		County of Hempstead;		
		County of Hot Spring;		
		County of Howard;		
		County of Independence;		
		County of Izard;		
		County of Jackson;		
		County of Johnson;		
		County of Lafayette;		
		County of Lawrence;		
		County of Lee;		
		County of Lincoln;		
		County of Little River;		
		County of Logan;		
		County of Lonoke;		
		County of Madison;		
		County of Miller;		
		County of Mississippi;		
		County of Monroe;		
		County of Montgomery;		
		County of Ouachita;		
		County of Perry;		
		County of Phillips;		

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 34 of 283

	_		Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
			County of Pike;		
			County of Poinsett;		
			County of Polk;		
			County of Pope;		
			County of Prairie;		
			County of Randolph;		
			County of St. Francis;		
			County of Saline;		
			County of Scott;		
			County of Searcy;		
			County of Sebastian;		
			County of Sevier;		
			County of Sharp;		
			County of Stone;		
			County of Union;		
			County of Van Buren;		
			County of Washington;		
			County of White;		
			County of Woodruff;		
			County of Yell		
64.	Municipality	California	City of El Monte, and The People	City of El Monte, and The	El Monte County Super. Ct.
			of the State of California, by and	People of the State of	19STCV10532
			through El Monte City Attorney	California, by and through El	
			Rick Olivarez	Monte City Attorney Rick	
				Olivarez v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
				et al.	

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 35 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
65.	Municipality	California	City of Santa Ana; City of San Clemente; City of Encinitas; City of La Habra; City of La Mesa; City of Oxnard; City of Placentia; The People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho, San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith, Encinitas City Attorney Glenn Sabine, La Habra City Attorney Richard D. Jones, La Mesa City Attorney Glenn Sabine, Oxnard City Attorney Stephen Fischer, and Placentia City Attorney Christian Bettenhausen	City of Santa Ana, et al. v. Actavis Pharma, Inc., et al.	Super. Ct. Orange Cnty. 30-2019-01101802
66.	Municipality	California	County of Kern, and The People of the State of California, by and through Kern County Counsel Margo Raison	County of Kern, and The People of the State of California, by and through Kern County Counsel Margo Raison v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kern Cnty. Super. Ct. BCV-19-100861
67.	Municipality	California	The People of the State of California, acting by and through Santa Clara County Counsel James R. Williams, Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, Los Angeles County Counsel Mary C. Wickham, and Oakland City Attorney Barbara J. Parker	The People of the State of California, acting by and through Santa Clara County Counsel James R. Williams, Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, Los Angeles County Counsel Mary C. Wickham, and Oakland City Attorney Barbara J. Parker v. Purdue Pharam L.P., et al.	Orange Co. Super Ct. 30-2014-00725287-CU-BT-CXC (Short version: 14-725287)

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 36 of 283

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
68.	Type Municipality	Connecticut	The City of Ansonia;	The City of Ansonia, The City	Hartford State Super. Ct.
08.	Municipanty	Connecticut	The City of Ansoma, The City of Danbury;	of Danbury, The City of Derby,	HHD-CV-18-6098036-S
			The City of Danbury, The City of Derby;	and The City of Norwalk v.	HHD-C V-18-0098030-3
			The City of Derby, The City of Norwalk	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
69.	Municipality	Connecticut		-	Hantfand Ctata Coman Ct
69.	Municipanty	Connecticut	City of New Britain	City of New Britain v. Purdue	Hartford State Super. Ct.
70	3.6		TI D 1 CNI 1	Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-18-6087132-S
70.	Municipality	Connecticut	The Borough of Naugatuck;	The City of Bridgeport, et al. v.	Hartford State Super. Ct.
			The City of Bridgeport;	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-18-6088462-S
			The City of Bristol;		
			The City of Milford;		
			The City of Shelton;		
			The City of Torrington;		
			The City of West Haven;		
			The Town of Beacon Falls;		
			The Town of East Hartford;		
			The Town of Fairfield;		
			The Town of Newtown;		
			The Town of North Haven;		
			The Town of Oxford;		
			The Town of Southbury;		
			The Town of Southington;		
			The Town of Thomaston;		
			The Town of Tolland'		
			The Town of Woodbury		
71.	Municipality	Connecticut	The City of New Haven	The City of New Haven v.	Hartford State Super. Ct.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-17-6086134-S
72.	Municipality	Connecticut	The City of New London	The City of New London v.	Hartford State Super. Ct.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-18-6094421-S
73.	Municipality	Connecticut	The City of Waterbury	The City of Waterbury v.	Hartford State Super. Ct.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-17-6088121-S

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 37 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
74.	Municipality	Connecticut	The Town of Berlin; The Town of Bethlehem; The Town of Coventry; The Town of Middlebury; The Town of New Milford;	The Town of Berlin; The Town of Bethlehem; The Town of Coventry v. Purdue Pharma L.P., The Town of Middlebury; The Town of New Milford; The	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6099290-S
			The Town of New Willord, The Town of Prospect; The Town of Roxbury; The Town of Seymour; The Town of Stratford; The Town of Wolcott	Town of Prospect; The Town of Roxbury; The Town of Seymour; The Town of Stratford; and The Town of Wolcott; et al.	
75.	Municipality	Connecticut	Town of Wallingford	Town of Wallingford v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6094422-S
76.	Municipality	Illinois	County of Lake; Michael Nerheim, Lake County State's Attorney; Mark C. Curran, Jr., Lake County Sheriff; Dr. Howard Cooper, Lake County Coroner; The County of Lake in the Name of the People of the State of Illinois	County of Lake, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-003728
77.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Sesser	City of Sesser v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2019-L-008147
78.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Granite City, Illinois	City of Granite City, Illinois v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Cir. Ct. Madison Cnty. 2018-L-000587 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-010351
79.	Municipality	Illinois	The City of Burbank	The City of Burbank v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012659
80.	Municipality	Illinois	The City of Countryside	The City of Countryside v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012640
81.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Boone County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Boone County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Boone Cnty. 2018-L-000007 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004539

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 38 of 283

	Tymo	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
82.	Type	State Illinois		The People of the State of	
82.	Municipality		The People of the State of Illinois and Bureau County, Illinois	Illinois and Bureau County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004542
83.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Champaign County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Champaign County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Champaign Cnty. 2018-L-000006 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-005935
84.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Cook County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Cook County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook County 2017-L-013180
85.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DeKalb County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DeKalb County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. DeKalb Cnty. 2018-L-000072 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-013655
86.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DuPage County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DuPage County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004542
87.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Henry Cnty. 2018-L-000016 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012690
88.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Jersey County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Jersey County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-003908
89.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kane County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kane County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-002943

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 39 of 283

	75	G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	C. N	Court /
00	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
90.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Kankakee County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Kankakee County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kankakee Cnty. 2017-L-000104 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004538
91.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kendall County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kendall County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Kendall Cnty. 2018-L-000078 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012741
92.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and LaSalle County	The People of the State of Illinois and LaSalle County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. LaSalle Cnty. 2019-L-000052 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2019-L-008722
93.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Macon County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Macon County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-002916
94.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macoupin County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macoupin County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Macoupin Cnty. 2018-L-000030 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-013247
95.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McHenry County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McHenry County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-002948
96.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McLean County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and McLean County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.	Cir. Ct. McLean Cnty. 2019-L-0000108
97.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Piatt County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Piatt County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Piatt Cnty. 2018-L-000007 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012689

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 40 of 283

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
98.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois,	The People of the State of	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
	1 3		and Will County, Illinois	Illinois, and Will County,	2018-L-004546
				Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
				et al.	
99.	Municipality	Illinois	The Village of Bedford Park	The Village of Bedford Park v.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-L-008819
100.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Bridgeview	Village of Bridgeview v. Purdue	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-L-009526
101.	Municipality	Illinois	The Village of Evergreen Park	The Village of Evergreen Park	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-L-012652
102.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Hodgkins	Village of Hodgkins v. Purdue	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-L-009848
103.	Municipality	Illinois	The Village of Lyons	The Village of Lyons v. Purdue	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
101	3.5 1.1 11	7111		Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-L-008746
104.	Municipality	Illinois	The Village of Summit	The Village of Summit v.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
105	3.6	36 1 1	110 . 111	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-L-008803
105.	Municipality	Maryland	Anne Arundel County, Maryland	Anne Arundel County,	Cir. Ct. Anne Arundel Cnty.
				Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C-02-CV-18-000021
106.	Municipality	Maryland	Mayor & City Council of	Mayor & City Council of	Cir. Ct. Baltimore City
100.	Municipanty	Iviaryiand	Baltimore	Baltimore v. Purdue Pharma	25C1800515
			Baitimore	L.P., et al.	23C1800313
107.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Boston;	City of Boston, The Boston	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
107.	Municipanty	Massachuseus	The Boston Public Health	Public Health Commission, The	1884CV02860B
			Commission;	Boston Housing Authority v.	1004C V 02000D
			The Boston Housing Authority	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
108.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Cambridge	City of Cambridge v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	19-1044
109.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Chicopee	City of Chicopee v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Hampden Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	1979CV00074
110.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Framingham	City of Framingham v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	18-3483
111.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Gloucester	City of Gloucester v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	1877CV01773
112.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Haverhill	City of Haverhill v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	1899CV01762A

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 41 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
113.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Salem	City of Salem v. Purdue Pharma	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty.
				L.P., et al.	1899CV01767A
114.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Worcester	City of Worcester v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	No. 1984CV00543
115.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Canton	Town of Canton v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Norfolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	18-1582
116.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Lynnfield	Town of Lynnfield v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	1899CV01769D
117.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Natick	Town of Natick v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	19-646
118.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Randolph	Town of Randolph v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Norfolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	1982CV00400
119.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Springfield	Town of Springfield v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Hampden Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	18-938
120.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Wakefield	Town of Wakefield v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	18-3458
121.	Municipality	Missouri	Butler County;	Jefferson County, et al. v.	22 nd Judicial Cir. Ct., St. Louis City
			Cape Girardeau County;	Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et al.	1922-CC00203
			Christian County;		
			City of Independence;		
			City of Joplin;		
			Crawford County;		
			Dent County;		
			Dunklin County;		
			Franklin County;		
			Greene County;		
			Iron County;		
			Jasper County;		
			Jefferson County;		
			Madison County;		
			Perry County;		
			Ste. Genevieve County;		
			Stone County;		
			Taney County;		
			Texas County;		
			Washington County		
122.	Municipality	Missouri	Polk County, Missouri	Polk County, Missouri v.	Cir. Ct. Polk Cnty.
				Allergan PLC, et al.	1922-CC11660

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 42 of 283

	Т	64-4-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	C N	Court /
123.	Type Municipality	State Nevada	(Last, First) City of Henderson	Case Name City of Henderson v. Purdue	Case Number Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. Clark Cnty.
123.	Municipality	Nevada	City of Henderson	Pharma L.P., et al.	A-19-800695-B
				Filatilia L.F., et al.	Dept. 11
124.	Municipality	Nevada	City of Las Vegas	City of Las Vegas v. Purdue	Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. Clark Cnty.
127.	WithinCipanity	ricvada	City of Las vegas	Pharma L.P., et al.	A-19-800697-B
				i naima E.i ., et al.	Dept. 27
125.	Municipality	Nevada	City of North Las Vegas	City of North Las Vegas v.	Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. Clark Cnty.
123.	Traincipanty	1107444	eny errorm has vegas	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	A-19-800699-B
				1 0.000 1 1.0011.00 2.11 1, 00 0.21	Dept. 11
126.	Municipality	Nevada	City of Reno	City of Reno v. Purdue Pharma	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Washoe Cnty.
				L.P., et al.	CV18-01895
127.	Municipality	New Jersey	City of Trenton	City of Trenton v. Purdue	Super. Ct. NJ, Mercer Cnty.
			•	Pharma L.P., et al.	MER-L-001167-19
128.	Municipality	New Jersey	County of Ocean, NJ	County of Ocean, NJ v. Purdue	Super. Ct. NJ, Ocean Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	OCN-L-0014740-19
129.	Municipality	New York	The City of Albany	The City of Albany v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400004/2019
130.	Municipality	New York	City of Alma, GA;	City of Alma, GA, et al. v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
			Village of Amityville, NY;	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400031/2019
			Town of Babylon, NY;		
			Village of Babylon, NY;		
			Village of Babylon, NY;		
			Bacon County, GA;		
			City of Bayonne, NJ;		
			Village of Bellport, NY;		
			City of Blackshear, GA;		
			Town of Brookhaven, NY; City of Brunswick, GA;		
			Chatham County, GA;		
			Town of Clarkstown, NY;		
			City of Clifton, NJ;		
			Town of Clinton, NJ;		
			Dade County, GA;		
			City of Demorest, GA;		
			East Hampton Village, NY;		
			Village of East Rockaway, NY;		
			City of Elizabeth, NJ;		
			Village of Farmingdale, NY;		

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 43 of 283

		Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
		Village of Floral Park, NY;		
		Village of Garden City, NY;		
		Village of Greenport, NY;		
		Town of Haverstraw, NY;		
		Town of Hempstead, NY;		
		Town of Huntington, NY;		
		Village of Island Park, NY;		
		Village of Islandia, NY;		
		Town of Islip, NY;		
		Village of Lake Grove, NY;		
		Village of Lawrence, NY;		
		Village of Lindenhurst, NY;		
		Village of Lloyd Harbor, NY;		
		City of Long Beach, NY;		
		Village of Lynbrook, NY;		
		Village of Massapequa Park, NY;		
		Village of Mill Neck, NY;		
		Village of Millerton, NY;		
		Village of New Hyde Park, NY;		
		Village of Nissequoge, NY;		
		Town of North Hempstead, NY;		
		Village of Northport, NY;		
		Village of Old Westbury, NY;		
		Town of Orangetown, NY;		
		Town of Oyster Bay, NY;		
		Borough of Paramus, NJ;		
		Village of Patchogue, NY;		
		Pierce County, GA;		
		City of Pooler, GA;		
		Village of Poquott, NY;		
		Village of Port Washington North,		
		NY;		
		City of Richmond Hill, GA;		
		Town of Riverhead, NY;		
		Village of Saltaire, NY;		
		Town of Smithtown, NY;		
		Town of Southampton, NY;		
		Town of Southold, NY;		

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 44 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
			Village of Stewart Manor, NY;		
			Village of Suffern, NY;		
			Village of Valley Stream, NY;		
			Village of the Branch, NY;		
			Town of Wappinger, NY;		
			Village of Wappinger Falls, NY;		
			Village of West Hampton Dunes,		
			NY;		
			Village of West Haverstraw, NY;		
			Village of Westbury, NY;		
			Village of Hempstead, NY		
131.	Municipality	New York	The City of Buffalo	The City of Buffalo v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	811359-2019
132.	Municipality	New York	City of Ithaca	City of Ithaca v. Purdue Pharma	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
				L.P., et al.	400002/2018
133.	Municipality	New York	The City of Mount Vernon	The City of Mount Vernon v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400016/2019
134.	Municipality	New York	City of New York	City of New York v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400006/2018
135.	Municipality	New York	City of Plattsburgh	City of Plattsburgh v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400003/2019
136.	Municipality	New York	City of Schenectady	City of Schenectady v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400005/2019
137.	Municipality	New York	City of Troy	City of Troy v. Purdue Pharma	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				L.P., et al.	400006/2019
138.	Municipality	New York	City of Yonkers	City of Yonkers v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400020/2019
139.	Municipality	New York	County of Broome	County of Broome v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400002/2017
140.	Municipality	New York	The County of Cattaraugus	The County of Cattaraugus v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400027/2019
141.	Municipality	New York	The County of Cayuga	The County of Cayuga v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400013/2019
142.	Municipality	New York	The County of Chautauqua	The County of Chautauqua v.	Sup. Ct. Chautauqua Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	KI-2018-57
143.	Municipality	New York	The County of Chenango	The County of Chenango v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400021/2019

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 45 of 283

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
144.	Municipality	New York	The County of Clinton	The County of Clinton v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
	1 2		,	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400003/2018
145.	Municipality	New York	County of Columbia	County of Columbia v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400015/2018
146.	Municipality	New York	The County of Cortland	The County of Cortland v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400019/2018
147.	Municipality	New York	County of Dutchess	County of Dutchess v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400005/2017
148.	Municipality	New York	County of Erie	County of Erie v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400003/2017
149.	Municipality	New York	The County of Essex	The County of Essex v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400019/2019
150.	Municipality	New York	The County of Franklin	The County of Franklin v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400012/2018
151.	Municipality	New York	The County of Fulton	The County of Fulton v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400018/2018
152.	Municipality	New York	The County of Genesee	The County of Genesee v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400011/2018
153.	Municipality	New York	The County of Greene	The County of Greene v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400008/2018
154.	Municipality	New York	The County of Hamilton	The County of Hamilton v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400005/2018
155.	Municipality	New York	County of Herkimer	County of Herkimer v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400008/2019
156.	Municipality	New York	The County of Lewis	The County of Lewis v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400007/2019
157.	Municipality	New York	The County of Livingston	The County of Livingston v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400013/2018
158.	Municipality	New York	The County of Madison	The County of Madison v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400028/2019
159.	Municipality	New York	The County of Monroe	The County of Monroe v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400017/2018
160.	Municipality	New York	County of Montgomery	County of Montgomery v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400009/2019
161.	Municipality	New York	County of Nassau	County of Nassau v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
<u> </u>				Pharma L.P., et al.	400008/2017

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 46 of 283

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
162.	Municipality	New York	County of Niagara	County of Niagara v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400012/2017
163.	Municipality	New York	The County of Ontario	The County of Ontario v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400001/2019
164.	Municipality	New York	County of Orange	County of Orange v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400004/2017
165.	Municipality	New York	County of Oswego	County of Oswego v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400007/2018
166.	Municipality	New York	The County of Otsego	The County of Otsego v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400004/2019
167.	Municipality	New York	The County of Putnam	The County of Putnam v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400014/2019
168.	Municipality	New York	County of Rensselaer	County of Rensselaer v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400011/2017
169.	Municipality	New York	County of St. Lawrence	County of St. Lawrence v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400002/2019
170.	Municipality	New York	County of Saratoga	County of Saratoga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400009/2018
171.	Municipality	New York	County of Schenectady	County of Schenectady v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400009/2017
172.	Municipality	New York	County of Schoharie	County of Schoharie v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400010/2017
173.	Municipality	New York	The County of Schuyler	The County of Schuyler v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400014/2018
174.	Municipality	New York	County of Seneca	County of Seneca v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400006/2017
175.	Municipality	New York	The County of Steuben	The County of Steuben v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400004/2018
176.	Municipality	New York	County of Suffolk	County of Suffolk v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400001/2017
177.	Municipality	New York	County of Sullivan	County of Sullivan v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400007/2017
178.	Municipality	New York	The County of Tioga	The County of Tioga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400022/2019
179.	Municipality	New York	County of Tompkins	County of Tompkins v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400001/2018

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 47 of 283

181. Mu:	Type unicipality unicipality unicipality	New York New York	(Last, First) The County of Ulster	Case Name The County of Ulster v. Purdue	Case Number Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
181. Mu:	unicipality		-		Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
182. Mu		New York	TTI C ATT		
182. Mu		New York		Pharma L.P., et al.	400011/2019
	unicipality		The County of Warren	The County of Warren v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	unicipality	+		Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400030/2019
		New York	The County of Washington	The County of Washington v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400010/2019
183. Mu	unicipality	New York	County of Westchester	County of Westchester v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400010/2018
184. Mu	unicipality	New York	The County of Wyoming	The County of Wyoming v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400013/2018
185. Mu	unicipality	New York	The Town of Amherst	The Town of Amherst v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	803887-2018
186. Mu	unicipality	New York	The Town of Cheektowaga	The Town of Cheektowaga v.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	806151-2018
187. Mu	unicipality	New York	The Town of Lancaster	The Town of Lancaster v.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty.
	1 ,			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	809160-2018
188. Mu:	unicipality	New York	The Town of Tonawanda	The Town of Tonawanda v.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty.
	1 ,			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	810783-2018
189. Mu:	unicipality	New York	The Town of Wappinger, New	The Town of Wappinger, New	Sup. Ct. Dutchess Cnty.
	1 ,		York	York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et	2019-54549
				al.	
190. Mu	unicipality	New York	The Village of Wappingers Falls,	The Village of Wappingers	Sup. Ct. Dutchess Cnty.
	1 ,		New York	Falls, New York v. Purdue	2019-53838
				Pharma L.P., et al.	
191. Mu	unicipality	Ohio	The County of Medina, Ohio;	The County of Medina, Ohio;	C.P. Medina Cnty.
	1 3		The State of Ohio ex rel.	The State of Ohio ex rel.	19-CIV-0838
			Prosecuting Attorney of Medina	Prosecuting Attorney of Medina	
			County, S. Forrest Thompson	County, S. Forrest Thompson v.	
			1	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
192. Mu	unicipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	D. Ct. Cleveland Cnty.
	1 2		Cleveland County	Commissioners of Cleveland	CJ-2019-592
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
				et al.	
193. Mu	unicipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	D. Ct. Greer Cnty.
	<u>-</u>		Greer County	Commissioners of Greer County	CJ-2019-12
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 48 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
194.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Hughes County	Board of County Commissioners of Hughes County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Hughes Cnty. CJ-2019-36
195.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of McCurtain County	Board of County Commissioners of McCurtain County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. McCurtain Cnty. CJ-2019-54
196.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Noble County	Board of County Commissioners of Noble County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Noble Cnty. CJ-2019-05
197.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Burns Flat	City of Burns Flat v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Washita Cnty. CJ-2019-29
198.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Wampum Borough	Wampum Borough v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. July Term 2018 No. 01963
199.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Lock Haven	City of Lock Haven v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clinton Cnty. 1126-2018
200.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Philadelphia	City of Philadelphia v. Allergan PLC, et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Jan. Term 2018, No. 002718
201.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Pittsburgh	City of Philadelphia v. Allergan PLC, et al.	C.P. Allegheny Cnty. 18-006153
202.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of PA, acting by and through Philadelphia District Attorney Lawrence S. Krasner	Commonwealth of PA, acting by and through Philadelphia District Attorney Lawrence S. Krasner v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Delaware Cnty. CV-2017-008095 Phila. Ct. Com. Pl. January Term 2018, No. 05594
203.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of PA, acting by James Martin; People of Lehigh County and Lehigh County, PA	Commonwealth of PA, acting by James Martin; People of Lehigh County and Lehigh County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Lehigh Cnty. 2018-C-0716
204.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Deborah S. Ryan, the District Attorney of Chester County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Deborah S. Ryan, the District Attorney of Chester County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Chester Cnty. 2020-04553

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 49 of 283

	T	G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	C. N	Court /
205	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name Commonwealth of	Case Number
205.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Francis T. Chardo, the District Attorney of Dauphin County	Pennsylvania, acting by and through Francis T. Chardo, the District Attorney of Dauphin County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Dauphin Cnty., 12th Jud. Dist. 2019-CV-7795-CV
206.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Jack Daneri, the District Attorney of Erie County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Jack Daneri, the District Attorney of Erie County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Erie Cnty., 6th Jud. Dist. 12837-19
207.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Jack Stollsteimer, the District Attorney of Delaware County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Jack Stollsteimer, the District Attorney of Delaware County v. AmerisourceBergen	C.P. Delaware Cnty. CV-2020-002026
208.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John T. Adams, the District Attorney of Berks County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John T. Adams, the District Attorney of Berks County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Berks Cnty. 19-18232
209.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John W. Peck, the District Attorney of Westmoreland County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John W. Peck, the District Attorney of Westmoreland County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Westmoreland Cnty. 2561-2020
210.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Matthew D. Weintraub, the District Attorney of Bucks County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Matthew D. Weintraub, the District Attorney of Bucks County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. 2020-00639

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 50 of 283

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
211.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Terence P. Houck, the District Attorney of Northampton County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Terence P. Houck, the District Attorney of Northampton County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Northampton County C-48-CV-2020-3440
212.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Ryan P. Sayers, the District Attorney of Clearfield County	District Attorney of Clearfield County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al	C.P. Clearfield Cnty. 2020-1056-CO
213.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Armstrong County, PA	Armstrong County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Armstrong Cnty. 2017-1570-CV
214.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Allegheny	County of Allegheny v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Allegheny Cnty. 18-006155
215.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Beaver Cnty. 11326-2017
216.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Bradford	County of Bradford v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bradford Cnty. 2018-CV-0059
217.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Bucks County	Bucks County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. No. 2018-03144
218.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Cambria County, Pennsylvania	Cambria County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Cambria Cnty 2017-4131
219.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Carbon	County of Carbon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Carbon Cnty. No. 18-0990
220.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Clarion	County of Clarion v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clarion Cnty. 285-CD-2018
221.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clearfield County	Clearfield County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clearfield Cnty. 2018-1484-CD
222.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clinton County	Clinton County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clinton Cnty. 752-18
223.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Cumberland	County of Cumberland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Cumberland Cnty. 2018-02147
224.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Dauphin County, PA	Dauphin County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Dauphin Cnty. 2018-CV-716-CV
225.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Delaware County	Delaware County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Delaware Cnty. No. 2017-008095

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 51 of 283

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
226.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Erie	County of Erie v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Erie Cnty. 11577-18
227.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Fayette	County of Fayette v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Fayette Cnty. 2017-2676
228.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Franklin County	Franklin County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Franklin Cnty. 2019-2445
229.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Greene	County of Greene v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Greene Cnty. 791-2017
230.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania	Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Lackawanna Cnty. 17-cv-5156
231.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lawrence County, Pennsylvania	Lawrence County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Beaver Cnty 11180-2017
232.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lower Makefield Township	Lower Makefield Township v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cty. 2020-02718
233.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mercer County	Mercer County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Mercer Cnty. 2018-1596
234.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	People of Northampton County and Northampton County, PA	People of Northampton County and Northampton County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Northampton Cnty. 2017-11557
235.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Pike County, Pa.	Pike County, Pa. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Pike Cnty. No. 602-2018
236.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania	Schuylkill County, Pa. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Schuylkill Cnty. S-1241-18
237.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Monroe Cnty. 3972-CV-18
238.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Tioga	County of Tioga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Tioga Cnty. 563-CV-2018
239.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Washington	County of Washington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Washington Cnty. 2017-6268
240.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Westmoreland	County of Westmoreland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Westmoreland Cnty 2017-5975
241.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of York	County of York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. York Cnty. 2017-003372

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 52 of 283

	T.	G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	C. N	Court /
2.12	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
242.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	The Municipality of Norristown	The Municipality of Norristown	C.P. Montgomery Cnty.
			and The Township of West Norriton	and The Township of West Norriton v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	2019-12178
			Normon	et al.	
243.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mahoning Township	Mahoning Township v. Purdue	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty.
243.	Municipanty	Femisyivama	Manoning Township	Pharma L.P., et al.	18-0603466
244.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Newtown Township	Newtown Township v. Purdue	C.P. Bucks Cnty.
244.	Withincipanty	1 Chilisyivania	Newtown Township	Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-03043
245.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Warrington Township	Warrington Township v. Purdue	C.P. Bucks Cnty.
243.	withinespainty	1 chinsylvania	warmgton rownship	Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-04956
246.	Municipality	South Carolina	City of Charleston	City of Charleston v. Purdue	C.P. Charleston Cnty.
210.	Withinespainty	South Curonna	City of charleston	Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-CP-10-04294
247.	Municipality	South Carolina	City of North Charleston	City of North Charleston v.	C.P. Charleston Cnty.
2 . , .	Wanterparty	South Curonna	City of Fronti Charleston	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-CP-10-03978
248.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Abbeville	County of Abbeville v. Rite Aid	C.P. Abbeville Cnty.
				of South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019-CP-01-00154
249.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Aiken	County of Aiken v. Rite Aid of	C.P. Aiken Cnty.
				South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019-CP-02-01086
250.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Allendale	County of Allendale v. Purdue	C.P. Allendale Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-03-00125
251.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Anderson	County of Anderson v. Rite Aid	C.P. Anderson Cnty.
				of South Carolina Inc., et al.	2018-CP-04-01108
252.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Bamberg	County of Bamberg v. Purdue	C.P. Bamberg Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-05-00189
253.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Barnwell	County of Barnwell v. Purdue	C.P. Barnwell Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-06-00329
254.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Beaufort	County of Beaufort v. Purdue	C.P. Beaufort Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-07-01245
255.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Calhoun	County of Calhoun v. Rite Aid	C.P. Calhoun Cnty.
				of South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019-CP-09-00065
256.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Cherokee	County of Cherokee v. Rite Aid	C.P. Cherokee Cnty.
	3.5 1.1 22		0.001	of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-11-00503
257.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Chesterfield	County of Chesterfield v. Rite	C.P. Chesterfield Cnty.
				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-13-00410
250	3.6 1.4	0 1 0 1	C + CCl 1	al.	G P Cl 1 C 4
258.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Clarendon	County of Clarendon v. Rite Aid	C.P. Clarendon Cnty.
	<u> </u>			of South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019-CP-14-00236

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 53 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
259.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Colleton	County of Colleton v. Purdue	C.P. Colleton Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-15-00438
260.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Dillon	County of Dillon v. Rite Aid of	C.P. Dillon Cnty.
				South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019-CP-17-00213
261.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Dorchester	County of Dorchester v. Purdue	C.P. Dorchester Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-18-01122
262.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Edgefield	County of Edgefield v. Rite Aid	C.P. Edgefield Cnty.
				of South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019-CP-19-00120
263.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Fairfield	County of Fairfield v. Rite Aid	C.P. Fairfield Cnty.
				of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-20-00272
264.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Florence	County of Florence v. Rite Aid	C.P. Florence Cnty.
				of South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019-CP-21-01213
265.	Municipality	South Carolina	Greenville County	Greenville County v. Purdue	C.P. Greenville Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-23-01294
266.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Greenwood	County of Greenwood v. Rite	C.P. Cherokee Cnty.
				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-24-00775
				al.	
267.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Hampton	County of Hampton v. Purdue	C.P. Hampton Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-25-00258
268.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Horry	County of Horry v. Rite Aid of	C.P. Horry Cnty.
				South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019-CP-26-02684
269.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Jasper	County of Jasper v. Purdue	C.P. Jasper Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-27-00332
270.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Kershaw	County of Kershaw v. Purdue	C.P. Kershaw Cnty.
	1 3			Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-28-00553
271.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lancaster	County of Lancaster v. Rite Aid	C.P. Lancaster Cnty.
	1 3			of South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019-CP-29-00540
272.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Laurens	Coun-02ty of Laurens v. Rite	C.P. Laurens Cnty.
	1 3			Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-30-00606
				al.	
273.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lee	County of Lee v. Rite Aid of	C.P. Lee Cnty.
				South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-31-00207
274.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lexington	County of Lexington v. Purdue	C.P. Lexington Cnty.
			, =	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-32-02207
275.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Marion	County of Marion v. Rite Aid of	C.P. Marion Cnty.
_,	- I mining	Joseph Jaronna		South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019-CP-33-00299

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 54 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
276.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of McCormick	County of McCormick v. Rite	C.P. McCormick Cnty.
				Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019-CP-35-00031
277.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Oconee	County of Oconee v. Rite Aid of	C.P. Oconee Cnty.
2=0				South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-37-00458
278.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Orangeburg	County of Orangeburg v. Rite	C.P. Orangeburg Cnty.
				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-38-00841
270	M11'	C 1 . C 1'	Company CD' Loren	al.	C.D. D' Leave C. to
279.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Pickens	County of Pickens v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Pickens Cnty. 18-CP-39-00675
280.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Saluda	County of Saluda v. Rite Aid of	C.P. Clarendon Cnty.
200.	Municipanty	South Carolina	County of Saluda	South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019-CP-41-00111
281.	Municipality	South Carolina	Spartanburg County	Spartanburg County v. Purdue	C.P. Spartanburg Cnty.
201.	withincipality	South Carollia	Spartanoung County	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-42-00760
282.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Sumter	County of Sumter v. Rite Aid of	C.P. Sumter Cnty.
202.	Withincipanity	South Carollia	County of Sunici	South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019-CP-43-00891
283.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Union	County of Union v. Rite Aid of	C.P. Union Cnty.
	interior parity		or o	South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-44-00288
284.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Williamsburg	County of Williamsburg v.	C.P. Williamsburg Cnty.
	1 7			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-45-00276
285.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of York	County of York v. Rite Aid of	C.P. York Cnty.
				South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-46-02446
286.	Municipality	South Carolina	Town of Mount Pleasant	Town of Mount Pleasant v.	C.P. Charleston Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-CP-10-04302
287.	District Attorney	Tennessee	Bryant C. Dunaway, in his official	Bryant C. Dunaway, et al. v.	Cir. Ct. Cumberland Cnty.
	General /		capacity as the District Attorney	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CCI-2018-CV-6331
	Municipality		General for the Thirteenth Judicial		
			District, TN and on behalf of all		
			political subdivisions therein,		
			Including Clay County, City of		
			Celine, Cumberland County, City		
			of Crab Orchard, City of Crossville, Town of Pleasant Hill,		
			Dekalb County, Town of		
			Alexandria, Town of Dowelltown,		
			Town of Liberty, City of		
			Smithville, Overton County, Town		
			of Livingston, Pickett County,		
			Town of Byrdstown, Putnam		

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 55 of 283

		Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
		County, City of Algood, Town of		
		Baxter, City of Cookeville, Town		
		of Monterey, White County, Town		
		of Doyle, City of Sparta;		
		Jenning H. Jones, in his official		
		capacity as the District Attorney		
		General for the Sixteenth Judicial		
		District, TN and on behalf of all		
		political subdivisions therein,		
		including Cannon County, Town of		
		Auburntown, Town of Woodbury,		
		Rutherford County, City of Eaglevill, City of La Vergne, City		
		of Murfreesboro, Town of Smyrna;		
		of Multicesboio, Town of Sinyma,		
		Robert J. Carter, in his official		
		capacity as the District Attorney		
		General for the Seventeenth		
		Judicial District, TN and on behalf		
		of all political subdivisions therein,		
		including Bedord County, Town of		
		Bell Buckle, Town of Normandy,		
		City of Shelbyville, Town of		
		Wartrace, Lincoln County, City of		
		Ardmore, City of Fayetteville,		
		Town of Petersburg, Marshall		
		County, Town of Chapel Hill,		
		Town of Cornersville, City of		
		Lewisburg, Moore County, City of Lynchburg;		
		Lynchburg,		
		Brent A. Cooper, in his official		
		capacity as the District Attorney		
		General for the Twenty-Second		
		Judicial District, TN and on behalf		
		of all political subdivisions therein,		
		including Giles County, City of		

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 56 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
		State	Elkton, Town of Lynnville, City of Minor Hill, City of Pulaski, Lawrence County, Town of Ethridge, City of Iron City, City of Lawrenceburg, City of Loretto, City of St. Joseph, Maury County, City of Columbia, City of Mount Pleasant, City of Spring Hill, Wayne County, City of Collinwood, City of Waynesboro; Lisa S. Zavogiannis, in her official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirty-First Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, including Van Buren County, Town of Spencer, Warren County, Town of Centertown, City of McMinnville, Town of Morrison, Town of Viola; Baby Doe, by and through his Mother.	Cuse Ivame	
288.	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	Jared Effler, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Eigth Judicial District, TN; Charme Allen, in her official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Sixth Judicial District; Dave Clark, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Seventh Judicial District, TN; Russell Johnson, in his official	Jared Effler, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eastern Section at Knoxville Court of Appeals No. E2018-01994-COA-R3-CV

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 57 of 283

	Т	St-t-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	C N	Court /
	Туре	State	capacity as the District Attorney General for the Ninth Judicial District, TN; Stephen Crump, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Tenth Judicial District, TN; Jimmy Dunn, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Fourth Judicial District, TN; Mike Taylor, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Twelfth Judicial District, TN	Case Name	Case Number
289.	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	Baby Doe #1; Baby Doe #2 Barry Staubus, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Second Judicial District and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein; Tony Clark, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the First Judicial District and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein; Dan Armstrong, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Third Judicial District and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein;	Barry Staubus, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Sullivan Cnty. No. C-41916

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 58 of 283

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
			Baby Doe, by and through his Guadian Ad Litem		
290.	Municipality	Tennessee	Shelby County, by the Shelby Board of Commissioners	Shelby County, by the Shelby Board of Commissioners v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Shelby Cnty. No. CT-004500-17
291.	Municipality	Texas	City of Houston, Texas	City of Houston, Texas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2019-43219
292.	Municipality	Texas	County of Bee	County of Bee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76897
293.	Municipality	Texas	County of Bexar	County of Bexar v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77066
294.	Municipality	Texas	County of Burnet	County of Burnet v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77090
295.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cameron	County of Cameron v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77093
296.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cass	County of Cass v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76905
297.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cooke	County of Cooke v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76907
298.	Municipality	Texas	County of Coryell	County of Coryell v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Coryell Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77097
299.	Municipality	Texas	County of Dallas	County of Dallas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77098
300.	Municipality	Texas	County of Delta	County of Delta v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77104
301.	Municipality	Texas	County of Dimmit	County of Dimmit v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76933
302.	Municipality	Texas	County of Ector	County of Ector v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76934
303.	Municipality	Texas	County of El Paso	County of El Paso v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76970
304.	Municipality	Texas	County of Falls	County of Falls v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77106
305.	Municipality	Texas	County of Fannin	County of Fannin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76974

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 59 of 283

	Т	64-4-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Com Norma	Court /
306.	Type Municipality	Texas	(Last, First) County of Grayson	Case Name County of Grayson v. Purdue	Case Number Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
306.	Municipanty	Texas	County of Grayson	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-76994
307.	Municipality	Texas	County of Harrison	County of Harrison v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
307.	withinespainty	TOXUS	County of Harrison	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77108
308.	Municipality	Texas	County of Hidalgo	County of Hidalgo v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77109
309.	Municipality	Texas	County of Hopkins	County of Hopkins v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77111
310.	Municipality	Texas	County of Houston	County of Houston v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77021
311.	Municipality	Texas	Johnson County	Johnson County v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
212			0.000	Pharma, L.P. et al.	2018-87346
312.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kendall	County of Kendall v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
212	3.6 1.4	T	C + CV	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77023
313.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kerr	County of Kerr v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77114
314.	Municipality	Texas	County of Liberty	County of Liberty v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
314.	Municipanty	Texas	County of Liberty	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77116
315.	Municipality	Texas	County of Limestone	County of Limestone v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
313.	Manierpanty	Tonus	County of Emilesione	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77025
316.	Municipality	Texas	County of Marion	County of Marion v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77026
317.	Municipality	Texas	County of McMullen	County of McMullen v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77067
318.	Municipality	Texas	County of Milam	County of Milam v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77141
319.	Municipality	Texas	County of Nacogdoches	County of Nacogdoches v.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
220	3.5		0.1	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77027
320.	Municipality	Texas	County of Nueces;	County of Nueces and Nueces	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
			Nueces County Hospital District	County Hospital District v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77083
321.	Municipality	Texas	County of Orange	County of Orange v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
321.	iviumcipanty	163.48	County of Orange	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77036
322.	Municipality	Texas	County of Panola	County of Panola v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
322.		10/100	25 mily of 1 milota	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77037
323.	Municipality	Texas	County of Parker	County of Parker v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77143

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 60 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
324.	Municipality	Texas	County of Potter	County of Potter v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77039
325.	Municipality	Texas	County of Robertson	County of Robertson v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77043
326.	Municipality	Texas	County of San Patricio	County of San Patricio v.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77075
327.	Municipality	Texas	County of Shelby	County of Shelby v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77062
328.	Municipality	Texas	County of Travis	County of Travis v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77144
329.	Municipality	Texas	County of Trinity	County of Trinity v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77080
330.	Municipality	Texas	County of Van Zandt	County of Van Zandt v.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp.,	2018-77150
				et al.	
331.	Municipality	Texas	County of Waller	County of Waller v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77153
332.	Municipality	Texas	County of Wood	County of Wood v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77081
333.	Municipality	Utah	Cache County, Utah;	Cache County, Utah; Rich	1st Dist. Ct. Cache Cnty.
			Rich County, Utah	County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma	190100112
				L.P., et al.	
334.	Municipality	Utah	Davis County	Davis County v. Purdue Pharma	2nd Dist. Ct. Davis Cnty.
				L.P., et al.	180700870
335.	Municipality	Utah	Grand County	Grand County v. Purdue Pharma	7th Jud. Dist. Ct. Grand Cnty.
			-	L.P., et al.	180700040
336.	Municipality	Utah	Iron County	Iron County v. Purdue Pharma	5th Jud. Dist. Ct. Iron Cnty.
				L.P., et al.	180500149
337.	Municipality	Utah	Millard County	Millard County v. Purdue	4th Jud. Dist. Ct. Millard Cnty.
			·	Pharma L.P., et al.	180700044
338.	Municipality	Utah	Salt Lake County	Salt Lake County v. Purdue	3rd Jud. Dist. Ct. Salt Lake Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	180902421
339.	Municipality	Utah	San Juan County	San Juan County v. Purdue	7th Jud. Dist. Ct. Grand Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	180700040
340.	Municipality	Utah	Sanpete County	Sanpete County v. Purdue	6th Jud. Dist. Ct. Sanpete Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	180600095

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 61 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
341.	Municipality	Utah	Emery County;	Sevier County, Utah; Juab	6th Jud. Dis. Ct. Sevier Cnty.
			Juab County;	County, Utah; Emery County,	190600050
			Piute County	Utah; Wayne County, Utah; and	
			Sevier County;	Piute County, Utah v. Purdue	
			Wayne County;	Pharma L.P., et al.	
342.	Municipality	Utah	Summit County, Utah	Summit County, Utah v. Purdue	3rd Dist. Ct. Summit Cnty.
			-	Pharma L.P., et al.	180500119
343.	Municipality	Utah	Tooele County, Utah	Tooele County, Utah v. Purdue	3rd Dist. Ct. Tooele Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	180300423
344.	Municipality	Utah	Uintah County, Utah;	Uintah County, Utah; Duscesne	8th Dist. Ct. Uintah Cnty.
			Duscesne County, Utah;	County, Utah; Daggett County,	180800056
			Daggett County, Utah;	Utah; and Tri-County Health	
			Tri-County Health Department	Department v. Purdue Pharma	
				L.P., et al.	
345.	Municipality	Utah	Wasatch County, Utah	Wasatch County, Utah v.	4th Dist. Ct. Wasatch Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	180500079
346.	Municipality	Utah	Washington County, Utah;	Washington County, Utah; Kane	5th Dist. Ct. Washington Cnty.
			Kane County, Utah;	County, Utah; Beaver County,	190500179
			Beaver County, Utah;	Utah; Garfield County, Utah v.	
			Garfield County, Utah	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
347.	Municipality	Utah	Weber County, Utah	Weber County, Utah v. Purdue	2nd Dist. Ct. Weber Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	180903087
348.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Martinsville, Virginia	City of Martinsville, Virginia v.	Cir. Ct. Martinsville Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CL18000240-00
349.	Municipality	Virginia	Dinwiddie County, Virginia	Dinwiddie County, Virginia v.	Cir. Ct. Dinwiddie Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CL19000317-00
350.	Municipality	Virginia	The County Board of Arlington	The County Board of Arlington	Cir. Ct. Arlington Cnty.
			County, Virginia	County, Virginia v. Purdue	CL19001081-00
				Pharma L.P., et al.	
351.	Municipality	Virginia	Mecklenburg County	Mecklenburg County, Virginia	Cir. Ct. Mecklenburg County
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CL19000558-00

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 62 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
352.	Municipality	West Virginia	Brooke County Commission; Hancock County Commission; Harrison County Commission; Lewis County Commission; Marshall County Commission; Ohio County Commission; Tyler County Commission; Wetzel County Commission	Brooke County Commission, Hancock County Commission, Harrison County Commission, Lewis County Commission, Marshall County Commission, Ohio County Commission, Tyler County Commission, and Wetzel County Commission v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 17-C-248H 17-C-249H 17-C-250H 17-C-251H 17-C-252H 17-C-253H 17-C-254H 17-C-255H
				Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation	Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000
353.	Municipality	West Virginia	The County Commission of Mason County; The County Commission of Barbour County; Mayor Chris Tatum on behalf of The Village of Barboursville; The County Commission of Taylor County; The County Commission of Webster County; Mayor Don E. McCourt, on behalf of the Town of Addison a/k/a The Town of Webster Springs	The County Commission of Mason County; The County Commission of Barbour County; Mayor Chris Tatum on behalf of The Village of Barboursville; The County Commission of Taylor County; The County Commission of Webster County; and Mayor Don E. McCourt, on behalf of the Town of Addison a/k/a The Town of Webster Springs v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation	Cir. Ct. Marshall County 19-C-4H 19-C-5H 19-C-6H 19-C-7H 19-C-8H 19-C-9H
354.	Municipality	West Virginia	Mayor Peggy Knotts Barney, on behalf of the City of Grafton; Mayor Philip Bowers, on behalf of the City of Philippi	Mayor Peggy Knotts Barney, on behalf of the City of Grafton, and Mayor Philip Bowers, on behalf of the City of Philippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 19-C-151 19-C-152 Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 63 of 283

	T	G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	C. N	Court /
2.7.7	Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
355.	Municipality	West Virginia	Monongalia County Commission; Marion County Commission; Doddridge County Commission; Randolph County Commission; and Upshur County Commission	Monongalia County Commission; Marion County Commission; Doddridge County Commission; Randolph County Commission; and Upshur County Commission v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Consolidated before MLP	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 18-C-222H 18-C-233H 18-C-234H 18-C-235H 18-C-236H Cir. Ct. Kanawha County
				In re Opioid Litigation	19-C-9000
356.	Municipality	West Virginia	Roane County Commission; The City of Spencer; Jackson County Commission; The City of Ripley; The Town of Ravenswood; Wood County Commission; The City of Williamstown; Wirt County Commission; The Town of Elizabeth; Pleasants County Commission; City of St. Mary's; Ritchie County Commission; Town of Harrisville	Roane County Commission; The City of Spencer; Jackson County Commission; The City of Ripley; The Town of Ravenswood; Wood County Commission; The City of Williamstown; Wirt County Commission; The Town of Elizabeth; Pleasants County Commission; City of St. Mary's; Ritchie County Commission; Town of Harrisville v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al. Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 19-C-96H 19-C-108H Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000
Local	l Government (ML	OL)			
357.		MDL	Bedford County	Bedford County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45184 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
358.	Municipality	MDL	Broward County, Florida	Broward County, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-45332 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 64 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
359.	Municipality	MDL	Cabell County Commission;	Cabell County Commission and	N.D. Ohio.
			City of Huntington, West Virginia	City of Huntington, West	1:17-op-45053 (Cabell)
				Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen	1:17-op-45054 (Huntington)
				Drug Corp., et al.	Master Case No. 17-md-2804
360.	Municipality	MDL	City of Chicago	City of Chicago v. Purdue	N.D. Ohio
				Pharma L.P., et al.	1:17-op-45169
					Master Case No. 17-md-2804
361.	Municipality	MDL	City of Cleveland	City of Cleveland v.	N.D. Ohio
				AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp.,	1:18-op-45132
				et al.	Master Case No. 17-md-2804
362.	Municipality	MDL	City of Dothan, Alabama	City of Dothan, Alabama v.	N.D. Ohio
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-op-45886
					Master Case No. 17-md-2804
363.	Municipality	MDL	City of Henderson, Kentucky	City of Henderson, Kentucky,	N.D. Ohio
				on behalf of themselves and all	1:20-op-45062
				other similarly situated home	Master Case No. 17-md-2804
				rule cities v. Purdue Pharma	
				L.P., et al.	
364.	Municipality	MDL	City of Stuart, Florida	City of Stuart, Florida v. Purdue	N.D. Ohio
				Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-op-45124
					Master Case No. 17-md-2804
365.	Municipality	MDL	Clinton County, Missouri	Clinton County, Missouri v.	N.D. Ohio
				Allergan PLC, et al.	1:20-op-45130
					Master Case No. 17-md-2804
366.	Municipality	MDL	County of Alameda;	County of Alameda, et al. v.	N.D. Ohio
			City of Costa Mesa;	Richard Sackler, et al.	1:20-op-45055
			City of Anaheim;		Master Case No. 17-md-2804
			City of Santa Ana;		
			City of San Clemente;		
			City of Encinitas;		
			City of La Habra;		
			City of La Mesa;		
			City of Oxnard;		
			City of Placentia;		
			The People of the State of		
			California, by and through		
			Alameda County Counsel Donna		
			Ziegler, Costa Mesa City Attorney		

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 65 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
			Kimberly Hall Barlow, and Anaheim City Attorney Robert Fabela, Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho, San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith, Encinitas City Attorney Leslie Devaney, La Habra City Attorney Richard D. Jones, La Mesa City Attorney Glenn Sabine, Oxnard City Attorney Stephen Fischer, and Placentia City Attorney Christian Bettenhausen		
367.	Municipality	MDL	County of Summit, Ohio; Summit County Public Health; The City of Akron; State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney for Summit County, Sherri Bevan Walsh; Director of Law for the City of Akron, Eve Belfance	County of Summit, Ohio, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45090 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
368.	Municipality	MDL	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45158 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
369.	Municipality	MDL	Hardin County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Hardin County; Breckinridge County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Breckinridge County; Green County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Green County; Meade County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Meade County; Ohio County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Ohio County, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated counties (Fiscal Courts)	Hardin County Fiscal Court, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45063 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
370.	Municipality	MDL	Pike County, Missouri	Pike County, Missouri v. Allergan PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45131 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 66 of 283

	TD.	G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	C. N.	Court /
271	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
371.	Municipality	MDL	The City of Pascagoula,	The City of Pascagoula,	N.D. Ohio
			Mississippi	Mississippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-op-45934 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
				L.F., et al.	Waster Case No. 17-IIId-2804
372.	Municipality	MDL	The City of Wichita, Kansas	The City of Wichita, Kansas v.	N.D. Ohio
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-op-45781
					Master Case No. 17-md-2804
373.	Municipality	MDL	The County Commissioner of	The County Commissioner of	N.D. Ohio
			Carroll County Maryland	Carroll County Maryland v.	1:20-op-45052
				Actavis PLC, et al.	Master Case No. 17-md-2804
374.	Municipality	MDL	The County of Cuyahoga, Ohio;	The County of Cuyahoga, Ohio,	N.D. Ohio
			State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting	and State of Ohio ex rel.,	1:17-op-45004
			Attorney of Cuyahoga County,	Prosecuting Attorney of	Master Case No. 17-md-2804
			Michael C. O'Malley	Cuyahoga County, Michael C.	
				O'Malley v. Purdue Pharma	
				L.P., et al.	
375.	Municipality	MDL	The County of Lake, Ohio	The County of Lake, Ohio v.	N.D. Ohio
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:18-op-45032
					Master Case No. 17-md-2804
376.	Municipality	MDL	The County of Trumbull, Ohio	The County of Trumbull, Ohio	N.D. Ohio
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:18-op-45079
					Master Case No. 17-md-2804
		deral Court Pending			1 .
377.	Municipality	Alabama	Alexander City, Alabama	Alexander City, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00630
378.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Ashland, Alabama	City of Ashland, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-01812
379.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Brent, Alabama	City of Brent, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7:19-cv-01565
380.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Brundidge, Alabama	City of Brundidge, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-00861
381.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Center Point, Alabama	City of Center Point, Alabama	N.D. Ala.
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-01812
382.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Chickasaw, Alabama	City of Chickasaw, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00117
383.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Eufaula, Alabama	City of Eufaula, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-00862

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 67 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
384.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Fairfield, Alabama	City of Fairfield, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00202
385.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Geneva, Alabama	City of Geneva, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-00763
386.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Headland, Alabama	City of Headland, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-00886
387.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Lanett, Alabama	City of Lanett, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00885
388.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Leeds, Alabama	City of Leeds, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00201
389.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Level Plains, Alabama	City of Level Plains, Alabama	M.D. Ala.
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00137
390.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Linden, Alabama	City of Linden, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00129
391.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Luverne, Alabama	City of Luverne, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00136
392.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Oxford, Alabama	City of Oxford, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-01401
393.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Pell City, Alabama	City of Pell City, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:20-cv-00203
394.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Satsuma, Alabama	City of Satsuma, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00127
395.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Uniontown, Alabama	City of Uniontown, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00128
396.	Municipality	Alabama	Coosa County, Alabama	Coosa County, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
			-	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-00752
397.	Municipality	Alabama	Crenshaw County, Alabama	Crenshaw County, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-00753
398.	Municipality	Alabama	Escambia County, Alabama	Escambia County, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
			•	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00134
399.	Municipality	Alabama	Geneva County, Alabama	Geneva County, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00135
400.	Municipality	Alabama	Perry County, Alabama	Perry County, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
	1 ,			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00113
401.	Municipality	Alabama	Russell County, Alabama	Russell County, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
	1		• •	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00646

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 68 of 283

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
402.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Dauphin Island, Alabama	Town of Dauphin Island, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 1:20-cv-00135
403.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Faunsdale, Alabama	Town of Faunsdale, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., etal.	S.D. Ala. 2:20-cv-00142
404.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Sweet Water, Alabama	Town of Sweet Water, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 2:20-cv-00143
405.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Vance, Alabama	Town of Vance, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 7:19-cv-01564
406.	Municipality	California	City of Santa Ana and the People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho	City of Santa Ana and the People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02324
407.	Municipality	California	City of Fullerton and the People of the State of California by and through Fullerton City Attorney Richard D. Jones	City of Fullerton and the People of the State of California by and through Fullerton City Attorney Richard D. Jones v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02321
408.	Municipality	California	City of Irvine and the People of the State of California by and through Irvine City Attorney Jeffrey Melching	City of Irvine and the People of the State of California by and through Irvine City Attorney Jeffrey Melching v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02323
409.	Municipality	California	City of San Clemente and the People of the State of California by and through San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith	City of San Clemente and the People of the State of California, by and through San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 4:19-cv-02326
410.	Municipality	California	City of Costa Mesa and the People of the State of California by and through Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow	City of Costa Mesa and the People of the State of California by and through Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 4:19-cv-02320

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 69 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
411.	Municipality	California	City of Westminster and the People	City of Westminster and the	N.D. Cal.
			of the State of California by and	People of the State of California	3:19-cv-02325
			through Westminster City Attorney	by and through Westminster	
			Richard D. Jones	City Attorney Richard D. Jones	
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
412.	Municipality	California	County of Alameda and the People	County of Alameda and the	N.D. Cal.
			of the State of California by and	People of the State of California	3:19-cv-02307
			through County Counsel Donna	by and through County Counsel	
			Ziegler	Donna Ziegler v. Purdue	
		- 44.0		Pharma L.P., et al.	
413.	Municipality	California	The City and County of San	The City and County of San	N.D. Cal.
			Francisco, California and the	Francisco, California and the	3:18-cv-07591
			People of the State of California,	People of the State of	
			acting by and through San	California, acting by and	
			Francisco City Attorney Dennis J.	through San Francisco City	
			Herrera	Attorney Dennis J. Herrera v.	
41.4	3.5 1 1 11	D 1	Gir an	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D D 1
414.	Municipality	Delaware	City of Dover, a municipal	City of Dover, a municipal	D. Del.
			corporation of the State of	corporation of the State of	1:19-cv-00581
			Delaware;	Delaware; City of Seaford, a	
			City of Seaford, a municipal	municipal corporation of the	
			corporation of the State of	State of Delaware; and Kent	
			Delaware;	County, a political subdivision	
			Kent County, a political	of the State of Delaware v.	
			subdivision of the State of	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
415.	Municipality	Florida	Delaware City of Ocala, Florida	City of Ocala, Florida v. Purdue	M.D. Fla.
415.	Municipality	Florida	City of Ocala, Florida	Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Fla. 5:19-cv-00440
416.	Municipality	Hawaii	County of Hawai'i	County of Hawai'i v. Purdue	D. Haw.
410.	Municipanty	пажан	County of Hawai i	Pharma L.P., et al.	D. паw. 1:19-cv-00581
417.	Municipality /	Hawaii	County of Kaua'i, a political	County of Kaua'i, a political	D. Haw.
41/.	Class Action	IIawaii	subdivision of the State of Hawaii,	subdivision of the State of	D. паw. 1:19-cv-00377
	Class Action		for themselves individually, and on	Hawaii, for themselves	1.19-64-003//
			behalf of all similarly situated	individually, and on behalf of all	
			persons, and on behalf of the	similarly situated persons, and	
			general public, as a class	on behalf of the general public,	
			general public, as a class	as a class v. CVS Health	
				Corporation, et al.	
<u> </u>				Corporation, et al.	

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 70 of 283

	T	Gt. 4	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	C. N	Court /
418.	Type	State Louisiana	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number E.D. La.
418.	Municipality	Louisiana	Town of Abita Springs, Louisiana	Town of Abita Springs, Louisiana v. Purdue Pharma	E.D. La. 2:19-cv-14521
				Inc., et al.	2:19-cv-14321
419.	Municipality	Louisiana	Warren Montgomery, Duly Elected	Warren Montgomery, Duly	E.D. La.
417.	Municipanty	Louisialia	22nd Judicial District Attorney for	Elected 22nd Judicial District	2:19-cv-14516
			the Parishes of St. Tammany and	Attorney for the Parishes of St.	2.19-64-14310
			Washington	Tammany and Washington v.	
			w ashington	Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	
420.	Municipality	Maine	City of Rockland, State of Maine	City of Rockland, State of	D. Me.
720.	Municipanty	Manic	City of Rockland, State of Maine	Maine v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et	2:19-cv-00373
				al.	2.19-64-00373
421.	Municipality	Maine	Knox County, State of Maine	Knox County, State of Maine,	D. Me.
121.	Withinespassey	Within	Triox County, State of Wante	individually, and on behalf of all	2:19-cv-00371
				others similarly situated v.	2.17 6 003 / 1
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
422.	Municipality	Maryland	Howard County	Howard County, Maryland v.	D. Md.
			, i	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-02116
423.	Municipality	Michigan	Charter Township of Harrison	Charter Township of Harrison v.	E.D. Mich.
	1 3	8	1	The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et	2:19-cv-11681
				al.	
424.	Municipality	Michigan	City of Sterling Heights	City of Sterling Heights v. The	E.D. Mich.
				Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al.	2:19-cv-11685
425.	Municipality	Michigan	City of Warren	City of Warren v. The Pain	E.D. Mich.
				Center USA, PLLC, et al.	2:19-cv-11687
426.	Municipality	Minnesota	City of Coon Rapids, Minnesota	City of Coon Rapids, Minnesota	D. Minn.
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	0:19-cv-02379
427.	Municipality	Missouri	Camden County, Missouri	Camden County, Missouri v.	E.D. Mo.
				Williams et al.	4:19-cv-02930
428.	Municipality	Missouri	St. Francois County	St. Francois County v. Dannie	E.D. Mo.
				E. Williams, M.D., et al.	4:19-cv-01722
429.	Municipality	Nevada	Clark County	Clark County v. Purdue Pharma	D. Nev.
				L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-01616
430.	Municipality	New Hampshire	Carroll County	Carroll County v. Teva	D. N.H.
				Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., et	1:19-cv-01097
				al.	
431.	Municipality	New Hampshire	Coos County	Coos County v. Teva	D. N.H.
				Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., et	1:19-cv-01095
				al.	

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 71 of 283

	_		Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
432.	Municipality	New Jersey	County of Burlington, NJ	County of Burlington, NJ v.	D. N.J.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-13684
433.	Municipality	New Jersey	Cumberland County	Cumberland County v. Purdue	D. N.J.
12.1	25 1 1 11			Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-19134
434.	Municipality	New Jersey	Township of Brick	Township of Brick v. Purdue	D. N.J.
				Pharma Inc., et al.	3:19-cv-17998
435.	Municipality	New York	City of Amsterdam	City of Amsterdam v. Purdue	N.D.N.Y.
				Pharma L.P.	1:19-cv-00896
436.	Municipality	New York	City of Auburn	City of Auburn v. Purdue	W.D.N.Y.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	6:19-cv-06490
437.	Municipality	New York	City of Ogdensburg	The City of Ogdensburg v.	N.D.N.Y
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	8:19-cv-00782
438.	Municipality /	New York	City of Poughkeepsie	The City of Poughkeepsie,	S.D.N.Y.
	Class Action			individually, and on behalf of all	7:19-cv-06800
				others similarly situated v.	
				Purdue Pharma L.P.	
439.	Municipality	New York	City of Rochester	City of Rochester v. Purdue	E.D.N.Y.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2:18-cv-03800
440.	Municipality	New York	City of Saratoga Springs	The City of Saratoga Springs v.	N.D.N.Y.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-00789
441.	Municipality	Ohio	The County of Fayette, Ohio;	The County of Fayette, Ohio;	S.D. Ohio
			The State of Ohio ex rel.	The State of Ohio ex rel.	2:19-cv-04347
			Prosecuting Attorney of Fayette	Prosecuting Attorney of Fayette	
			County, Jess Weade	County, Jess Weade v. Purdue	
				Pharma L.P., et al.	
442.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	E.D. Okla.
			Atoka County	Commissioners of Atoka	6:19-cv-00279
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
				et al.	
443.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
			Caddo County	Commissioners of Caddo	5:19-cv-00710
			·	County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
				et al.	
444.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
	_ * *		Cimarron County	Commissioners of Cimarron	5:19-cv-00776
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
				et al.	

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 72 of 283

		~	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
445.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Coal County	Board of County Commissioners of Coal County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00405
446.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Grady County	Board of County Commissioners of Grady County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00703
447.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Haskell County	Board of County Commissioners of Haskell County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00280
448.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Jackson County	Board of County Commissioners of Jackson County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-01108
449.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Jefferson County	Board of County Commissioners of Jefferson County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00721
450.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Kay County	Board of County Commissioners of Kay County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00884
451.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Latimer County	Board of County Commissioners of Latimer County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00282
452.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of LeFlore County	Board of County Commissioners of LeFlore County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00362
453.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County	Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-01109
454.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Logan County	Board of County Commissioners of Logan County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00984

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 73 of 283

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
455.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of	Board of County	E.D. Okla.
433.	1 ,		Love County	Commissioners of Love County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6:19-ev-00320
456.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Major County	Board of County Commissioners of Major County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00879
457.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Okfuskee County	Board of County Commissioners of Okfuskee County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-ev-00300
458.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Oklahoma County	Board of County Commissioners of Oklahoma County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00926
459.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Pottawatomie County	Board of County Commissioners of Pottawatomie County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00880
460.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Texas County	Board of County Commissioners of Texas County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00987
461.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Woods County	Board of County Commissioners of Woods County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00878
462.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Woodward County	Board of County Commissioners of Woodward County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-01110
463.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Anadarko	City of Anadarko v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00815
464.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Bethany	City of Bethany v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00804
465.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Fort Cobb	City of Fort Cobb v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00816

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 74 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
466.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Jenks	City of Jenks v. Purdue Pharma	N.D. Okla.
				L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00380
467.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Seminole	City of Seminole v. Purdue	E.D. Okla.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	6:19-cv-00291
468.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Shawnee	City of Shawnee v. Purdue	W.D. Okla.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00711
469.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Adams County	Adams County v. Purdue	E.D. Pa.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-04438
470.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Allentown, Pennsylvania	City of Allentown, Pennsylvania	E.D. Pa.
				v. AmerisourceBergen Drug	5:19-cv-03884
				Corp., et al.	
471.	Municipality	Puerto Rico	Municipality of Adjuntas, Puerto	Municipality of Adjuntas,	D. P.R.
			Rico	Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma	3:20-cv-01291
				L.P., et al.	
472.	Municipality	Puerto Rico	Municipality of Barceloneta,	Municipality of Barceloneta	D.P.R.
			Puerto Rico	Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma,	3:20-cv-01488
				L.P. et al	
473.	Municipality	Puerto Rico	Municipality of Cidra, Puerto Rico	Municipality of Cidra, Puerto	D. P.R.
	1 ,			Rico v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et	3:10-cv-01290
				al.	
474.	Municipality	Texas	County of Angelina	County of Angelina v. Allergan	S.D. Tex.
				PLC, et al.	4:19-cv-03590
475.	Municipality	Texas	County of Burleson	County of Burleson v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-03845
476.	Municipality	Texas	County of Duval	County of Duval v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02504
477.	Municipality	Texas	County of Freestone	County of Freestone v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
	1 3			Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-03983
478.	Municipality	Texas	Ellis County	Ellis County v. Purdue Pharma	S.D Tex.
	y			L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02256
479.	Municipality	Texas	County of Jim Hogg	County of Jim Hogg v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
	y		,	Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02816
480.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kleberg	County of Kleberg v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02815
481.	Municipality	Texas	Rockwall County	Rockwall County v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
.51.		1 Ontab	1100H. Wall County	Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02181

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 75 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
100	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
482.	Municipality	Texas	County of Williamson	County of Williamson v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-03299
483.	Municipality	Virginia	Amherst County, Virginia	Amherst County, Virginia v.	W.D. Va.
				Mallinckrodt PLC, et al.	6:19-cv-00077
484.	Municipality	Virginia	Botetourt County, Virginia	Botetourt County, Virginia v.	W.D. Va.
				Mallinckrodt PLC, et al.	7:19-cv-00759
485.	Municipality	Virginia	Charlotte County	Charlotte County, Virginia v.	W.D. Va.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00029
486.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Emporia	City of Emporia, Virginia v.	E.D. Va.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00513
487.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Fredericksburg	City of Fredericksburg, Virginia	E.D. Va.
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00457
488.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Portsmouth	City of Portsmouth v.	E.D. Va.
				McKesson Corporation, et al.	2:19-cv-00331
489.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Radford	City of Radford v. Purdue	W.D. Va.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	7:19-cv-00525
490.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Waynesboro	The City of Waynesboro,	W.D. Va.
				Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	5:19-cv-00058
				et al.	
491.	Municipality	Virginia	Culpeper County	Culpeper County, Virginia v.	W.D. Va.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00037
492.	Municipality	Virginia	Cumberland County	Cumberland County, Virginia v.	W.D. Va.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6:19-cv-00054
493.	Municipality	Virginia	Greensville County	Greensville County, Virginia v.	E.D. Va.
	1 ,			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00459
494.	Municipality	Virginia	Loudoun County	Loudoun County, Virginia v.	E.D. Va.
	1 ,		,	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-00778
495.	Municipality	Virginia	Patrick County	Patrick County, Virginia v.	W.D. Va.
	1 ,		,	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00032
496.	Municipality	Virginia	Prince George County	Prince George County, Virginia	E.D. Va.
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00458
497.	Municipality	Virginia	Shenandoah County	Shenandoah County, Virginia v.	W.D. Va.
	F	5		Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00056
498.	Municipality	Virginia	Wise County Board of Supervisors	Wise County Board of	W.D. Va.
	r <i>j</i>	5	,	Supervisors v.	2:19-cv-00039
				AmerisourceBergen Drug	
				Corporation, et al.	
	I			corporation, or an	

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 76 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /			
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number			
Triba	ribal (Federal Court Pending Transfer to MDL)							
499.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Citizen Potawatomi Nation	Citizen Potawatomi Nation v.	W.D. Okla.			
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00955			
500.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Delaware Nation	Delaware Nation v. Purdue	W.D. Okla.			
				Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00956			
501.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma	Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma v.	N.D. Okla.			
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00556			
502.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Sac & Fox Nation	Sac & Fox Nation v. Purdue	W.D. Okla.			
				Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00957			
503.	Tribal	Oklahoma	The Osage Nation	The Osage Nation v. Purdue	N.D. Okla.			
			-	Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00485			
504.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Thlopthlocco Tribal Town	Thlopthlocco Tribal Town v.	N.D. Okla.			
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00557			

Appendix III

Chart of Related Party Actions

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 78 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
Stati	e Actions	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
1.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Alabama	The State of Alabama	The State of Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Montgomery Cnty. 03-CV-2019-901174
2.	The Purdue Frederick Company	AG	Alaska	State of Alaska	State of Alaska v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. AK, 3rd Jud. Dist. 3AN-17-09966
3.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. (d/b/a The Purdue Frederick Company); Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Pima Cnty. C20072471
4.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. (d/b/a The Purdue Frederick Company); Purdue Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; BR Holdings Associates L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	U.S. Supreme Court No. 22O151
5.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Arkansas	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Leslie Rutledge	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Leslie Rutledge v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Pulaski Cnty. 60CV-18-2018

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 79 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
6.	Dr. Richard S. Sackler The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	California	The People of the State of California	The People of the State of California v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Los Angeles Cnty. Super. Ct. 19STCV19045
7.	MNP Consulting Limited; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Russell Gasdia; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; James David Haddox	AG	Colorado	The State of Colorado ex rel. Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General	The State of Colorado ex rel. Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Dist. Ct. Denver 2018CV33300
8.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Frank Peter Boer; Paulo Costa; Cecil Pickett; Ralph Snyderman; Judy Lewent; John Stewart; Mark Timney Purdue Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; BR Holdings Associates L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company, L.P.; Beacon Company	AG	Connecticut	State of Connecticut	State of Connecticut v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. NO. X07 HHD-CV-19- 6105325-S
9.	Richard S. Sackler, M.D.	AG	DC	District of Columbia	District of Columbia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. District of Columbia 2019 CA 003680 B

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 80 of 283

			G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		aa
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
10.	Richard Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	AG	Delaware	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathleen Jennings	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathleen Jennings v. Richard Sackler, et al.	Super. Ct. of Delaware C.A. No. N19C-09-062 MMJ (CCLD)
11.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Delaware	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathy Jennings	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathy Jennings v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. of Delaware C.A. No. N18C-01-223 MMJ (CCLD)
12.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Florida	State of Florida, Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs	State of Florida, Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Pasco Cnty. Case No. 2018-CA-001438
13.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Georgia	State of Georgia	State of Georgia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Gwinnett Cnty. 19-A-00060-4
14.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Guam	Territory of Guam	Territory of Guam v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Guam, Hagatna CV1020-19
15.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Hawaii	State of Hawaii, ex rel. Clare E. Connors, Attorney General	State of Hawaii, ex rel. Clare E. Connors, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1st Cir. Ct. of Hawaii 09-1-0862-06 JHA
16.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	AG	Idaho	State of Idaho, Through Attorney General Lawrence G. Wasden	State of Idaho, Through Attorney General Lawrence G. Wasden v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Ada County District Court CV01-19-10061

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 81 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
17.	Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	AG AG	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2019-CH-04406
18.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Indiana	State of Indiana	State of Indiana v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Marion Cir. / Super. Ct., Civ. Div. 10 49D10-1811-PL-045447
19.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	AG	Indiana	State of Indiana	State of Indiana v. Richard Sackler, et. al.	Marion Cir. / Super. Ct., Civ. Div. 13 49D13-1905-PL-020498
20.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler	AG	Iowa	State of Iowa, Thomas J. Miller, Attorney General of Iowa	State of Iowa, Thomas J. Miller, Attorney General of Iowa v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Polk Cnty. Dist. Ct. EQCE 084514
21.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Kansas	State of Kansas, ex rel. Derek Schmidt, Attorney General	State of Kansas, ex rel. Derek Schmidt, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Shawnee Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2019-cv-000369
22.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Louisiana	State of LA f/k/a Louisiana Dept. of Health	State of LA f/k/a Louisiana Dept. of Health v. Purdue Pharma L.P, et al.	19th Judicial District Court, Parish of East Baton Rouge Suit No. 661638
23.	Richard Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler	AG	Maine	State of Maine	State of Maine v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kennebec County Superior Court CV-19-112

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 82 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
24.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	AG ACTION Type	Maryland	Consumer Protection Division Office of the Attorney General (MD)	Consumer Protection Division Office of the Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Consumer Protection Division of the Office of the Attorney General (Md.) / Office of Administrative Hearings CPD Case No.: 311366 OAH Case No. 1923474
25.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Peter Boer; Paulo Costa; Cecil Pickett; Ralph Synderman; Judith Lewent; Craig Landau; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Russell J. Gasdia	AG	Massachusetts	Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. C.A. No. 1884-cv-01808 (BLS2)
26.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Joavid Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Minnesota	State of Minnesota by its Attorney General, Keith Ellison	State of Minnesota by its Attorney General, Keith Ellison v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4th Jud. Dist. Ct., Hennepin Cnty. Court File No. 27-CV-18- 10788
27.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Mississippi	State of Mississippi	State of Mississippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hinds Cnty. 25CH1:15-cv-001814

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 83 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
28.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Missouri	State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric Schmitt, in his official capacity as Missouri Attorney General	State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric Schmitt, in his official capacity as Missouri Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	Cir. Ct. St. Louis City 1722-CC10626
29.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Montana	State of Montana	State of Montana v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Lewis & Clark Cty. ADV-2017-949
30.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Purdue Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Nevada	State of Nevada	State of Nevada v. McKesson Corp, et al.	Dist. Ct. Clark County A-19-796755-B
31.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Merrimack Super. Ct. 217-2017-CV-00402
32.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler	AG	New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Merrimack Super. Ct. 217-2019-CV-00617
33.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	New Jersey	Gurbir S. Grewal, Attorney General and Paul Rodriguez, Acting Director of the New Jersey Division of Consumer Affairs	Gurbir S. Grewal, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. NJ Chancery. Div., Essex Cty. ESX-C-245-17

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 84 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
34.	Richard S. Sackler;	AG	New Jersey	Gurbir S. Grewal,	Gurbir S. Grewal, et al. v.	Super. Ct. NJ Chancery.
	Jonathan D. Sackler;			Attorney General and	Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Div., Essex Cty.
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;			Paul Rodriguez, Acting		ESX-C-115-19
	Kathe Sackler;			Director of the New		
	Beverly Sackler;			Jersey Division of		
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;			Consumer Affairs		
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler					
35.	The Purdue Frederick Company	AG	New Mexico	State of New Mexico,	State of New Mexico, ex rel.,	Santa Fe Dist.
	Inc.			ex rel., Hector Balderas,	Hector Balderas, Attorney	D-101-CV-201702541
				Attorney General	General v. Purdue Pharma	
					L.P., et al.	
36.	Richard S. Sackler;	AG	New Mexico	State of New Mexico,	State of New Mexico, ex rel.,	Santa Fe Dist.
	Beverly Sackler;			ex rel., Hector Balderas,	Hector Balderas, Attorney	D-101-CV-201902399
	David A. Sackler;			Attorney General	General v. Richard S. Sackler,	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler					
37.	Mortimer D.A. Sackler	AG	New York	State of Rhode Island,	State of Rhode Island, by and	Sup. Ct. New York Cnty.
				by and through Peter	through Peter Neronha,	154806-2019
				Neronha, Attorney	Attorney General v. Mortimer	
				General	D.A. Sackler	

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 85 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
38.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Purdue Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; The Beacon Company; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	AG	New York	The People of the State of New York, by Letitia James, Attorney General of the State of New York	The People of the State of New York, by Letitia James, Attorney General of the State of New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400016-2018
39.	Purdue Pharma Technologies Inc.; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	North Carolina	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Wake Cnty. 18-cv-6051
40.	Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	AG	North Carolina	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General v. Richard Sackler, et al.	Super. Ct. Wake Cnty. 19-cv-12596
41.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	North Dakota	State of North Dakota, ex rel. Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney General	State of North Dakota, ex rel. Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Dist. Ct. Burleigh Cnty. 08-2018-CV-01300
42.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Ohio	State of Ohio, ex rel. David Yost, Ohio Attorney General	State of Ohio, ex rel. David Yost, Ohio Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Ross Cnty 17CI000261

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 86 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
43.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 18CV40526
44.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 19CV22185
45.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A Sackler Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Cir Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 19CV44161
46.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Commonwealth Ct. of PA 257-md-19
47.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	AG	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro v. Richard Sackler, et al.	Commonwealth Ct. of PA 508-md-19
48.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Puerto Rico	The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico	The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. San Juan SJ2018CV01659

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 87 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
49.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Richard S. Sackler	AG	Rhode Island	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter F. Neronha, Attorney General	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter F. Neronha, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Providence/Bristol County Super. Ct. PC-18-4555
50.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Rhode Island	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter F. Neronha, Attorney General	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter F. Neronha, Attorney General v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Providence/Bristol County Super. Ct. PC-19-9399
51.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	South Carolina	State of South Carolina, ex rel. Alan Wilson Attorney General	State of South Carolina, ex rel. Alan Wilson Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Richland Cnty. 2017CP4004872
52.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	South Dakota	State of South Dakota, ex rel. Jason Ravnsborg, South Dakota Attorney General	State of South Dakota, ex rel. Jason Ravnsborg, South Dakota Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Hughes Cnty. 32CIV18-000065
53.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Texas	State of Texas	State of Texas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77003
54.	The Purdue Frederick Company; Richard Sackler, M.D.; Kathe Sackler, M.D.	AG	Utah	Utah Division of Consumer Protection	In the Matter of Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.	Division of Consumer Protection of the Department of Commerce of the State of Utah DCP Case No. 107102
55.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Vermont	State of Vermont	State of Vermont v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Chittenden Civ. Div. 757-9-18-CRCV
56.	Richard S. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Vermont	State of Vermont	State of Vermont v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Super. Ct. Chittenden Civ. Div. 469-5-19-CNCV

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 88 of 283

			G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		a 46 3 1
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
57.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler	AG	Virginia	Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. Mark R. Herring, Attorney General	Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. Mark R. Herring, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Tazewell Cnty. CL18-1076
58.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Washington	State of Washington	State of Washington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. King Cty. 17-2-25505-0 SEA
59.	Richard Sackler, M.D.	AG	West Virginia	State of West Virginia, ex rel. Patrick Morrisey, Attorney General	State of West Virginia, ex rel. Patrick Morrisey, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Boone Cnty. 19-C-62
60.	Richard S. Sackler	AG	Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Dane Cnty. 2019CX000009
61.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Wyoming	State of Wyoming, ex rel. Bridget Hill, Attorney General	State of Wyoming, ex rel. Bridget Hill, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1st Jud. Ct. Laramie Cnty. 190-576
Trib	al (MDL)					
62.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	MDL	Puyallup Tribe of Indians	Puyallup Tribe of Indians v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45660 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
63.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	MDL	The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation	The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45749 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
64.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	MDL	The Muscogee (Creek) Nation	The Muscogee (Creek) Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45459 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
Trib	al (State Court)					
65.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Apache Tribe of Oklahoma	Apache Tribe of Oklahoma v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Caddo Cnty. CJ-2019-69

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 89 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
Loca	al Government (MDL)					
66.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	MDL	Bedford County	Bedford County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45184 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
67.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	Broward County, Florida	Broward County, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45332 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
68.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler, M.D.; Kathe A. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	MDL	Cabell County Commission; City of Huntington, West Virginia	Cabell County Commission and City of Huntington, West Virginia v. Purdue Pharma, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:17-op-45053 (Cabell) N.D. Ohio 1:17-op-45054 (Huntington) Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
69.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	City of Chicago	City of Chicago v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:17-op-45169 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 90 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
70.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	City of Cleveland	City of Cleveland v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45132 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
71.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	City of Dothan, Alabama	City of Dothan, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45886 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
72.	Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc; Rhodes Technologies Inc.	Municipality	MDL	City of Henderson, Kentucky	City of Henderson, Kentucky, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated home rule cities v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45062 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
73.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	The City of Pascagoula, Mississippi	The City of Pascagoula, Mississippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45934 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
74.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	City of Stuart, Florida	City of Stuart, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45124 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
75.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	The City of Wichita, Kansas	The City of Wichita, Kansas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45781 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
76.	Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	Municipality	MDL	Clinton County, Missouri	Clinton County, Missouri v. Allergan PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45130 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 91 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
77.	Jonathan Sackler; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of the Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Action Type Municipality	MDL	County of Alameda; City of Costa Mesa; City of Santa Ana; City of San Clemente; City of Encinitas; City of La Habra; City of La Mesa; City of Oxnard; City of Placentia; The People of the State of California, by and through Alameda County Counsel Donna Ziegler, Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow, and Anaheim City Attorney Robert Fabela, Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho, San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith, Encinitas City Attorney Leslie Devaney, La Habra City Attorney Richard D. Jones, La Mesa City Attorney Glenn Sabine, Oxnard City Attorney Stephen Fischer, and Placentia City Attorney Christian Bettenhausen	County of Alameda, et al. v. Richard Sackler, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45055 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 92 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Tyme	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
78.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Joavid Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Action Type Municipality	MDL	The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland	The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland v. Actavis PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45052 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
79.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	The County of Cuyahoga, Ohio; State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney of Cuyahoga County, Michael C. O'Malley	The County of Cuyahoga, Ohio, and State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney of Cuyahoga County, Michael C. O'Malley v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45332 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
80.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	MDL	The County of Lake, Ohio	The County of Lake, Ohio v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45032 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
81.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45158 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 93 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
82.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	County of Summit, Ohio; Summit County Public Health; The City of Akron; State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney for Summit County, Sherri Bevan Walsh and the Director of Law for the City of Akron, Eve Belfance	County of Summit, Ohio, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45090 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
83.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	MDL	The County of Trumbull, Ohio	The County of Trumbull, Ohio v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45079 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 94 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
84.	Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc; Rhodes Technologies Inc.	Municipality	MDL	Hardin County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Hardin County; Breckinridge County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Breckinridge County; Green County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Green County; Meade County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Meade County; Ohio County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Ohio County, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated counties (Fiscal Courts)	Hardin County Fiscal Court, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45063 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
85.	Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	Municipality	MDL	Pike County, Missouri	Pike County, Missouri v. Allergan PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45131 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
Loca	al Government (Federal Court Pen	iding Transfer to	MDL)			
86.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Alexander City, Alabama	Alexander City, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 3:19-cv-00630
87.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Ashland, Alabama	City of Ashland, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-01812
88.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Brent, Alabama	City of Brent, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 7:19-cv-01565
89.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Brundidge, Alabama	City of Brundidge, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-00861
90.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Center Point, Alabama	City of Center Point, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-01812

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 95 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
91.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Chickasaw,	City of Chickasaw, Alabama	S.D. Ala.
91.	Inc.	WithinCipanity	Alabailla	Alabama	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00117
92.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Eufaula,	City of Eufaula, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
)2.	Inc.	Withincipanity	Alabama	Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-00862
93.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Fairfield,	City of Fairfield, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
75.	Inc.	Withinesparity	Titadama	Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00202
94.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Geneva,	City of Geneva, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
' ' '	Inc.	- Trainerpancy	Titadama	Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-00763
95.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Headland,	City of Headland, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-00886
96.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Lanett, Alabama	City of Lanett, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
	Inc.	1 7			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00885
97.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Leeds, Alabama	City of Leeds, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00201
98.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Level Plains,	City of Level Plains, Alabama	M.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00137
99.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Linden,	City of Linden, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00129
100.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Luverne,	City of Luverne, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00136
101.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Oxford,	City of Oxford, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-01401
102.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Pell City,	City of Pell City, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:20-cv-00203
103.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Satsuma,	City of Satsuma, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00127
104.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	City of Uniontown,	City of Uniontown, Alabama	S.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00128
105.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	Coosa County, Alabama	Coosa County, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-00752
106.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	Crenshaw County,	Crenshaw County, Alabama	M.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-00753
107.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	Escambia County,	Escambia County, Alabama	S.D. Ala.
100	Inc.	3.6		Alabama	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00134
108.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	Geneva County,	Geneva County, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
<u></u>	Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00135

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 96 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
109.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	Perry County, Alabama	Perry County, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
	Inc.	1 2			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00113
110.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	Russell County,	Russell County, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00646
111.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Dauphin	Town of Dauphin Island,	S.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Island, Alabama	Alabama v. Purdue Pharma	1:20-cv-00135
					L.P., et al.	
112.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Faunsdale,	Town of Faunsdale, Alabama	S.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., etal.	2:20-cv-00142
113.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Sweet Water,	Town of Sweet Water,	S.D. Ala.
	Inc.			Alabama	Alabama v. Purdue Pharma	2:20-cv-00143
					L.P., et al.	
114.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Vance,	Town of Vance, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
	Inc.		- 112	Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7:19-cv-01564
115.	Richard S. Sackler;	Municipality	California	The City and County of	The City and County of San	N.D. Cal.
	Jonathan D. Sackler;			San Francisco,	Francisco, California and the	3:18-cv-07591
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;			California and the	People of the State of	
	Kathe A. Sackler;			People of the State of	California, acting by and	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;			California, acting by	through San Francisco City	
	Beverly Sackler;			and through San	Attorney Dennis J. Herrera v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;			Francisco City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Trust for the Benefit of			Dennis J. Herrera		
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
116.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	California	City of Costa Mesa and	City of Costa Mesa and the	N.D. Cal.
110.	Company, Inc.;	Withincipality	Camonia	the People of the State	People of the State of	4:19-cv-02320
	Richard Sackler;			of California by and	California by and through	4.17-64-02320
	Trust for the Benefit of			through Costa Mesa	Costa Mesa City Attorney	
	Members of the Raymond			City Attorney Kimberly	Kimberly Hall Barlow.	
	Sackler Family;			Hall Barlow	Purdue Pharma L.P.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				1	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 97 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
117.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;	Municipality	California	City of Fullerton and the People of the State of California by and through Fullerton City Attorney Richard D. Jones	City of Fullerton and the People of the State of California by and through Fullerton City Attorney Richard D. Jones v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02321
118.	David A. Sackler The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Irvine and the People of the State of California by and through Irvine City Attorney Jeffrey Melching	City of Irvine and the People of the State of California by and through Irvine City Attorney Jeffrey Melching v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02323

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 98 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
119.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	California	City of San Clemente	City of San Clemente and the	N.D. Cal.
	Company, Inc.;			and the People of the	People of the State of	4:19-cv-02326
	Richard Sackler;			State of California by	California, by and through	
	Trust for the Benefit of			and through San	San Clemente City Attorney	
	Members of the Raymond			Clemente City Attorney	Scott C. Smith v. Purdue	
	Sackler Family;			Scott C. Smith	Pharma L.P.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler					
120.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	California	City of Santa Ana and	City of Santa Ana and the	N.D. Cal.
	Company, Inc.;			the People of the State	People of the State of	3:19-cv-02324
	Richard Sackler;			of California, by and	California, by and through	
	Trust for the Benefit of			through Santa Ana City	Santa Ana City Attorney	
	Members of the Raymond			Attorney Sonia R.	Sonia R. Carvalho v. Purdue	
	Sackler Family;			Carvalho	Pharma L.P.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 99 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
121.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Westminster and the People of the State of California by and through Westminster City Attorney Richard D. Jones	City of Westminster and the People of the State of California by and through Westminster City Attorney Richard D. Jones v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02325
122.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	County of Alameda and the People of the State of California by and through County Counsel Donna Ziegler	County of Alameda and the People of the State of California by and through County Counsel Donna Ziegler v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02307
123.		Municipality	Delaware	City of Dover, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; City of Seaford, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; Kent County, a political subdivision of the State of Delaware	City of Dover, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; City of Seaford, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; and Kent County, a political subdivision of the State of Delaware v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Del. 1:19-cv-01749

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 100 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
124.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Florida	City of Ocala, Florida	City of Ocala, Florida v.	M.D. Fla.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00440
125.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	Hawaii	County of Hawai'i	County of Hawai'i v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Haw. 1:19-cv-00581
126.		Municipality /Class Action	Hawaii	County of Kaua'i, a political subdivision of the State of Hawaii, for themselves individually, and on behalf of all similarly situated persons, and on behalf of the general public, as a class	County of Kaua'i, a political subdivision of the State of Hawaii, for themselves individually, and on behalf of all similarly situated persons, and on behalf of the general public, as a class v. CVS Health Corporation, et al.	D. Haw. 1:19-cv-00377

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 101 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
127.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Louisiana	Town of Abita Springs, Louisiana	Town of Abita Springs, Louisiana v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	E.D. La. 2:19-cv-14521
128.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Louisiana	Warren Montgomery, Duly Elected 22nd Judicial District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington	Warren Montgomery, Duly Elected 22nd Judicial District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	E.D. La. 2:19-cv-14516
129.	The Purdue Frederick Company inc.	Municipality	Maine	City of Rockland, State of Maine	City of Rockland, State of Maine v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Me. 2:19-cv-00373
130.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Maine	Knox County, State of Maine	Knox County, State of Maine, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Me. 2:19-cv-00371
131.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Maryland	Howard County	Howard County, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Md. 1:19-cv-02116
132.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Michigan	Charter Township of Harrison	Charter Township of Harrison v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al.	E.D. Mich. 2:19-cv-11681
133.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Michigan	City of Sterling Heights	City of Sterling Heights v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al.	E.D. Mich. 2:19-cv-11685
134.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Michigan	City of Warren	City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al.	E.D. Mich. 2:19-cv-11687
135.	Richard S. Sackler	Municipality	Missouri	Camden County, Missouri	Camden County, Missouri v. Williams et al.	E.D. Mo. 4:19-cv-02930
136.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler, M.D.	Municipality	Missouri	St. Francois County	St. Francois County v. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et al.	E.D. Mo. 4:19-cv-01722
137.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Aida B. Maxsam	Municipality	Nevada	Clark County	Clark County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Nev. 2:19-cv-01616

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 102 of 283

	D. I. I. D (1		G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		G 46 Y 1
120	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
138.	Richard Sackler;	Municipality	New	Carroll County	Carroll County v. Teva	D. N.H.
	Jonathan Sackler;		Hampshire		Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., et	1:19-cv-01097
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				al.	
	David Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Cecil Pickett;					
	Paulo Costa;					
	Ralph Snyderman;					
	Frank Boer;					
	Judy Lewent;					
	John Stewart;					
	Craig Landau;					
	Mark Timney;					
	Russell Gasdia;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.					
139.	Richard Sackler;	Municipality	New	Coos County	Coos County v. Teva	D. N.H.
	Jonathan Sackler;	1 3	Hampshire		Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., et	1:19-cv-01095
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;		1		al.	
	David Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Cecil Pickett;					
	Paulo Costa;					
	Ralph Snyderman;					
	Frank Boer;					
	Judy Lewent;					
	John Stewart;					
	Craig Landau;					
	Mark Timney;					
	Russell Gasdia;					
	Russen Gasdia;					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 103 of 283

	Doloted Doutes	A ation Tomo	54040	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Count/Coss Number
140.	Related Parties Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	Action Type Municipality	New Jersey	(Last, First) County of Burlington, NJ	Case Caption County of Burlington, NJ v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D.N.J. 1:19-cv-13684
	Sackler Family					
141.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.	Municipality	New Jersey	Cumberland County	Cumberland County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. N.J. 1:19-cv-19134
142.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New Jersey	Township of Brick	Township of Brick v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	D. N.J. 3:19-cv-17998

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 104 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
143.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the	Municipality	New York	City of Amsterdam	City of Amsterdam v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D.N.Y. 1:19-cv-00896
144.	Raymond Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	City of Auburn	City of Auburn v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D.N.Y. 2:18-cv-03800

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 105 of 283

		=	~	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
145.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	City of Ogdensburg	The City of Ogdensburg v.	N.D.N.Y
	Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	8:19-cv-00782
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Mortimer Sackler Family;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
146.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality /	New York	City of Poughkeepsie	The City of Poughkeepsie,	S.D.N.Y.
	Inc.;	Class Action			individually, and on behalf of	7:19-cv-06800
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				all others similarly situated v.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P.	
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Mortimer Sackler Family;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of the					
	Raymond Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 106 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
147.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	City of Rochester	City of Rochester v. Purdue	W.D.N.Y.
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	6:19-cv-06490
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Mortimer Sackler Family;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
148.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	City of Saratoga Springs	The City of Saratoga Springs	N.D.N.Y.
	Inc.;				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-00789
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Mortimer Sackler Family;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 107 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
149.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Ohio	The County of Fayette, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Fayette County, Jess Weade	The County of Fayette, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Fayette County, Jess Weade v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ohio 2:19-cv-04347
150.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Atoka County	Board of County Commissioners of Atoka County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00279
151.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Caddo County	Board of County Commissioners of Caddo County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00710
152.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Cimarron County	Board of County Commissioners of Cimarron County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00776
153.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Coal County	Board of County Commissioners of Coal County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00405
154.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Grady County	Board of County Commissioners of Grady County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00703
155.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Haskell County	Board of County Commissioners of Haskell County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00280
156.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Jackson County	Board of County Commissioners of Jackson County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-01108
157.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Jefferson County	Board of County Commissioners of Jefferson County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00721

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 108 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
158.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Kay County	Board of County Commissioners of Kay County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00884
159.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Latimer County	Board of County Commissioners of Latimer County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00282
160.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of LeFlore County	Board of County Commissioners of LeFlore County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00362
161.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County	Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-01109
162.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Logan County	Board of County Commissioners of Logan County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00984
163.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Love County	Board of County Commissioners of Love County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00320
164.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Major County	Board of County Commissioners of Major County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00879
165.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Okfuskee County	Board of County Commissioners of Okfuskee County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00300
166.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Oklahoma County	Board of County Commissioners of Oklahoma County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00926

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 109 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
167.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of	Commissioners of	5:19-cv-00880
				Pottawatomie County	Pottawatomie County v.	
					Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
168.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of	Commissioners of Texas	5:19-cv-00987
				Texas County	County v. Purdue Pharma	
					L.P., et al.	
169.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of	Commissioners of Woods	5:19-cv-00878
				Woods County	County v. Purdue Pharma	
					L.P., et al.	
170.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.			Commissioners of	Commissioners of Woodward	5:19-cv-01110
				Woodward County	County v. Purdue Pharma	
					L.P., et al.	
171.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Anadarko	City of Anadarko v. Purdue	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00815
172.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Bethany	City of Bethany v. Purdue	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00804
173.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Fort Cobb	City of Fort Cobb v. Purdue	W.D. Okla.
					Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00816
174.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Jenks	City of Jenks v. Purdue	N.D. Okla.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00380
175.	The Purdue Fredick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Seminole	City of Seminole v. Purdue	E.D. Okla.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	6:19-cv-00291
176.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Shawnee	City of Shawnee v. Purdue	W.D. Okla.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00711

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 110 of 283

	Deleted Deutles	Astion Tomo	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Core Continu	Count/Coro Number
177.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company	Action Type Municipality	State Pennsylvania	(Last, First) Adams County	Case Caption Adams County v. Purdue	Court/Case Number E.D. Pa.
1//.	Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;	withincipanty	Temisyrvama	Adams County	Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-04438
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Stuart D. Baker					
178.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Allentown,	City of Allentown,	E.D. Pa.
	Inc.			Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania v.	5:19-cv-03884
					AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	
179.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Delaware County	Delaware County,	E.D. Pa.
1,,,,	Inc.;	1.1minospanio	1 011110 / 1 / 4111111		Pennsylvania v. Purdue	2:20-cv-05171
	Richard S. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Stuart D. Baker					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 111 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
180.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lower Makefield	Lower Makefield Township	E.D. Pa.
	Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;		-	Township	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-03695
	Jonathan D. Sackler;			_		
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Stuart D. Baker					
181.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Puerto Rico	Municipality of	Municipality of Adjuntas,	D. P.R.
	Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;			Adjuntas, Puerto Rico	Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma	3:20-cv-01291
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				L.P., et al.	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Trust for the Benefit of the					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Stuart D. Baker					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 112 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
182.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	Puerto Rico	Municipality of Barceloneta, Puerto Rico	Municipality of Barceloneta Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al	D.P.R. 3:20-cv-01488
183.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	Puerto Rico	Municipality of Cidra, Puerto Rico	Municipality of Cidra, Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. P.R. 3:10-cv-01290
184.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Angelina	County of Angelina v. Allergan PLC, et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-03590
185.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Burleson	County of Burleson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-xc-03845

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 113 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
186.		Municipality	Texas	County of Duval	County of Duval v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02504
187.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Freestone	County of Freestone v.	S.D. Tex.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-03983
188.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Jim Hogg	County of Jim Hogg v.	S.D. Tex.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02816
189.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Kleberg	County of Kleberg v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02815
190.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Williamson	County of Williamson v.	S.D. Tex.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-03299
191.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	Ellis County	Ellis County v. Purdue	S.D Tex.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02256
192.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	Rockwall County	Rockwall County v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02181
193.	Jonathan Sackler;	Municipality	Virginia	Amherst County,	Amherst County, Virginia v.	W.D. Va.
	Richard Sackler;			Virginia	Mallinckrodt PLC, et al.	6:19-cv-00077
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler; David Sackler;					
	John Stewart;					
	Mark Timney;					
	Craig Landau;					
	Russell Gasdia					
194.	Jonathan Sackler;	Municipality	Virginia	Botetourt County,	Botetourt County, Virginia v.	W.D. Va.
174.	Richard Sackler;	witamerpanty	v ii giiii a	Virginia Virginia	Mallinckrodt PLC, et al.	7:19-cv-00759
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;			v iigiiiiu	Walling Kroat 1 E.C., et al.	7.15 60 00735
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	John Stewart;					
	Mark Timney;					
	Craig Landau;					
	Russell Gasdia					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 114 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
195.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Charlotte County	Charlotte County, Virginia v.	W.D. Va.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00029
196.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Emporia	City of Emporia, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Va. 3:19-cv-00513
197.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Fredericksburg	City of Fredericksburg, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Va. 3:19-cv-00457
198.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Virginia	City of Portsmouth	City of Portsmouth v. McKesson Corporation, et al.	E.D. Va. 2:19-ev-00331
199.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Radford	City of Radford v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 7:19-ev-00525
200.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Waynesboro, Virginia	The City of Waynesboro, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 5:19-cv-00058
201.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Culpeper County	Culpeper County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 3:19-cv-00037

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 115 of 283

			G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		G VG V
205	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
202.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Cumberland County	Cumberland County, Virginia	W.D. Va.
	Inc.;				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6:19-cv-00054
	Richard Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan					
	Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa					
	Sackler;					
	John Stewart;					
	Mark Timney;					
	Craig Landau;					
	Russell Gasdia;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.					
202		M:1:4	Virginia	Greensville County	Greensville County, Virginia	E.D. Va.
203.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	virginia	Greensville County	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00459
204	Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company	M:1:4	Virginia	I 1 C	Loudoun County, Virginia v.	E.D. Va.
204.	1 7	Municipality	virginia	Loudoun County	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. va. 1:19-cv-00778
205.	Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Patrick County	Patrick County, Virgina v.	W.D. Va.
203.	1 7	Municipanty	virginia	Patrick County	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00032
	Inc.; Richard Sackler;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00032
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan					
	Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa					
	Sackler;					
	John Stewart;					
	Mark Timney;					
	Craig Landau;					
	Russell Gasdia;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.					
206.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Prince George County	Prince George County,	E.D. Va.
	The Purque Frederick Combany	Mullicidanis				
200.	Inc.	Withhelpanty	Virginia	Timee George County	Virginia v. Purdue Pharma	3:19-cv-00458

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 116 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Tyme	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Casa Cantian	Court/Case Number
207.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Action Type Municipality	Virginia Virginia	Shenandoah County	Case Caption Shenandoah County, Virginia	W.D. Va.
207.	Inc.;	Municipanty	virginia	Shehandoan County	v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00056
	Richard Sackler;				v. Furdue Filarina, L.F., et al.	3.19-64-00030
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan					
	Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa					
	Sackler;					
	John Stewart;					
	Mark Timney;					
	Craig Landau;					
	Russell Gasdia;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.					
208.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Virginia	Wise County Board of	Wise County Board of	W.D. Va.
	Inc.			Supervisors	Supervisors v.	2:19-cv-00039
					AmerisourceBergen Drug	
					Corporation, et al.	
	al (Federal Court Pending Transf			I a	Laura isa	
209.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	Oklahoma	Citizen Potawatomi	Citizen Potawatomi Nation v.	W.D. Okla.
210	Inc.	T '1 1	011.1	Nation	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00955
210.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	Oklahoma	Delaware Nation	Delaware Nation v. Purdue	W.D. Okla.
211	Inc.	T 1 1	011.1	D M. C	Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00956
211.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	Oklahoma	Pawnee Nation of	Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma	N.D. Okla.
212	Inc.	T '1 1	0111	Oklahoma	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00556
212.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	Oklahoma	Sac & Fox Nation	Sac & Fox Nation v. Purdue	W.D. Okla.
212	Inc.	T 1 1	011.1	TI O N.	Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00957
213.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Tribal	Oklahoma	The Osage Nation	The Osage Nation v. Purdue	N.D. Okla.
214	Inc.	Tribal	Oklahoma	T1141	Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00485 N.D. Okla.
214.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	i ribai	Okianoma	Thlopthlocco Tribal Town	Thlopthlocco Tribal Town v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Okia. 4:19-cv-00557
Иос	nc. pital, Individual & Third Party Pa	vor (Fadaval Car	urt Pandina Tuana		Furdue Fharma L.F., et al.	4.19-CV-0033/
215.	The Purdue Frederick	Hospital	Alabama	The DCH Health Care	The DCH Health Care	S.D. Ala.
413.	Company, Inc.;	1108pitai	Alavailla	Authority;	Authority; The Healthcare	1:19-cv-00756
	Richard Sackler;			The Healthcare	Authority for Baptist Health,	1.19-64-00/30
	Beverly Sackler;			Authority for Baptist	an affiliate of UAB Health	
	Develly Backlet,	l .	1	Tamorny for Daptist	an anniace of OAD Health	

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 117 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	David Sackler;	Action Type	State	Health, an affiliate of	System; Medical West	Court/Case Number
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;			UAB Health System;	Hospital Authority, and	
	Jonathan Sackler;			Medical West Hospital	affiliate of UAB Health	
	Kathe Sackler;			Authority, an affiliate of	System; Evergreen Medical	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;			UAB Health System;	Center, LLC; Gilliard Health	
	Theresa Sackler;			Evergreen Medical	Services, Inc.; Crestwood	
	John Stewart;			Center, LLC; Gilliard	Healthcare, L.P.; Triad of	
	Mark Timney;			Health Services, Inc.;	Alabama, LLC; QHG of	
	Craig Landau;			Crestwood Healthcare,	Enterprise, Inc.; Affinity	
	Russell Gasdia;			L.P.;	Hospital, LLC; Gadsden	
	Joe Coggins;			Triad of Alabama, LLC;	Regional Medical Center,	
	Lyndsie Fowler;			QHG of Enterprise, Inc.;	LLC; Foley Hospital	
	Mitchell "Chip" Fisher;			Affinity Hospital, LLC;	Corporation; The Health Care	
	Rebecca Sterling;			Gadsden Regional	Authority of Clarke County,	
	Vanessa Weatherspoon;			Medical Center, LLC;	Alabama; BBH PBMC, LLC;	
	Chris Hargrave;			Foley Hospital	BBH, WBMC, LLC; BBH	
	Brandon Hassenfuss;			Corporation;	SBMC, LLC; BBH CBMC,	
	Joe Read			The Health Care	LLC; BBH BMC, LLC v.	
				Authority of Clarke	Purdue Pharma, et al.	
				County, Alabama;	,	
				BBH PBMC, LLC;		
				BBH, WBMC, LLC;		
				BBH SBMC, LLC;		
				BBH CBMC, LLC;		
				BBH BMC, LLC		
216.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Hospital	Florida	West Volusia Hospital	West Volusia Hospital	M.D. Fla.
	Inc.	1		Authority	Authority v. Purdue Pharma	6:19-cv-01765
					L.P., et al.	
217.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Hospital	Kentucky	Bowling Green-Warren	Bowling Green-Warren	W.D. Ky.
	Inc.;	1		County Community	County Community Hospital	1:19-cv-00148
	Richard Sackler;			Hospital Corporation;	Corporation; The Medical	
	Beverly Sackler;			The Medical Center at	Center at	
	David Sackler;			Clinton County, Inc.;	Clinton County, Inc.; The	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan			The Medical Center at	Medical Center at	
	Sackler;			Franklin, Inc.;	Franklin, Inc.; Arh Tug	
	Kathe Sackler;			Arh Tug Valley Health	Valley Health Services, Inc.	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa			Services, Inc. f/k/a	f/k/a Highlands Hospital	
	Sackler;			Highlands Hospital	Corporation; Baptist	

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 118 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Tessa Rios; Amy K. Thompson		State	Corporation; Baptist Healthcare System, Inc.; Baptist Health Madisonville, Inc.; Baptist Health Michaelth Richmond, Inc.; Grayson County Hospital Foundation, Inc.; The Harrison Memorial Hospital, Inc.; Saint Elizabeth Medical Center, Inc.; St. Claire Medical Center, Inc.; Taylor County Hospital District Health Facilities Corporation	Healthcare System, Inc.; Baptist Health Madisonville, Inc.; Baptist Health Richmond, Inc.; Grayson County Hospital Foundation, Inc.; The Harrison Memorial Hospital, Inc.; Saint Elizabeth Medical Center, Inc.; St. Claire Medical Center, Inc.; and Taylor County Hospital District Health Facilities Corporation v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	Court / Case Pumper
218.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Individual	Massachusetts	Hickey, Thomas	Thomas Hickey v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Mass. 1:19-cv-11806
219.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Wrongful Death	Mississippi	Carr, Gary	Gary Carr, individually as next friend and on behalf of all wrongful death beneficiaries of Luther Greer, deceased v. Charles Elliott, M.D., et al.	N.D. Miss. 3:19-cv-00246

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 119 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
The Purdue Frederick Company	Third Party	New York	Allied Security Health	Allied Security Health &	E.D.N.Y.
Inc.;	Payor		& Welfare Fund	Welfare Fund v. Purdue	2:20-cv-03442
Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
*					
,					
*					
,					
		New York			E.D.N.Y.
	Payor		Welfare Fund	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2:20-cv-03446
				et al.	
*					
*					
,					
*					
*					
Stuart D. Baker					
TUBRIRU MKUBI DI MSSIURRI RUMKUBI DI MS	The Purdue Frederick Company nc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Tonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer Backler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Backler Family; Stuart D. Baker The Purdue Frederick Company nc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Tharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Saverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Thust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family;	The Purdue Frederick Company nc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Ronathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Mortimer Backler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Backler Family; Stuart D. Baker The Purdue Frederick Company nc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Conathan D. Sackler; Conathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Severly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Backler Family;	The Purdue Frederick Company nc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; onathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rho	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company nc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rathe A. Sackler; Cathe A. Sackler; Cheresa Sackler Lefcourt; Severly Sackle; Cheresa Sa	Related Parties Action Type The Purdue Frederick Company ne.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Onathan D. Sackler; Cathe A. Sackler; Cathe A. Sackler; Chresa Sackler Lefcourt; Severly Sackler The Purdue Frederick Company ne.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Pharma

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 120 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
222.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family;	Third Party Payor	New York	Southern Tier Building Trades Benefit Plan	Southern Tier Building Trades Benefit Plan v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D.N.Y. 1:20-cv-00992
223.	Stuart D. Baker The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	I-Kare Treatment Center, LLC	I-Kare Treatment Center, LLC v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Pa. 2:19-cv-03899

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 121 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
224.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Third Party	Pennsylvania	Laborers' District	Laborers' District Council	E.D. Pa.
	Inc.;	Payor		Council Building &	Building & Construction	2:20-cv-04804
	Richard S. Sackler;			Construction Health &	Health & Welfare Fund v.	
	Jonathan D. Sacklers;			Welfare Fund	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Stuart D. Baker					
225.	Richard Sackler;	Third Party	Pennsylvania	Public Service	Public Service Insurance	E.D. Pa.
223.	Jonathan Sackler;	Payor	1 Cillisyivailla	Insurance Company	Company v. Janssen	2:19-cv-05904
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;	1 dy OI		insurance company	Pharmaceuticals et al.	2.17 67 03704
	David Sackler;				Tharmaceuricuis et al.	
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Stuart D. Baker					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 122 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
226.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Third Party	Pennsylvania	Sheet Metal Workers	Sheet Metal Workers Local	E.D. Pa.
	Inc.;	Payor		Local 19 Health Fund	19 Health Fund v. Purdue	2:20-cv-04805
	Richard S. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sacklers;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Stuart D. Baker					
227.	Richard Sackler;	Individual	Rhode Island	Heden, Keith D.	Keith D. Heden v. Purdue	D. R.I.
227.	Mortimer David Alfons Sackler;	marviduai	Kilode Island	rieden, Keith D.	Pharmaceuticals LLC, et al.	2:19-cv-00586
	The Sackler Family;				Tharmaceuticals EEC, et al.	2.17 67 00300
	Russell J. Gasdia;					
	Craig Landau					
228.	Rhodes Technologies, Inc.;	Class Action	Tennessee	Rhodes, Roger;	Roger Rhodes, Anthony	M.D. Tenn.
	Richard S. Sackler, M.D.;	0146611011		Silvers, Anthony;	Silvers, and Lea Anne	3:19-cv-00885
	Kathe A. Sackler; Jonathan D.			Spradlen, Lea Anne	Spradlen, on behalf of	
	Sackler; Mortimer D.A.				themselves and all others	
	Sackler;				similarly situated v. Rhodes	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly				Technologies, Inc., et al.	
	Sackler; Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler					
229.	The Purdue Frederick	Hospital	Tennessee	Takoma Regional	Takoma Regional Hospital,	E.D. Tenn.
	Company;			Hospital, Inc. f/k/a	Inc. f/k/a/ Takoma Hospital,	2:19-cv-00157
	Richard Sackler;			Takoma Hospital, Inc.;	Inc., et al., v. Purdue Pharma,	
	Beverly Sackler;			Amisub (SFH), Inc.;	L.P., et al.	
	David Sackler;			Baptist Womens Health		
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan			Center, LLC;		
	Sackler;			Campbell		
	Kathe Sackler;			County Hma, LLC;		

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 123 of 283

D. 1. 1. 1. 1.		Q. .	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		G 1/G N 1
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa			Clarksville Health		
Sackler;			System, G.P.;		
John Stewart;			Cleveland Tennessee		
Mark Timney;			Hospital Company,		
Craig Landau;			LLC;		
Russell Gasdia;			Cocke County Hma,		
Andrew T. Stokes			LLC;		
			Dickenson Community		
			Hospital;		
			Hawkins County		
			Memorial Hospital f/k/a		
			Hawkins County		
			Memorial Hospital;		
			Jefferson County HMA,		
			LLC;		
			Johnston Memorial		
			Hospital, Inc.;		
			Lebanon HMA, LLC		
			f/k/a Lebanon HMA,		
			Inc.;		
			Lexington Hospital		
			Corporation;		
			Metro Knoxville HMA,		
			LLC;		
			Mountain States Health		
			Alliance f/k/a Johnson		
			City Medical Center		
			Hospital, Inc.; Northeast		
			Tennessee Community		
			Health Centers, Inc.;		
			Norton Community		
			Hospital, Saint Francis		
			Hospital — Bartlett, Inc.		
			f/k/a Tenet Health		
			System Bartlett, Inc.;		
			Shelbyville Hospital		
			Company, LLC f/k/a		
			Shelbyville Hospital		

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 124 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Corporation; Smyth County Community Hospital; Tullahoma HMA, LLC f/k/a Tullahoma HMA, Inc.; Wellmont Health System F/K/A Brmc/Hvhmc, Inc.		
230.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome ("NAS")	West Virginia	Tilley, Mary	Mary Tilley, as next friend of K.B. Tilley, a minor child under the age of 18 v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. W. Va. 2:19-ev-00566
231.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler	Individual	Wisconsin	Petty, Larry, Sr.; Petty, Elizabeth	Larry Petty, Sr., et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Wisc. 3:20-cv-00078

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 125 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
Loca	al Government (State Court)	riction Type	State	(Luse, 111 se)	Cuse Custion	Court Case I (allise)
232.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Municipality	Arizona	Bullhead City	Bullhead City v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Mohave Cnty. Super. Ct. S8015cv201900591
233.		Municipality	Arizona	City of Glendale	City of Glendale v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Maricopa Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. CV2019-010792
234.		Municipality	Arizona	City of Prescott	City of Prescott v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Yavapai Cnty. Super. Ct. P1300cv201900393

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 126 of 283

	Dalata I Davida	A - 4' T	C4-4-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Come Counting	Complete Name Land
225	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
235.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Arizona	City of Surprise	City of Surprise v. Allergan	Maricopa Cnty. Super. Ct.
	Inc.;				PLC, et al.	Case No. CV2019-003439
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
236.		Municipality	Arizona	County of Apache	County of Apache v. Allergan	Apache Cnty. Super. Ct.
230.	Inc.;	Municipality	Arizona	County of Apache	PLC, et al.	Case No.
	Richard Sackler;				PLC, et al.	S0100cv201900101
	Theresa Sackler;					3010000201900101
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
237.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Arizona	County of La Paz	County of La Paz v. Allergan	La Paz Cnty. Super. Ct.
237.	Inc.;	iviamoipanty	7 H IZOHA	County of Eu 1 az	PLC, et al.	Case No.
	Richard Sackler;				The, et al.	S1500cv201900053
	Theresa Sackler;					51300€ (201)00033
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
238.	Richard Sackler;	Municipality	Arizona	Pinal County	Pinal County v. Allergan	Sup. Ct. Pinal Cnty.
	Theresa Sackler;				PLC, et al.	S1100CV201901448
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 127 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
239.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Prosecuting	Arkansas	State of Arkansas, ex	State of Arkansas, ex rel.	Cir. Ct., Crittenden Cnty.
	Inc.;	Attorney		rel. Scott Ellington;	Scott Ellington v. Purdue	CV-2018-268
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.	_		County of Arkansas;	Pharma L.P., et al.	
				County of Ashley;		
				County of Baxter;		
				County of Benton;		
				County of Boone;		
				County of Bradley;		
				County of Calhoun;		
				County of Chicot;		
				County of Clark;		
				County of Clay;		
				County of Cleburne;		
				County of Columbia;		
				County of Conway;		
				County of Craighead;		
				County of Crawford;		
				County of Cross;		
				County of Dallas;		
				County of Desha;		
				County of Faulkner;		
				County of Franklin;		
				County of Fulton;		
				County of Garland;		
				County of Grant;		
				County of Greene;		
				County of Hempstead;		
				County of Hot Spring;		
				County of Howard;		
				County of		
				Independence;		
				County of Izard;		
				County of Jackson;		
				County of Johnson;		
				County of Lafayette;		
				County of Lawrence;		
				County of Lee;		
				County of Lincoln;		

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 128 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	· •		County of Little River;	•	
			County of Logan;		
			County of Lonoke;		
			County of Madison;		
			County of Miller;		
			County of Mississippi;		
			County of Monroe;		
			County of Montgomery;		
			County of Ouachita;		
			County of Perry;		
			County of Phillips;		
			County of Pike;		
			County of Poinsett;		
			County of Polk;		
			County of Pope;		
			County of Prairie;		
			County of Randolph;		
			County of St. Francis;		
			County of Saline;		
			County of Scott;		
			County of Searcy;		
			County of Sebastian;		
			County of Sevier;		
			County of Sharp;		
			County of Stone;		
			County of Union;		
			County of Van Buren;		
			County of Washington;		
			County of White;		
			County of Woodruff;		
			County of Yell;		
			County of Carroll;		
			County of Newton;		
			County of Cleveland;		
			City of Little Rock;		
			City of Fort Smith;		
			City of Springdale;		
			City of Jonesboro;		

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 129 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
240.		Municipality	California	City of North Little Rock; City of Conway; City of Rogers; City of Pine Bluff; City of Bentonville; City of Hot Springs; City of Benton; City of Texarkana; City of Sherwood; City of Jacksonville; City of Monticello City of El Monte, and The People of the State of California, by and through El Monte City Attorney Rick Olivarez	City of El Monte, and The People of the State of California, by and through El Monte City Attorney Rick Olivarez v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	El Monte Cnty. Super. Ct. 19STCV10532

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 130 of 283

		–		Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
241.	Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; P.F. Laboratories; PLP Associates Holdings; Purdue Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; The Beacon Company; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Theresa Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Santa Ana; City of San Clemente; City of Encinitas; City of La Habra; City of La Mesa; City of Oxnard; City of Placentia; The People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho, San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith, Encinitas City Attorney Glenn Sabine, La Habra City Attorney Richard D. Jones, La Mesa City Attorney Glenn Sabine, Oxnard City Attorney Stephen Fischer, and Placentia City Attorney Christian Bettenhausen	City of Santa Ana, et al. v. Actavis Pharma, Inc., et al.	Super. Ct. Orange Cnty. 30-2019-01101802
242.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Memebers of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	County of Kern, and The People of the State of California, by and through Kern County Counsel Margo Raison	County of Kern, and The People of the State of California, by and through Kern County Counsel Margo Raison v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kern Cnty. Super. Ct. BCV-19-100861

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 131 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
243.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	California	The People of the State of California, acting by and through Santa Clara County Counsel James R. Williams, Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, Los Angeles County Counsel Mary C. Wickham, and Oakland City Attorney Barbara J. Parker	The People of the State of California, acting by and through Santa Clara County Counsel James R. Williams, Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, Los Angeles County Counsel Mary C. Wickham, and Oakland City Attorney Barbara J. Parker v. Purdue Pharam L.P., et al.	Orange County Super. Ct. 30-2014-00725287-CU-BT-CXC (Short version: 14-725287)
244.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of Ansonia; The City of Danbury; The City of Derby; The City of Norwalk	The City of Ansonia, The City of Danbury, The City of Derby, and The City of Norwalk v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6098036-S
245.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of Bridgeport; The Borough of Naugatuck; The Town of Southbury; The Town of Woodbury; The Town of Fairfield; The Town of Beacon Falls; The City of Milford; The Town of Oxford; The City of West Haven; The Town of North Haven; The Town of Thomaston; The City of Bristol; The City of Bristol; The Town of East	The City of Bridgeport, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6088462-S

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 132 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Hartford;		
				The Town of		
				Southington;		
				The Town of Newtown;		
				The City of Shelton;		
				The Town of Tolland		
246.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Connecticut	City of New Britain	City of New Britain v. Purdue	Hartford State Super. Ct.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-18-6087132-S
247.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Connecticut	City of New Haven	City of New Haven v. Purdue	Hartford State Super. Ct.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-17-6086134-S
248.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Connecticut	City of New London	The City of New London v.	Hartford State Super. Ct.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-18-6094421-S
249.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Connecticut	City of Waterbury	City of Waterbury v. Purdue	Hartford State Super. Ct.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-17-6088121-S
250.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Connecticut	Town of Stratford;	The Town of Stratford; The	Hartford State Super. Ct.
	Inc.			The Town of Berlin;	Town of Berlin; The Town of	HHD-CV-18-6099290-S
				The Town of	Middlebury; The Town of	
				Middlebury;	Seymour; The Town of	
				The Town of Seymour;	Prospect; The Town of	
				The Town of Prospect;	Wolcott; The Town of	
				The Town of Wolcott;	Bethlehem; The Town of	
				The Town of	New Milford; The Town of	
				Bethlehem;	Roxbury; and The Town of	
				The Town of New	Coventry v. Purdue Pharma	
				Milford;	L.P., et al.	
				The Town of Roxbury;		
				The Town of Coventry		
251.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Connecticut	Town of Wallingford	Town of Wallingford v.	Hartford State Super. Ct.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-18-6094422-S
252.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Illinois	City of Burbank	The City of Burbank v.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018L012659
253.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Illinois	City of Countryside	The City of Countryside v.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018L012640
254.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Illinois	City of Granite City,	City of Granite City, Illinois	Cir. Ct. Madison Cnty.
	Inc.			Illinois	v. AmerisourceBergen Drug	2018-L-00587
					Corp., et al.	
						Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
						2018-L-010351

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 133 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
255.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Sesser	City of Sesser v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2019-L-008147
256.	Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	County of Lake; Michael Nerheim, Lake County State's Attorney; Mark C. Curran, Jr., Lake County Sheriff; Dr. Howard Cooper, Lake County Coroner; The County of Lake in the Name of the People of the State of Illinois	County of Lake, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-003728
257.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Boone County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Boone County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Boone Cnty. 2018-L-000007 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004539
258.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Bureau County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Bureau County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004542
259.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Champaign County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Champaign County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Champaign Cnty. 2018-L-000006 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-005935
260.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Cook County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Cook County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook County 2017-L-013180
261.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DeKalb County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DeKalb County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. DeKalb Cnty. 2018-L-000072 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-013655

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 134 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
262.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DuPage County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and DuPage County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004542
263.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Henry Cnty. 2018-L-000016 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012690
264.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Jersey County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Jersey County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-003908
265.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kane County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Kane County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kankakee Cnty. 2017-L-000104 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004538
266.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kankakee County	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kankakee County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kankakee Cnty. 2017-L-000104 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004538
267.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kendall County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kendall County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Kendall Cnty. 2018-L-000078 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012741

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 135 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
268.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Sutart D. Baker	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and LaSalle County	The People of the State of Illinois and LaSalle County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. LaSalle Cnty. 2019-L-000052 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2019-L-008722
269.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macon County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macon County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-002916
270.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macoupin County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macoupin County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Macoupin Cnty. 2018-L-000030 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-013247
271.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McHenry County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McHenry County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-002948

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 136 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
272.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Sutart D. Baker	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McLean County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and McLean County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.	Cir. Ct. McLean Cnty. 2019-L-0000108
273.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Piatt County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Piatt County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Piatt Cnty. 2018-L-000007 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012689
274.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Will County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Will County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004546
275.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Bedford Park	Village of Bedford Park v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-008819
276.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Bridgeview	Village of Bridgeview v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-009526
277.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Evergreen Park	Village of Evergreen Park v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012652
278.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Hodgkins	Village of Hodgkins v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-009848
279.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Lyons	Village of Lyons v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-008746
280.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Summit	Village of Summit v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-008803

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 137 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
281.	The Purdue Frederick Company; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Richard S. Sackler; David Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler	Municipality	Maryland	Anne Arundel County	Anne Arundel County, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Anne Arundel Cnty. C-02-CV-18-000021
282.		Municipality	Maryland	Mayor & City Council of Baltimore	Mayor & City Council of Baltimore v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Baltimore City 25C1800515
283.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Boston; The Boston Public Health Commission; The Boston Housing Authority	City of Boston, The Boston Public Health Commission, The Boston Housing Authority v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 1884CV02860B
284.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Cambridge	City of Cambridge v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 19-1044

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 138 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
285.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Chicopee	City of Chicopee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Hampden Cnty. 1979CV00074
286.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Framingham	City of Framingham v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 18-3483
287.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Gloucester	City of Gloucester v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty. 1877CV01773

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 139 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
288.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Haverhill	City of Haverhill v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty. 1899CV01762A
289.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Salem	City of Salem v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty. 1899CV01767A
290.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Worcester	City of Worcester v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. No. 1984CV000543

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 140 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
291.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Canton	Town of Canton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Norfolk Cnty. 18-1582
292.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Lynnfield	Town of Lynnfield v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty. 1899CV01769D
293.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Natick	Town of Natick v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 19-646

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 141 of 283

		=	-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
294.	Inc; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Randolph	Town of Randolph v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Norfolk Cnty. 1982CV00400
295.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Springfield	Town of Springfield v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Hampden Cnty. 18-938
296.	Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Wakefield	Town of Wakefield v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 18-3458
297.	Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	Municipality	Missouri	Polk County, Missouri	Polk County, Missouri v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Cir. Ct. Polk Cnty. 1922-CC11660

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 142 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
298.	The Purdue Frederick Company; Richard S. Sackler	Municipality	Missouri	Jefferson County; Butler County; Cape Girardeau County; Christian County; City of Independence; City of Joplin; Crawford County; Dent County; Dunklin County; Franklin County; Franklin County; Iron County; Jasper County; Madison County; Perry County; Ste. Genevieve County; Stone County; Taney County; Texas County; Washington County	Jefferson County, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	22nd Judicial Circuit Court, St. Louis City 1922-CC00203
299.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Aida B. Maxsam	Municipality	Nevada	City of Henderson	City of Henderson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Clark Cnty. A-19-800695-B Dept. 11

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 143 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
300.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Aida B. Maxsam	Municipality	Nevada	City of Las Vegas	City of Las Vegas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Clark Cnty. A-19-800697-B Dept. 27
301.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Aida B. Maxsam	Municipality	Nevada	City of North Las Vegas	City of North Las Vegas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Clark Cnty. A-19-800699-B Dept. 11
302.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Aida B. Maxsam	Municipality	Nevada	City of Reno	City of Reno v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Washoe Cnty. CV18-01895

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 144 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
303.	Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler;	Municipality	New Jersey	City of Trenton	City of Trenton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. NJ, Mercer Cnty. MER-L001167-19
304.	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Techologies, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New Jersey	County of Ocean, New Jersey	County of Ocean, New Jersey v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. NJ, Ocean Cnty. OCN-L-001474-19

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 145 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
305.		Municipality	New York	City of Albany	City of Albany v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400004/2019
306.		Municipality	New York	City of Alma, GA; Village of Amityville, NY; Town of Babylon, NY; Village of Babylon, NY; Village of Babylon, NY; Village of Babylon, NY; Bacon County, GA; City of Bayonne, NJ; Village of Bellport, NY; City of Blackshear, GA; Town of Brookhaven, NY; City of Brunswick, GA; Chatham County, GA; Town of Clarkstown, NY; City of Clifton, NJ; Town of Clinton, NJ; Town of Clinton, NJ; Dade County, GA; City of Demorest, GA; East Hampton Village,	City of Alma, GA, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400031/2019

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 146 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			NY;		
			Village of East		
			Rockaway, NY;		
			City of Elizabeth, NJ;		
			Village of Farmingdale,		
			NY;		
			Village of Floral Park,		
			NY;		
			Village of Garden City,		
			NY;		
			Village of Greenport,		
			NY;		
			Town of Haverstraw,		
			NY;		
			Town of Hempstead,		
			NY;		
			Town of Huntington,		
			NY;		
			Village of Island Park,		
			NY;		
			Village of Islandia, NY;		
			Town of Islip, NY;		
			Village of Lake Grove,		
			NY;		
			Village of Lawrence,		
			NY;		
			Village of Lindenhurst,		
			NY;		
			Village of Lloyd		
			Harbor, NY;		
			City of Long Beach,		
			NY;		
			Village of Lynbrook,		
			NY;		
			Village of Massapequa		
			Park, NY;		
			Village of Mill Neck,		
			NY;		

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 147 of 283

		C	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		G VG V
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			Village of Millerton,		
			NY;		
			Village of New Hyde		
			Park, NY;		
			Village of Nissequoge, NY;		
			Town of North		
			Hempstead, NY;		
			Village of Northport,		
			NY;		
			Village of Old		
			Westbury, NY;		
			Town of Orangetown,		
			NY;		
			Town of Oyster Bay,		
			NY;		
			Borough of Paramus,		
			NJ;		
			Village of Patchogue,		
			NY;		
			Pierce County, GA;		
			City of Pooler, GA;		
			Village of Poquott, NY;		
			Village of Port		
			Washington North, NY;		
			City of Richmond Hill,		
			GA;		
			Town of Riverhead,		
			NY;		
			Village of Saltaire, NY;		
			Town of Smithtown,		
			NY;		
			Town of Southampton,		
			NY;		
			Town of Southold, NY;		
			Village of Stewart		
			Manor, NY;		
			Village of Suffern, NY;		

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 148 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Village of Valley Stream, NY; Village of the Branch, NY; Town of Wappinger, NY; Village of Wappinger Falls, NY; Village of West Hampton Dunes, NY; Village of West Haverstraw, NY; Village of Westbury, NY; Village of Hempstead, NY		
307	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New York	The City of Buffalo	The City of Buffalo v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 811359-2019

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 149 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
308.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	New York	City of Ithaca	City of Ithaca v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
	Company;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400002/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;					
	Pharmaceutical Research					
	Associates, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;					
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.					
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.					
	Sackler, as Trustees under Trust					
	Agreement dated November 5,					
	1974					
309.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	City of Mount Vernon	The City of Mount Vernon v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400016/2019

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 150 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
310.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	Municipality	New York	City of New York	City of New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400006/2018
311.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New York	City of Plattsburgh	City of Plattsburgh v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400003/2019

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 151 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
312.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New York	City of Schenectady	City of Schenectady v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400005/2019
313.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New York	City of Troy	City of Troy v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400006/2019

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 152 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
314.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5,	Municipality	New York	City of Yonkers	City of Yonkers v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400020/2019
315.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	Municipality	New York	County of Broome	County of Broome v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400002/2017

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 153 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Sackler Family	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case (valide)
316.		Municipality	New York	County of Cattaraugus	The County of Cattaraugus v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
310.	Inc.	Witamerpanty	1 tew Tork	County of Cutturaugus	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400027/2019
317.		Municipality	New York	County of Cayuga	The County of Cayuga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400013/2019
318.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Chautauqua	The County of Chautauqua v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Chautauqua Cnty. KI-2018-57
319.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Chenango	The County of Chenango v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400021/2019
320.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Clinton	The County of Clinton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400003/2018

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 154 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
321.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Columbia	County of Columbia v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400015/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
222	Sackler Family oratories Inc.	3.6 1.1 11.	N. T. 1	0 . 00 .1 .1		G G G G H G
322.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Cortland	County of Cortland v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400019/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 155 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
323.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Dutchess	County of Dutchess v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400005/2017
324.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Erie	County of Erie v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400003/2017
325.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Essex	County of Essex v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400019/2019

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 156 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
326.		Municipality	New York	County of Franklin	County of Franklin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400012/2018
327.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker;	Municipality	New York	County of Fulton	County of Fulton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400018/2018

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 157 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit of	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
328.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Genesee	County of Genesee v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.;	y			Pharma L.P., et al.	400011/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;					
	Pharmaceutical Research					
	Associates, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;					
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.					
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.					
	Sackler, as Trustees under Trust					
	Agreement dated November 5,					
220	1974	N f = 1 - 1 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	N 1-	Compte	Company Company De 1	Con Ct Co CC 11- Co to
329.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Greene	County of Greene v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400008/2018
	Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400008/2018
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 158 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
330.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Hamilton	County of Hamilton v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400005/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;					
	Pharmaceutical Research					
	Associates, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;					
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.					
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.					
	Sackler, as Trustees under Trust					
	Agreement dated November 5,					
	1974					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 159 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
331.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Herkimer	County of Herkimer v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400008/2019
332.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New York	County of Lewis	County of Lewis v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400007/2019
333.		Municipality	New York	County of Livingston	County of Livingston v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400013/2018
334.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Madison	County of Madison v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400028/2019

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 160 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
335.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	Municipality	New York	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400017/2018
	Sackler Family					
336.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Montgomery	The County of Montgomery v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400009/2019

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 161 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
337.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Nassau	County of Nassau v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk County 400008/2017
338.		Municipality	New York	County of Niagara	County of Niagara v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400012/2017

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 162 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.					
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.					
	Sackler, as Trustees under Trust					
	Agreement dated November 5,					
	1974			_		
339.	1 2	Municipality	New York	County of Ontario	The County of Ontario v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400001-2019
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 163 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
340.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Orange	County of Orange v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400004/2017
341.		Municipality	New York	County of Oswego	County of Oswego v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400007/2018
342.		Municipality	New York	County of Otsego	County of Otsego v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400023/2019
343.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Putnam	County of Putnam v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400014/2019

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 164 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
344.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Rensselaer	County of Rensselaer v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400011/2017
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;					
	Pharmaceutical Research					
	Associates, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;					
	PLP Associates Holdings;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.					
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.					
	Sackler, as Trustees under Trust					
	Agreement dated November 5,					
	1974					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 165 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
345.		Municipality	New York	County of Saratoga	County of Saratoga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400009/2018
346.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker;	Municipality	New York	County of Schenectady	County of Schenectady v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400009/2017

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 166 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit of	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
347.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Schoharie	County of Schoharie v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400010/2017
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;					
	Pharmaceutical Research					
	Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;					
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.					
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.					
	Sackler, as Trustees under Trust					
	Agreement dated November 5,					
240	The Production Fred design Communication	Manadalus 114	New York	C	Country of Columbia Dec 1	Com Ct Coeffe 11- Coef-
348.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;	Municipality	New York	County of Schuyler	County of Schuyler v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400014/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400014/2018
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;					
	Pharmaceutical Research					
	Associates, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;					
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 167 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5,					
349.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Seneca	County of Seneca v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400006/2017

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 168 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
350.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of St. Lawrence	County of St. Lawrence v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk County
	Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400002/2019
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
351.	1 2	Municipality	New York	County of Steuben	County of Steuben v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400004/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;					
	Pharmaceutical Research					
	Associates, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;					
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.					
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 169 of 283

	DI (ID (A	G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Sackler, as Trustees under Trust					
	Agreement dated November 5,					
2.52	1974	3.6 1.4	NT N7 1	G + CC CC 11		
352.	1 2	Municipality	New York	County of Suffolk	County of Suffolk v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk County
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400001/2017
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
2.52	Sackler Family	3.6 17.	37 1	G + CC 11;	C + CC II' D 1	
353.	1 2	Municipality	New York	County of Sullivan	County of Sullivan v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400007/2017
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
	Sackier ramily					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 170 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
354.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Tioga	County of Tioga v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	400022/2019
355.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Tompkins	County of Tompkins v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
	Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400001/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;					
	Pharmaceutical Research					
	Associates, Inc.;					
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;					
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.					
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.					
	Sackler, as Trustees under Trust					
	Agreement dated November 5,					
	1974					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 171 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
356.	Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	Municipality	New York	County of Ulster	County of Ulster v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400011-2019
357.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New York	County of Warren	County of Warren v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
337.	Inc.	Withhelpanty	New York	County of warren	Pharma L.P., et al.	400030/2019
358.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Washington	County of Washington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400010/2019

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 172 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
359.		Municipality	New York	County of Westchester	County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400010/2018
360.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker;	Municipality	New York	County of Wyoming	County of Wyoming v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400013/2018

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 173 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family					
361.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	Town of Amherst	Town of Amherst v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 803887-2018
362.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	Town of Cheektowaga	Town of Cheektowaga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 806151-2018
363.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	Town of Lancaster	Town of Lancaster v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 809160-2018
364.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	Town of Tonawanda	Town of Tonawanda v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 810783-2018
365.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Wappinger, New York	The Town of Wappinger, New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Dutchess Cnty. 2019-54549
366.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	The Village of Wappingers Falls, New York	The Village of Wappingers Falls, New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Dutchess Cnty. 2019-53838
367.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Ohio	The County of Medina, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Medina County, S. Forrest Thompson	The County of Medina, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Medina County, S. Forrest Thompson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Medina Cnty. 19-CIV-0838
368.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Cleveland County	Board of County Commissioners of Cleveland County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Cleveland Cnty. CJ-2019-592
369.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Greer County	Board of County Commissioners of Greer County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Greer Cnty. CJ-2019-12
370.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Hughes County	Board of County Commissioners of Hughes County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Hughes Cnty. CJ-2019-36

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 174 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
371.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of McCurtain County	Board of County Commissioners of McCurtain County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. McCurtain Cnty. CJ-2019-54
372.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Noble County	Board of County Commissioners of Noble County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Noble Cnty. CJ-2019-05
373.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Burns Flat	City of Burns Flat v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Washita Cnty. CJ-2019-29
374.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Armstrong County	Armstrong County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Armstrong Cty. 2017-1570-CV
375.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Beaver Cnty 11326-2017
376.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Bucks County	Bucks County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. No. 2018-03144
377.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Cambria County, Pennsylvania	Cambria County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Cambria Cnty 2017-4131
378.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Craig Landau; John Stewart; Mark Timney	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Lock Haven	City of Lock Haven v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clinton Cnty. 1126-2018
379.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Philadelphia	City of Philadelphia v. Allergan PLC, et al.	C.P. Philadelphia January Term, 2018 No. 02718
380.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Pittsburgh	City of Pittsburgh v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Allegheny Cnty. 18-006153

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 175 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
381.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clearfield County, Pennsylvania	Clearfield County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clearfield Cnty. 2018-1484-CD
382.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clinton County	Clinton County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clinton Cnty. 752-2018
383.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John T. Adams, the District Attorney of Berks County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John T. Adams, the District Attorney of Berks County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Berks Cnty. 19-18232
384.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Francis T. Chardo, the District Attorney of Dauphin County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Francis T. Chardo, the District Attorney of Dauphin County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Dauphin Cnty., 12th Jud. Dist. 2019-CV-7795-CV
385.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Jack Daneri, the District Attorney of Erie County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Jack Daneri, the District Attorney of Erie County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Erie Cnty., 6th Jud. Dist. 12837-19
386.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Terence P. Houck, the District Attorney of Northampton County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Terence P. Houck, the District Attorney of Northampton County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Northampton County C-48-CV-2020-3440
387.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of PA, acting by James Martin; People of Lehigh County and Lehigh County, PA	Commonwealth of PA, acting by James Martin; People of Lehigh County and Lehigh County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Lehigh Cnty. 2018-C-0716

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 176 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
388.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John W. Peck, the District Attorney of Westmoreland County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John W. Peck, the District Attorney of Westmoreland County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Westmoreland Cnty. 2561-2020
389.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Deborah S. Ryan, the District Attorney of Chester County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Deborah S. Ryan, the District Attorney of Chester County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Chester Cnty. 2020-04553
390.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies, Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer S.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Davis A. Sackler; The P.G. Laboratories	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Ryan P. Sayers, the District Attorney of Clearfield County	District Attorney of Clearfield County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al	C.P. Clearfield Cnty. 2020-1056-CO
391.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Jack Stollsteimer, the District Attorney of Delaware County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Jack Stollsteimer, the District Attorney of Delaware County v. AmerisourceBergen	C.P. Delaware Cnty. CV-2020-002026

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 177 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
392.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Matthew D. Weintraub, the District Attorney of Bucks County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Matthew D. Weintraub, the District Attorney of Bucks County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. 2020-00639
393.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Allegheny	County of Allegheny v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Allegheny Cnty. 18-006155
394.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Bradford	County of Bradford v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bradford Cnty. 2018-CV-0059
395.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family, The P.F. Laboratories, Inc., Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Carbon	County of Carbon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Carbon Cnty. No. 18-0990
396.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Clarion	County of Clarion v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clarion Cnty. 285-CD-2018
397.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Cumberland	County of Cumberland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Cumberland 2018-02147
398.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Erie	County of Erie v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Erie Cnty. 11577-18
399.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Fayette	County of Fayette v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Fayette County 2017-2676
400.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Greene	County of Greene v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Greene Cnty. 791-2017

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 178 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
401.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue	C.P. Monroe Cnty.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	3972-CV-18
402.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Tioga	County of Tioga v. Purdue	C.P. Tioga Cnty.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	563-CV-2018
403.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Washington	County of Washington v.	C.P. Washington Cnty.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2017-6268
404.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of	County of Westmoreland v.	C.P. Westmoreland Cnty.
	Inc.			Westmoreland	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2017-5975
405.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of York	County of York v. Purdue	C.P. York Cnty.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2017-SU-003372
406.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Dauphin County, PA	Dauphin County, PA v.	C.P. Dauphin Cnty.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CV-716
407.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Franklin County	Franklin County v. Purdue	C.P. Franklin Cnty.
	Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-2445
408.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lackawanna County,	Lackawanna County,	C.P. Lackawanna Cnty.
	Inc.			Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania v. Purdue	17-cv-5156
					Pharma L.P., et al.	
409.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lawrence County,	Lawrence County,	C.P. Beaver Cnty.
	Inc.			Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania v. Purdue	11180-2017
					Pharma L.P., et al.	
410.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mahoning Township	Mahoning Township v.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty.
	Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	180603466
411.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mercer County	Mercer County v. Purdue	C.P. Mercer Cnty.
	inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-1596
412.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	The Municipality of	The Municipality of	C.P. Montgomery Cnty.
	Inc.			Norristown and The	Norristown and The	2019-12178
				Township of West	Township of West Norriton v.	
				Norriton	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
413.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Newtown Township	Newtown Township v.	C.P. Bucks Cnty.
4	Inc.	3.5		D 1 027 1	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-03043
414.	1 2	Municipality	Pennsylvania	People of Northampton	People of Northampton	C.P. Northampton County
	Inc.			County and	County and Northampton	C48-cv-2017-11557
				Northampton County,	County, PA v. Purdue Pharma	
41.7		3.6	D 1 '	PA P	L.P., et al.	G P. P.1. G A
415.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Pike County, Pa.	Pike County, Pa. v. Purdue	C.P. Pike Cnty.
	Inc.]			Pharma L.P., et al.	No. 602-2018

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 179 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
416.		Municipality	Pennsylvania	Schuylkill County, Pa.	Schuylkill County, Pa. v.	C.P. Schuylkill Cnty.
	Inc.	1 3			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S-1241-18
417.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Wampum Borough	Wampum Borough v. Purdue	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty.
	Inc.	1 3			Pharma L.P., et al.	July Term 2018 No. 01963
418.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Warrington Township	Warrington Township v.	C.P. Bucks Cnty.
	Inc.;	1 ,			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-04956
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
419.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	City of Charleston	City of Charleston v. Purdue	C.P. Charleston Cnty.
	Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-CP-10-04294
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Mark Waldrop;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Wendy Kay; Jeffrey Ward;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 180 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Stuart D. Baker					
420.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	City of North	City of North Charleston v.	C.P. Charleston Cnty.
	Inc.;			Charleston	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-CP-10-03978
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Mark Waldrop;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 181 of 283

	Deleted Deutles	A officer Towns	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Count/Cose Number
421.	Related Parties	Action Type	State South Carolina	(Last, First)	Case Caption County of Abbeville v. Rite	Court/Case Number C.P. Abbeville Cnty.
421.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Abbeville		
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2019-CP-01-00154
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard					
	S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
422.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Aiken	County of Aiken v. Rite Aid	C.P. Aiken Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2019-CP-02-01086
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceutical Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard					
	S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 182 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
423.	1 -	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Allendale	County of Allendale v. Rite	C.P. Allendale Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc. et	2018-CP-03-00125
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 183 of 283

	Deleted Deutles	Action Tomo	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Count/Coss Number
42.4	Related Parties	Action Type	State South Carolina	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
424.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Anderson	County of Anderson v. Rite	C.P. Anderson Cnty.
	Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-04-01108
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				ai.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
425.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Bamberg	County of Bamberg v. Rite	C.P. Bamberg Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, et al.	2018-CP-05-00189
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 184 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members	• •			•	
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
426.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Barnwell	County of Barnwell v. Rite	C.P. Barnwell Cnty.
	Inc.;	1 7			Aid of South Carolina, et al.	2018-CP-06-00329
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				,	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 185 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
427.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Beaufort	County of Beaufort v. Rite	C.P. Beaufort Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-07-01245
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 186 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
428.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Calhoun	County of Calhoun v. Rite	C.P. Calhoun Cnty.
	Inc.;			-	Aid of South Carolina Inc., et	2019-CP-09-00065
	Rhodes Technologies Inc;				al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard					
	S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
429.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Cherokee	County of Cherokee v. Rite	C.P. Cherokee Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-11-00503
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 187 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members	• •			•	
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
430.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Chesterfield	County of Chesterfield v. Rite	C.P. Chesterfield Cnty.
	Inc.;	y			Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-13-00410
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al.	2010 01 15 00 110
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 188 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
431.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Clarendon	County of Clarendon v. Rite	C.P. Clarendon Cnty.
	Inc.;			•	Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2019-CP-14-00236
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
432.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Colleton	County of Colleton v.Rite Aid	C.P. Colleton Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-15-00438
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 189 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members	• •			•	
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
433.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Dillon	County of Dillon v. Rite Aid	C.P. Dillon Cnty.
	Inc.;	1 ,			of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2019-CP-17-00213
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				, ,	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 190 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
434.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Dorchester	County of Dorchester v. Rite	C.P. Dorchester Cnty.
	Inc.;			•	Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-18-01122
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
435.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Edgefield	County of Edgefield v. Rite	C.P. Edgefield Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2019-CP-19-00120
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 191 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members	• •			•	
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
436.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Fairfield	County of Fairfield v. Rite	C.P. Fairfield Cnty.
	Inc.;	1 ,			Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-20-00272
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 192 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
437.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Florence	County of Florence v. Rite	C.P. Florence Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2019-CP-21-01213
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 193 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
438.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Greenwood	County of Greenwood v. Rite	C.P. Cherokee Cnty.
	Inc.;	1 ,		•	Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-24-00775
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
439.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Hampton	County of Hampton v. Rite	C.P. Hampton Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-25-00258
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 194 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			•	
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
440.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Horry	County of Horry v. Rite Aid	C.P. Horry Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2019-CP-26-02684
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				, ,	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 195 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
441.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Jasper	County of Jasper v. Rite Aid	C.P. Jasper Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-27-00332
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
442.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Kershaw	County of Kershaw v. Rite	C.P. Kershaw Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, et al.	2018-CP-28-00553
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 196 of 283

		–	-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
443.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lancaster	County of Lancaster v. Rite	C.P. Lancaster Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina Inc., et	2019-CP-29-00540
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceutical Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 197 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
444.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Laurens	County of Laurens v. Rite Aid	C.P. Laurens Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-30-00606
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 198 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
445.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lee	County of Lee v. Rite Aid of	C.P. Lee Cnty.
	Inc.;	1 3			South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-31-00207
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
446.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lexington	County of Lexington v. Rite	C.P. Lexington Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-32-02207
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 199 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
447.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Marion	County of Marion v. Rite Aid	C.P. Marion Cnty.
	Inc.;	1 .		•	of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2019-CP-33-00299
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 200 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
448.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of McCormick	County of McCormick v. Rite	C.P. McCormick Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2019-CP-35-00031
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
449.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Oconee	County of Oconee v. Rite Aid	C.P. Oconee Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-37-00458
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 201 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members		15 33335	(=0.21, = 12.21)		
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
450.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Orangeburg	County of Orangeburg v. Rite	C.P. Orangeburg Cnty.
	Inc.;	ivionitorpunty		are anning or a range of any	Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-38-00841
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al.	2010 01 30 000 11
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				ui.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 202 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
451.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Pickens	County of Pickens v. Rite Aid	C.P. Pickens Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	18-CP-39-00675
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 203 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
452.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Saluda	County of Saluda v. Rite Aid	C.P. Clarendon Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2019-CP-41-00111
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
453.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Sumter	County of Sumter v. Rite Aid	C.P. Sumter Cnty.
	Inc.;				of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2019-CP-43-00891
	Rhodes Techonologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 204 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members	• •			•	
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
454.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Union	County of Union v. Rite Aid	C.P. Union Cnty.
	Inc.;	1 2			of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-44-00288
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				, ,	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 205 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
455.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Williamsburg	County of Williamsburg v.	C.P. Williamsburg Cnty.
	Inc.;				Rite Aid of South Carolina,	2018-CP-45-00276
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				Inc., et al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
456.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	County of York	County of York v. Rite Aid of	C.P. York Cnty.
	Inc.;				South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-46-02446
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 206 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members	• •			•	
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
457.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	Greenville County	Greenville County v. Rite Aid	C.P. Greenville Cnty.
	Inc.;	1 ,			of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-23-01294
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				, ,	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 207 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
458.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	South Carolina	Spartanburg County	Spartanburg County v. Rite	C.P. Spartanburg Cnty.
	Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-42-00760
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 208 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
459.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Leavis Sullivan; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Beth Taylor; Mark Waldrop; Michael Madden; Wendy Kay; Jeffrey Ward; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	Action Type Municipality	State South Carolina	(Last, First) Town of Mount Pleasant	Town of Mount Pleasant v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Court/Case Number C.P. Charleston Cnty. 2019-CP-10-04302
	Sackler Family;					
460.	Stuart D. Baker The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	Barry Staubus, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Second Judicial District and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein; Tony Clark, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the First Judicial District and on	Barry Staubus, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Sullivan Cnty. No. C-41916

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 209 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	behalf of all political	Case Capuon	Court/Case Number
				subdivisions therein;		
				Dan Armstrong, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Third Judicial District and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein;		
				Baby Doe, by and		
				through his Guadian Ad		
				Litem		
461	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	Bryant C. Dunaway, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirteenth Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, Including Clay County, City of Celine, Cumberland County, City of Crab Orchard, City of Crossville, Town of Pleasant Hill, Dekalb County, Town of Alexandria, Town of Dowelltown, Town of Liberty, City of Smithville, Overton County, Town of Livingston, Pickett County, Town of Byrdstown, Putnam County, City of Algood,	Bryant C. Dunaway, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cumberland Cnty. CCI-2018-CV-6331

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 210 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<i>J</i> J · · ·		Town of Baxter, City of		
			Cookeville, Town of		
			Monterey, White		
			County, Town of Doyle,		
			City of Sparta;		
			Jenning H. Jones, in his		
			official capacity as the		
			District Attorney		
			General for the		
			Sixteenth Judicial		
			District, TN and on		
			behalf of all political		
			subdivisions therein,		
			including Cannon		
			County, Town of		
			Auburntown, Town of		
			Woodbury, Rutherford		
			County, City of		
			Eaglevill, City of La		
			Vergne, City of		
			Murfreesboro, Town of		
			Smyrna;		
			Robert J. Carter, in his		
			official capacity as the		
			District Attorney		
			General for the		
			Seventeenth Judicial		
			District, TN and on		
			behalf of all political		
			subdivisions therein,		
			including Bedord		
			County, Town of Bell		
			Buckle, Town of		
			Normandy, City of		
			Shelbyville, Town of		
			Wartrace, Lincoln		
			County, City of		

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 211 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			Ardmore, City of	•	
			Fayetteville, Town of		
			Petersburg, Marshall		
			County, Town of		
			Chapel Hill, Town of		
			Cornersville, City of		
			Lewisburg, Moore		
			County, City of		
			Lynchburg;		
			Brent A. Cooper, in his		
			official capacity as the		
			District Attorney		
			General for the Twenty-		
			Second Judicial District,		
			TN and on behalf of all		
			political subdivisions		
			therein, including Giles		
			County, City of Elkton,		
			Town of Lynnville, City		
			of Minor Hill, City of		
			Pulaski, Lawrence		
			County, Town of		
			Ethridge, City of Iron		
			City, City of		
			Lawrenceburg, City of		
			Loretto, City of St.		
			Joseph, Maury County,		
			City of Columbia, City		
			of Mount Pleasant, City		
			of Spring Hill, Wayne		
			County, City of Clifton,		
			City of Collinwood,		
			City of Waynesboro;		
			1. 0.7		
			Lisa S. Zavogiannis, in		
			her official capacity as		
			the District Attorney		

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 212 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				General for the Thirty-First Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, including Van Buren County, Town of Spencer, Warren County, Town of Centertown, City of McMinnville, Town of Morrison, Town of Viola; Baby Doe, by and		
162	The Dundy's Englands Commons	District	Томмоддоо	through his Mother	Land Efflow at al. v. Dundua	Fostom Costion of
462.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	Jared Effler, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Eigth Judicial District, TN; Charme Allen, in her official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Sixth Judicial District; Dave Clark, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Seventh Judicial District, TN; Russell Johnson, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Seventh Judicial District, TN;	Jared Effler, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eastern Section at Knoxville Court of Appeals No. E2018-01994-COA- R3-CV

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 213 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Tyme	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Stephen Crump, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Tenth Judicial District, TN;		
				Jimmy Dunn, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Fourth Judicial District, TN;		
				Mike Taylor, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Twelfth Judicial District, TN		
				Baby Doe #1; Baby Doe #2		
463.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Tennessee	Shelby County, by the Shelby Board of Commissioners	Shelby County, by the Shelby Board of Commissioners v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Shelby Cnty. No. CT-004500-17
464.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	City of Houston, Texas	City of Houston, Texas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2019-43219
465.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Bee	County of Bee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76897
466.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Bexar	County of Bexar v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77066
467.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Burnet	County of Burnet v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77090
468.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cameron	County of Cameron v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77093
469.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cass	County of Cass v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76905
470.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cooke	County of Cooke v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76907

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 214 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
471.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Coryell	County of Coryell v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Coryell Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77097
472.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Dallas	County of Dallas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77098
473.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Delta	County of Delta v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77093
474.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Dimmit	County of Dimmit v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76905
475.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Ector	County of Ector v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76907
476.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of El Paso	County of El Paso v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Coryell Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77097
477.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Falls	County of Falls v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77098
478.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Fannin	County of Fannin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77093
479.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Grayson	County of Grayson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76994
480.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Harrison	County of Harrison v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77108
481.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Hidalgo	County of Hidalgo v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77109
482.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Hopkins	County of Hopkins v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77111
483.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Houston	County of Houston v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77021
484.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Kendall	County of Kendall v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77023
485.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kerr	County of Kerr v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77114
486.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Liberty	County of Liberty v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77116
487.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Limestone	County of Limestone v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77025
488.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Marion	County of Marion v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77026

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 215 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
489.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of McMullen	County of McMullen v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77067
490.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Milam	County of Milam v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77141
491.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Nacogdoches	County of Nacogdoches v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77027
492.	The Purdue Frederick Company; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Nueces; Nueces County Hospital District	County of Nueces and Nueces County Hospital District v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77083
493.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Orange	County of Orange v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77036
494.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Panola	County of Panola v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77037
495.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Parker	County of Parker v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77143
496.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Potter	County of Potter v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77039
497.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Robertson	County of Robertson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77043
498.	The Purdue Frederick Company; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of San Patricio	County of San Patricio v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77075
499.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Shelby	County of Shelby v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77062
500.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Travis	County of Travis v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77144
501.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Trinity	County of Trinity v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77080
502.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Van Zandt	County of Van Zandt v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77150
503.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Waller	County of Waller v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77153
504.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Wood	County of Wood v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77081

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 216 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
505.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	Johnson County	Johnson County v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-87346
506.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Cache County, Utah; Rich County, Utah	Cache County, Utah; Rich County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1st Dist. Ct. Cache Cnty. 190100112
507.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Davis County	Davis County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2nd Dist. Ct. Davis Cnty. 180700870
508.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Grand County	Grand County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7th Jud. Dist. Ct. Grand Cnty. 180700040
509.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Iron County	Iron County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5th Jud. Dist. Ct. Iron Cnty. 180500149
510.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Millard County	Millard County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4th Jud. Dist. Ct. Millard Cnty. 180700044
511.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Salt Lake County	Salt Lake County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3rd Jud. Dist. Ct. Salk Lake Cnty. 180902421
512.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	San Juan County	San Juan County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7th Jud. Dist. Ct. Grand Cnty. 180700040
513.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Sanpete County	Sanpete County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6th Jud. Dist. Ct. Sanpete Cnty. 180600095

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 217 of 283

	D.L. ID. C	A (* 17)	G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
=	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
514.	1 2	Municipality	Utah	Sevier County;	Sevier County, Utah, Juab	6th Jud. Dis. Ct. Sevier
	Inc.;			Juab County;	County, Utah, Emery County,	Cnty. 190600050
	Richard S. Sackler;			Emery County;	Utah, Wayne County, Utah,	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;			Wayne County;	and Piute County, Utah v.	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;			Piute County	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of the					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.					
515.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Summit County, Utah	Summit County, Utah v.	3rd Dist. Ct. Summit Cnty.
	Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	180500119
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of the					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 218 of 283

	Dalata I Dant'an	A - 4° T	64-4-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Corr Corrière	Carral Carra Name Island
716	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
516.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Tooele County, Utah	Tooele County, Utah v.	3rd Dist. Ct. Tooele Cnty.
	Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	180300423
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of the					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.					
517.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Uintah County, Utah;	Uintah County, Utah;	8th Dist. Ct. Uintah Cnty.
	Inc.;			Duscesne County, Utah;	Duscesne County, Utah;	180800056
	Richard S. Sackler;			Daggett County, Utah;	Daggett County, Utah; and	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;			Tri-County Health	Tri-County Health	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;			Department	Department v. Purdue Pharma	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				L.P., et al.	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of the					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 219 of 283

	DI (ID ()	A (* 170	G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
518.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Wasatch County, Utah	Wasatch County, Utah v.	4th Dist. Ct. Wasatch Cnty.
	Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	180500079
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of the					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.					
519.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Washington County,	Washington County, Utah;	5th Dist. Ct. Washington
	Inc.;			Utah;	Kane County, Utah; Beaver	Cnty.
	Richard S. Sackler;			Kane County, Utah;	County, Utah; Garfield	190500179
	Jonathan D. Sackler;			Beaver County, Utah;	County, Utah v. Purdue	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;			Garfield County, Utah	Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of the					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 220 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
520.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Weber County, Utah	Weber County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2nd Dist. Ct. Weber Cnty. 180903087
521.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Martinsville, Virginia	City of Martinsville, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Martinsville Cnty. CL18000240-00
522.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. John Stewart; Craig Landau; Mark Timney; Russell Gasdia; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P.	Municipality	Virginia	The County Board of Arlington County, Virginia	The County Board of Arlington County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Arlington Cnty. CL19001081-00
523.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Dinwiddie County, Virginia	Dinwiddie County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Dinwiddie Cnty. CL19-317
524.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Mecklenburg County	Mecklenburg County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Mecklenburg County CL19000558-00

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 221 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
525.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes	Municipality	West Virginia	Brooke County Commission; Hancock County Commission; Harrison County Commission; Lewis County Commission; Marshall County Commission; Ohio County Commission; Tyler County Commission; Wetzel County Commission	Brooke County Commission, Hancock County Commission, Harrison County Commission, Lewis County Commission, Marshall County Commission, Ohio County Commission, Tyler County Commission, and Wetzel County Commission v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 17-C-248H 17-C-249H 17-C-250H 17-C-251H 17-C-252H 17-C-253H 17-C-254H 17-C-255H Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation , Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000
526.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Patty Carnes; Carol Debord; Jeff Waugh; Shane Cook; Mark Ross	Municipality	West Virginia	The County Commission of Mason County; The County Commission of Barbour County; Mayor Chris Tatum on behalf of The Village of Barboursville; The County Commission of Taylor County; The County Commission of Webster County; Mayor Don E. McCourt, on behalf of the Town of Addison a/k/a The Town of Webster Springs	The County Commission of Mason County; The County Commission of Barbour County; Mayor Chris Tatum on behalf of The Village of Barboursville; The County Commission of Taylor County; The County Commission of Webster County; and Mayor Don E. McCourt, on behalf of the Town of Addison a/k/a The Town of Webster Springs v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Marshall County 19-C-4H 19-C-5H 19-C-6H 19-C-7H 19-C-8H 19-C-9H Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation, Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 222 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
527.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of the Mortimer Sackler Family; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes; Carol DeBord; Jeff Waugh; Shane Cook	Municipality	West Virginia	Mayor Peggy Knotts Barney, on behalf of the City of Grafton; Mayor Philip Bowers, on behalf of the City of Philippi	Mayor Peggy Knotts Barney, on behalf of the City of Grafton, and Mayor Philip Bowers, on behalf of the City of Philippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 19-C-151 19-C-152 Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation, Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000
528.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes	Municipality	West Virginia	Monongalia County Commission; Marion County Commission; Doddridge County Commission; Randolph County Commission; Upshur County Commission	Monongalia County Commission; Marion County Commission; Doddridge County Commission; Randolph County Commission; and Upshur County Commission v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 18-C-222H 18-C-233H 18-C-234H 18-C-235H 18-C-236H Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation, Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 223 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
529.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	West Virginia	Roane County	Roane County Commission;	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty.
	Inc.;			Commission;	The City of Spencer; Jackson	19-C-96-108H
	Mark Radcliffe;			The City of Spencer;	County Commission; The	
	Mark Ross;			Jackson County	City of Ripley; The Town of	
	Patty Carnes;			Commission;	Ravenswood; Wood County	
	Jeff Waugh;			The City of Ripley;	Commission; The City of	
	Carol Debord;			The Town of	Williamstown; Wirt County	
	Amanda Bias Hayes;			Ravenswood;	Commission; The Town of	
	Doug Powers			Wood County	Elizabeth; Pleasants County	
				Commission;	Commission; City of St.	
				The City of	Mary's; Ritchie County	
				Williamstown;	Commission; Town of	
				Wirt County	Harrisville v. Mylan	
				Commission;	Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	
				The Town of Elizabeth;	,	
				Pleasants County		
				Commission;		
				City of St. Mary's;		
				Ritchie County		
				Commission;		
				Town of Harrisville		
Hos	oital, Treatment Center (MDL)	•		•	•	
530.		Hospital	MDL	Danville Regional	Danville Regional Medical	N.D. Ohio
	Inc.			Medical Center, LLC	Center, LLC v. Purdue	1:19-op-45788
					Pharma L.P., et al.	Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 224 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
531.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	Treatment Center	MDL	Foundation on the Rock Ministries; New Life Church of God d/b/a Project New Start, Inc.; Rankin Baptist Association d/b/a Crossroads Counseling Center	Foundation on the Rock Ministries, et al. v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45110 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
532.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.	Hospital	MDL	Triad Health Systems, Inc.	Triad Health Systems, Inc., and all other similarly situated Federally Qualified Healthcare Systems and Clinics v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45780 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
533.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Hospital	MDL	West Boca Medical Center, Inc.	West Boca Medical Center, Inc. v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45530 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 225 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)						
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number				
Hos	Iospital, Individual, Third Party Payor, University (State Court)									
	Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Joe Coggins; Lyndsie Fowler; Mitchell "Chip" Fisher; Rebecca Sterling; Vanessa Weatherspoon; Chris Hargrave; Brandon Hasenfuss; Joe Read; Rhodes Technologies Inc.	Hospital	Alabama	Mobile County Board of Health; Family Oriented Primary Health Care Clinic	Mobile County Board of Health and Family Oriented Primary Health Care Clinic v. Richard Sackler, et al.	Cir. Ct. Mobile Cnty. 02-CV-2019-902806				
535.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia	Hospital	Arizona	Kingman Hospital, Inc.; Arizona Spine and Joint Hospital LLC; Bullhead City Hospital Corporation; Carondelet St. Joseph's Hospital; Holy Cross Hospital, Inc.; Hospital Development of West Phoenix, Inc.; Northwest Hospital, LLC; Oro Valley Hospital, LLC; Oasis Hospital; Orthopedic and Surgical	Kingman Hospital, Inc.; Arizona Spine and Joint Hospital LLC; Bullhead City Hospital Corporation; Carondelet St. Joseph's Hospital; Holy Cross Hospital, Inc.; Hospital Development of West Phoenix, Inc.; Northwest Hospital, LLC; Oro Valley Hospital, LLC; Oasis Hospital; Orthopedic and Medical Specialty Company, LLC; St. Mary's Hospital of Tucson; VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 1, Inc.;	Mohave Cnty. Sup. Ct. S8015cv201900563				

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 226 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
		• •		Specialty Company,	and VHS Arrowhead, Inc. v.	
				LLC;	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
				St. Mary's Hospital of		
				Tucson;		
				VHS Acquisition		
				Subsidiary Number 1,		
				Inc.;		
				VHS Arrowhead, Inc.		
536.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Hospital	Arizona	Tucson Medical Center	Tucson Medical Center v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Pima County C20184991
537.	Richard Sackler; Beverly	Hospital	Florida	Florida Health Sciences	Florida Health Sciences	Cir. Ct. Broward Cnty.
	Sackler; David Sackler;	1		Center, Inc.; North	Center, Inc., et al. v. Richard	19-018882
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan			Broward Hospital	Sackler, et a.	
	Sackler; Kathe Sackler;			District; Halifax	,	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;			Hospital Medical		
	Theresa Sackler;			Center, Bayfront HMA		
	John Stewart;			Medical Center, LLC;		
	Mark Timney;			CGH Hospital, Ltd.;		
	Craig Landau;			Citrus HMA, LLC;		
	Russell Gasdia; Barbara C.			Central Florida Health;		
	Miller; Briann Parson-Barnes;			Crestview Hospital		
	Becca Beck Harville; Lindsey			Corporation; Delray		
	Bonifacio; Tammy Heyward;			Medical Center, Inc.;		
	James Speed, Damon Storhoff;			Flagler Hospital, Inc.;		
	Diana C. Muller;			Good Samaritan		
	Draupadi Daley			Medical Center, Inc.;		
				Haines City HMA, LLC; Hernando HMA,		
				LLC; Hialeah Hospital,		
				Inc.; HMA Santa Rosa		
				Medical Center, LLC;		
				Key West HMA, LLC;		
				Lake Shore HMA, LLC;		
				Lake Wales Hospital		
				Corporation; Larkin		
				Community Hospital		
				Palm Springs Campus,		
				LLC; Larkin		

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 227 of 283

			_	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Community Hospital,		
				Inc; Larkin Community		
				Hospital Behavioral		
				Service, Inc.; Leesburg		
				Regional Medical		
				Center, Inc.; Lifemark		
				Hospitals of Florida,		
				Inc.; Live Oak HMA,		
				LLC; Naples HMA,		
				LLC; North Shore		
				Medical Center, Inc.;		
				Osceolasc LLC; Palm		
				Beach Gardens		
				Community Hospital, Inc.; Port Charlotte		
				,		
				HMA, LLC; Punta		
				Gorda HMA, LLC; St.		
				Mary's Medical Center, Inc.; Starke HMA, LLC;		
				The Villages Tri-County		
				Medical Center, Inc.;		
				and Venice HMA, LLC		
538.	The Purdue Frederick Company	University	Louisiana	The Board of	The Board of Supervisors for	19th Jud. Dist. Ct. East
	Inc.;	,		Supervisors for	Louisiana State University	Baton Rouge Parish
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;			Louisiana State	and Agricultural and	C-694318 24
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;			University and	Mechanical College v.	
	Beverly Sackler;			Agricultural and	AmerisourceBergen Drug	
	David A. Sackler;			Mechanical College	Corp., et al.	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				1 7	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Stuart Baker;					
	Raymond Sackler Trust					
539.	Nathan C. Grace;	Hospital	Mississippi	Singing River Health	Singing River Health System;	Ch. Ct. Jackson Cnty.
	Jaclyn P. Gatling;	1		System;	Anderson Regional Health	30-CH-1:19-cv-01879

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 228 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
Leslie Roberson			Anderson Regional	System;	
			Health System;	Biloxi HMA, LLC d/b/a	
			Biloxi HMA, LLC d/b/a	Merit Health Biloxi;	
			Merit Health Biloxi;	Clarksdale HMA, LLC d/b/a	
			Clarksdale HMA, LLC	Northwest Mississippi	
			d/b/a Northwest	Medical Center;	
			Mississippi Medical	Field Memorial Community	
			Center;	Hospital d/b/a Field Health	
			Field Memorial	System;	
			Community Hospital	Jackson HMA, LLC d/b/a	
			d/b/a Field Health	Merit Health Central;	
			System;	Magnolia Regional Health	
			Jackson HMA, LLC	Center;	
			d/b/a Merit Health	Madison HMA, LLC d/b/a	
			Central;	Merit Health Madison;	
			Magnolia Regional	Brandon HMA, LLC d/b/a	
			Health Center;	Merit Health Rankin;	
			Madison HMA, LLC	Wesley Health System, LLC	
			d/b/a Merit Health	d/b/a Merit Health Wesley;	
			Madison;	Natchez Hospital Company,	
			Brandon HMA, LLC	LLC d/b/a Merit Health	
			d/b/a Merit Health	Natchez;	
			Rankin;	North Sunflower Medical	
			Wesley Health System,	Center;	
			LLC d/b/a Merit Health	River Oaks Hospital, LLC	
			Wesley;	d/b/a Merit Health River	
			Natchez Hospital	Oaks;	
			Company, LLC d/b/a	Vicksburg Healthcare, LLC	
			Merit Health Natchez;	d/b/a Merit Health River	
			North Sunflower	Region and Merit Health	
			Medical Center;	River Region West;	
			River Oaks Hospital,	Roh, LLC d/b/a Merit Health	
			LLC d/b/a Merit Health	Women's Hospital;	
			River Oaks;	Tippah County Hospital;	
			Vicksburg Healthcare,	Alliance Healthcare System;	
			LLC d/b/a Merit Health	Memorial Hospital at	
			River Region and Merit	Gulfport;	
			Health River Region	Delta Regional Medical	

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 229 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				West; Roh, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Women's Hospital; Tippah County Hospital; Alliance Healthcare System; Memorial Hospital at Gulfport; Delta Regional Medical Center; Progressive Medical Management of Batesville d/b/a Panola Medical Center; Boa Vida Hospital of Abderdeen, MS, LLC	Center; Progressive Medical Management of Batesville d/b/a Panola Medical Center; Boa Vida Hospital of Abderdeen, MS, LLC v. Nathan C. Grace, et al.	
540.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Purdue Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; The Beacon Company; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Estate of Dr. Richard Sackler; Estate of Mortimer Sackler; Estate of Raymond Sackler	Individual	New Jersey	Hill, Fredrick	Fredrick Hill v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super Ct. NJ Camden Cnty. L-003693-19

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 230 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
541.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Individual	New Jersey	Perez, Francisco	Francisco Perez, individually, as administrator and administrator ad prosequendum for the Estate of Tanny Robles-Perez, Fransheka Robles Gomez, Sarai Perez Robles, a minor, by Francisco Perez, his guardian ad litem v. Fred S. Revordero, M.D., et al.	Super. Ct. NJ Passaic Cnty. PAS-L-003289-18
542.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Estate	New York	The Estate of Justine Maria Aliotta; Aliotta, Joanne individually and as administratrix of the Estate of Justine Marie Aliotta, deceased; Torres Julissa Cecelia, an infant by her guardian Joanne Aliotta, on behalf of themselves and Plaintiff Class Consisting of all other persons in New York so situated	The Estate of Justine Maria Aliotta, et al. v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	Sup. Ct. Richmond Cnty. 152057-2019
543.	Jonathan Sackler; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	Estate	North Carolina	Leysen, Patty Carol, Administrator of the Estate of Brian Keith Johnston	Patty Carol Leysen, et al. v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Sup. Ct. Davidson Cnty. 20-cvs-112

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 231 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
544.	Jonathan Sackler; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	Estate	North Carolina	Stevens, Susan K., Administratrix of the Estate of Toria Capri Stevens	Susan Stevens, et al. v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Sup. Ct. Forsyth Cnty. 20-cvs-352
545.	Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler	Estate	North Carolina	Williams, Sonji B., Administratrix of the Estate of Tyler Michael Wain Williams	Sonji B. Williams, Administratrix of the Estate of Tyler Michael Wain Williams v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Super. Ct. Gaston Cnty. 19-cvs-5192
546.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	AFSCME District Council 33 Health & Welfare Fund	AFSCME District Council 33 Health & Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia 180302269
547.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	AFSCME District Council 47 Health & Welfare Fund	AFSCME District Council 47 Health & Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia 180302255
548.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Bricklayers and Allied Craftworkers Local Union No. 1 of PA/DE Health and Welfare Fund	Bricklayers and Allied Craftworkers Local Union No. 1 of PA/DE Health and Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia 180302256

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 232 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
549.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Carpenters Health & Welfare Fund of Philadelphia & Vicinity	Carpenters Health & Welfare of Philadelphia & Vicinity v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia 180302264
550.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Estate	Pennsylvania	Davidson, Karen A., Individually, and Administratrix of the Estate of John C. Davidson, deceased	Karen Davidson, et al. v. Ignacio Badiola, M.D., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 200100381
551.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 728 Family Healthcare Plan	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 728 Family Healthcare Plan v. Allergan, PLC, et al.	C.P. Philadelphia No. 003872
552.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 98 Health & Welfare Fund and International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 89 Sound and Communication Health & Welfare Fund	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 98 Health & Welfare Fund and International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 89 Sound and Communication Health & Welfare Fund v. Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 002063

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 233 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
553.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	International Union of Painters and Allied Trades, District Council No. 21 Welfare Fund	International Union of Painters and Allied Trades, District Council No. 21 Welfare Fund v. Allergan PLC, et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 001983
554.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Iron Workers District Council of Philadelphia and Vicinity, Benefit Fund	Iron Workers District Council of Philadelphia and Vicinity, Benefit Fund v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 180502442
555.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and Welfare Fund	Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and Welfare Fund v. Endo Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 180403891
556.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority	Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority v. Endo Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. March Term 2018 No. 02923
557.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Steamfitters Local 449 Medical & Benefit Fund	Steamfitters Local 449 Medical & Benefit Fund v. Allergan PLC, et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 19091412
558.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	The Trustees of the Unite Here Local 634 Health & Welfare Fund	The Trustees of the Unite Here Local 634 Health & Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 180401123
559.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	UFCW Local 23 and Employers Health Fund	UFCW Local 23 and Employers Health Fund v. Endo Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 180403485
560.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Western Pennsylvania Electrical Employees Insurance Trust Fund	Western Pennsylvania Electrical Employees Insurance Trust Fund v. Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 181002038
561.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe	Hospital	Texas	Dallas County Hospital District d/b/a Parkland Health & Hospital System; Palo Pinto County Hospital District a/k/a Palo Pinto General	Dallas County Hospital District d/b/a Parkland Health & Hospital System; Palo Pinto County Hospital District a/k/a Palo Pinto General Hospital; Guadalupe Valley Hospital	Dallas Cnty. Dist. Ct. DC-19-13794

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 234 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
Sackler; Mortimer Sackler;	Treeton Type	~~~~	Hospital;	a/k/a Guadalupe Regional	Out out the transfer
Theresa Sackler;			Guadalupe Valley	Medical Center; VHS San	
John Stewart;			Hospital a/k/a	Antonio Partners, LLC d/b/a	
Mark Timney;			Guadalupe Regional	Baptist Medical Center,	
Craig Landau;			Medical Center;	Mission Trail Baptist	
Russell Gasdia			VHS San Antonio	Hospital, North Central	
11000011 000010			Partners, LLC d/b/a	Baptist Hospital, Northeast	
			Baptist Medical Center,	Baptist Hospital, and St.	
			Mission Trail Baptist	Luke's Baptist Hospital;	
			Hospital, North Central	Nacogdoches Medical Center;	
			Baptist Hospital,	Resolute Hospital Company,	
			Northeast Baptist	LLC d/b/a Resolute Health;	
			Hospital, and St. Luke's	The Hospitals of Providence	
			Baptist Hospital;	East	
			Nacogdoches Medical	Campus; The Hospitals of	
			Center;	Providence Memorial	
			Resolute Hospital	Campus; The Hospitals of	
			Company, LLC d/b/a	Providence	
			Resolute Health;	Sierra Campus; The Hospitals	
			The Hospitals of	of Providence Transmountain	
			Providence East	Campus; VHS Brownsville	
			Campus;	Hospital Company, LLC d/b/a	
			The Hospitals of	Valley Baptist Medical	
			Providence Memorial	Center - Brownsville; VHS	
			Campus;	Harlingen Hospital Company,	
			The Hospitals of	LLC d/b/a Valley Baptist	
			Providence	Medical Center;	
			Sierra Campus;	Armc, L.P. d/b/a Abilene	
			The Hospitals of	Regional Medical Center;	
			Providence	College Station Hospital, LP;	
			Transmountain Campus;	Granbury Hospital	
			VHS Brownsville	Corporation d/b/a Lake	
			Hospital Company, LLC	Granbury Medical Center;	
			d/b/a	Navarro Hospital, L.P.	
			Valley Baptist Medical	d/b/a Navarro Regional	
			Center - Brownsville;	Hospital; Brownwood	
			VHS Harlingen Hospital	Hospital, L.P. d/b/a	
			Company, LLC d/b/a	Brownwood Regional	

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 235 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			Valley Baptist Medical	Medical Center; Victoria of	
			Center;	Texas, L.P. d/b/a Detar	
			Armc, L.P. d/b/a	Hospital	
			Abilene Regional	Navarro and Detar Hospital	
			Medical Center; College	North; Laredo Texas Hospital	
			Station Hospital, LP;	Company, L.P. d/b/a Laredo	
			Granbury Hospital	Medical Center; San Angelo	
			Corporation d/b/a Lake	Hospital, L.P. d/b/a San	
			Granbury Medical	Angelo	
			Center; Navarro	Community Medical Center;	
			Hospital, L.P.	Cedar Park Health System,	
			d/b/a Navarro Regional	L.P.	
			Hospital;	d/b/a Cedar Park Regional	
			Brownwood Hospital,	Medical Center; NHCI of	
			L.P. d/b/a Brownwood	Hillsboro, Inc. d/b/a Hill	
			Regional Medical	Regional Hospital; Longview	
			Center; Victoria of	Medical Center, L.P. d/b/a	
			Texas, L.P. d/b/a Detar	Longview Regional Medical	
			Hospital Navarro and	Center; and Piney Woods	
			Detar Hospital North;	Healthcare System, L.P. d/b/a	
			Laredo Texas Hospital	Woodland Heights Medical	
			Company, L.P. d/b/a	Center v. Purdue Pharma	
			Laredo Medical Center;	L.P., et al.	
			San Angelo Hospital,	,	
			L.P. d/b/a San Angelo		
			Community Medical		
			Center;		
			Cedar Park Health		
			System, L.P.		
			d/b/a Cedar Park		
			Regional Medical		
			Center; NHCI of		
			Hillsboro, Inc. d/b/a Hill		
			Regional Hospital;		
			Longview Medical		
			Center, L.P. d/b/a		
			Longview Regional		
			Medical Center;		

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 236 of 283

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Related Farties	Action Type	State	Piney Woods Healthcare System, L.P. d/b/a Woodland Heights Medical Center	Case Caption	Court / Case I validet
562.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard D. Sackler	Third Party Payor	Texas	Fire and Police Retirement Health Care Fund, San Antonio	Fire and Police Retirement Health Care Fund, San Antonio v. Richard D. Sackler, et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-33724
563.	The Purdue Frederick Company; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes	Hospital	West Virginia	West Virginia University Hospitals Inc.; Appalachian Regional Healthcare, Inc.; Bluefield Hospital Company, LLC; Broaddus Hospital Association; Camden-Clark Memorial Hospital Corporation; Charleston Area Medical Center, Inc.; The Charles Town General Hospital; City Hospital, Inc.; Community Health Association d/b/a Jackson General Hospital; Davis Memorial Hospital; Grafton City Hospital, Inc.; Grant Memorial Hospital; Greenbrier VMC, LLC; Monongalia County	West Virginia University Hospitals Inc.; Appalachian Regional Healthcare, Inc.; Bluefield Hospital Company, LLC; Broaddus Hospital Association; Camden-Clark Memorial Hospital Corporation; Charleston Area Medical Center, Inc.; The Charles Town General Hospital; City Hospital, Inc.; Community Health Association d/b/a Jackson General Hospital; Davis Memorial Hospital; Grafton City Hospital, Inc.; Grant Memorial Hospital; Greenbrier VMC, LLC; Monongalia County General Hospital Company; Oak Hill Hospital Corporation d/b/a Plateau Medical Center; Potomac Valley Hospital of W. Va., Inc.; Preston Memorial Hospital Corporation; Princeton Community Hospital Association, Inc.; Reynolds Memorial Hospital Inc.; St.	Civ. Action Nos. 19-C-69 through 19-C-88 and 19-C- 134 through 19-C-139 Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation, Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 237 of 283

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			General Hospital	Joseph's Hospital of	
			Company;	Buckhannon, Inc.; Walgreens	
			Oak Hill Hospital	Boots Alliance, Inc.;	
			Corporation d/b/a	Stonewall Jackson Memorial	
			Plateau Medical Center;	Hospital Company; United	
			Potomac Valley	Hospital Center, Inc.;	
			Hospital of W. Va., Inc.;	Webster County Memorial	
			Preston Memorial	Hospital, Inc.; Wetzel County	
			Hospital Corporation;	Hospital Association;	
			Princeton Community	Williamson Memorial	
			Hospital Association,	Hospital, LLC.; and Braxton	
			Inc.;	County Memorial Hospital,	
			Reynolds Memorial	Inc. v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et	
			Hospital Inc.;	al.	
			St. Joseph's Hospital of		
			Buckhannon, Inc.;		
			Stonewall Jackson		
			Memorial Hospital		
			Company;		
			United Hospital Center,		
			Inc.;		
			Webster County		
			Memorial Hospital, Inc.;		
			Wetzel County Hospital		
			Association; Williamson		
			Memorial Hospital,		
			LLC.;		
			Braxton County		
			Memorial Hospital, Inc.		

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 238 of 283

			~	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
_	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	te, Individual, Third Party Payor (T	T :	T	T
564.		NAS	MDL	A.M.H.	A.M.H., individually and as	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				next friend or guardian of	1:19-op-45052
	Richard S. Sackler;				minor Baby C.E., and on	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				behalf of all others similarly	2804
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				situated v. Purdue Pharma	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				L.P., et al.	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.					
565.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Alexander, Melba	Melba Alexander,	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				individually and as next	1:19-op-45502
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				friend and guardian of Baby	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				B.H.R. v. Purdue Pharma	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				L.P., et al.	
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 239 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
566.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Ambrosio, Melissa	Melissa Ambrosio, individually and as next friend of Baby G.A., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45375 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
567.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Artz, Jennifer	Jennifer Artz, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby I.A.A., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45459 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 240 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
568.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Atkinson, Sandra	Sandra Atkinson, individually	N.D. Ohio
508.	Company, Inc.;	INAS	MIDL	Atkinson, Sandra	and as next friend and	1:19-op-45531
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Baby L.C. v.	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				Turdue Triarma E.T., et al.	2004
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
569.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Berzinski, April	April Berzinski, individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;			_	and as next friend and	1:19-op-45503
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Baby A.Z. v.	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 241 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
570.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Brant, Shelby L.	Shelby L. Brant, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby L.A.Z., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45494 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
571.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Brumbarger, Brandi	Brandi Brumbarger, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby J.B.B., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45469 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 242 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
572.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Carlson, Desiree	Desiree Carlson, individually	N.D. Ohio
372.	Company, Inc.;	14715	WIDE	Carison, Desiree	and as next friend and	1:19-op-45487
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Baby J.S., on	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				behalf of themselves and all	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				others similarly situated v.	2001
	Richard S. Sackler;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
573.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Chancey, Musette	Musette Chancey,	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				individually and as next	1:19-op-45533
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				friend and guardian of Babies	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				D.C.1. and D.C.2. v. Purdue	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 243 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
574.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Cherry, Angela	Angela Cherry, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby Z.C.T., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45490 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
575.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	MDL	Cleveland Bakers and Teamsters Health and Welfare Fund and Pipe Fitters Local Union No. 120 Insurance Fund	Cleveland Bakers and Teamsters Health and Welfare Fund and Pipe Fitters Local Union No. 120 Insurance Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.,	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45432 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
576.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Collier, Jessica	Jessica Collier, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.P. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45506 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 244 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
577.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Cruz, Gloria	Gloria Cruz, individually and	N.D. Ohio
311.	Company, Inc.;	IVAS	WIDL	Cruz, Gioria	as next friend and guardian of	1:19-op-45466
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				Baby C.E.L., on behalf of	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				themselves and all others	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				similarly situated v. Purdue	2001
	Richard S. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				1 11011110 211 1, 00 011	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
578.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Delancey, Christina	Christina Delancey,	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				individually and as next	1:19-op-45480
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				friend and guardian of Baby	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				A.J.W., on behalf of	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				themselves and all others	
	Richard S. Sackler;				similarly situated v. Purdue	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 245 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
579.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	DeMaro, Samantha	Samantha DeMaro, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby J.W.L.B., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45465 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
580.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Dixon, Deborah	Deborah Dixon, as next friend and guardian of baby S.E.T. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45511 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
581.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	NAS	MDL	Doe, John	John Doe, by and through Jane Doe, his parent and natural guardian, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46318 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 246 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
582.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Doyle, Erin	Erin Doyle, individually and as Mother and Custodian of Baby D.F., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Actavis LLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46327 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
583.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc., Rhodes Technologies Inc., Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc., The P.F. Laboratories Inc., Richard S. Sackler, Jonathan D. Sackler, Mortimer D.A. Sackler, Kathe A. Sackler, Ilene Sackler Lefcourt, Beverly Sackler, Theresa Sackler, David A. Sackler, Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	MDL	Drywall Tapers Insurance Fund	Drywall Tapers Insurance Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45810 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 247 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
584.		NAS	MDL	Ellis, Esperenza	Esperenza Ellis, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby S.O.D., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45464 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
585.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Flach, Brittany	Brittany Flach, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies A.B. and G.B., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45488 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 248 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
586.		NAS	MDL	Flanagan, Darren and Elena	Darren and Elena Flanagan, individually and as adoptive parents and next friends of Baby K.L.F., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45405 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
587.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Gauthier, Krista; Sawyers, Angela; Springborn, Jessica	Krista Gauthier, Angela Sawyers, and Jessica Springborn, individually and as next friends and guardians of Babies D.L.D., M.A.S., and N.S., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45478 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 249 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
588.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Gauthier, Mechelle	Mechelle Gauthier, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby B.L. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45514 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
589.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Gibson, Amanda	Amanda Gibson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby B.A. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45515 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 250 of 283

			-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
590.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Gilson, Jamiee	Jamiee Gilson, as next friend	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				and guardian of Baby M.M.D.	1:19-op-45461
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;					2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
591.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Goforth, Rebecca	Rebecca Goforth, individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				and as next friend and	1:19-op-45532
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Babies A.S. and	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				N.S. v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				et al.	
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 251 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
592.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Goldman, Jenni	Jenni Goldman, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies J.K.W. and M.J.R. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45516 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
593.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Goss, Heather	Heather Goss, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies C.B. and V.B. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45518 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
594.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Wrongful Death	Mississippi	Greer, Julia	Julia Greer, individually and as next friend and on behalf of all wrongful death beneficiaries of Rose Carr, deceased v. Charles Elliott, M.D., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-46117 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 252 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
595.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Hamawi, Marijha;	Marijha Hamawi, individually	N.D. Ohio
393.	Company, Inc.;	INAS	MIDL	Lara, Meghan	and as next friend and	1:19-op-45477
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;			Lara, Megnan	guardian of Babies K.L.H.	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				and N.A.W.; and Meghan	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				Lara, individually and as next	2004
	Richard S. Sackler;				friend and guardian of Babies	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				K.L.H. and N.A.W., on behalf	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				of themselves and all others	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				similarly situated v. Purdue	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Beverly Sackler;				Thama 2.1., et al.	
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
596.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Hampel, Jessica	Jessica Hampel, individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;			-	and as next friend and	1:19-op-45473
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Baby A.M.H., on	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				behalf of themselves and all	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				others similarly situated v.	
	Richard S. Sackler;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 253 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
597.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Herring, Courtney	Courtney Herring, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.T. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45519 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
598.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Howell, Reannan	Reannan Howell, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby N.J.D. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45520 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 254 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
599.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Hunt, Shannon	Shannon Hunt, Individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				and as Next Friend and	1:18-op-45681
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				Guardian of Minor S.J., and	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				on Behalf of All Others	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				Similarly Situated v. Purdue	
	Richard S. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
600.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Hutchins, Kiana	Kiana Hutchins, individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				and as next friend and	1:19-op-45505
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Baby T.E. v.	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 255 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
601.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Ivie, Billie	Billie Ivie, individually and as	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				next friend and guardian of	1:19-op-45489
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				Baby A.I., on behalf of	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				themselves and all others	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				similarly situated v. Purdue	
	Richard S. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
602.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Johnson, Aracya	Aracya Johnson, individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				and as next friend and	1:19-op-45521
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Baby R.H. v.	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 256 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
603.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Johnson, Jamie	Jamie Johnson, individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				and as next friend and	1:19-op-45504
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Babies K.D. and	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				J.D. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				al.	
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
604	Sackler Family	T. 1'' 11	MDI	IZ -1 1 A -1 1	A.' 1 IZ .1 1	N.D. OL'
604.	1 /	Individual	MDL	Kalayeh, Arjomand	Arjomand Kalayeh vs.	N.D. Ohio
	Inc.;				Allergan PLC, et al.	1:20-op-45262
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Insys Therapeutics					
	ins, s Therapeanes					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 257 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
605.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Kirk, Krystle	Krystle Kirk, individually and	N.D. Ohio
005.	Company, Inc.;	IVAS	WIDL	Kirk, Krystic	as next friend and guardian of	1:19-op-45509
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				Baby B.K. v. Purdue Pharma	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				L.P., et al.	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				E.i ., et al.	2004
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
606.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Kommer, Elizabeth	Elizabeth Kommer,	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				individually and as next	1:19-op-45522
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				friend and guardian of Baby	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				C.K. v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				et al.	
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 258 of 283

	Deleted Douties	Action Tyme	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Count/Cose Number
607.	Related Parties	Action Type Third Party	State MDL	(Last, First) Laborers Local 1298 of	Case Caption Laborers Local 1298 of	Court/Case Number N.D. Ohio
607.	The Purdue Frederick Company	•	MDL	Nassau & Suffolk	Nassau & Suffolk Counties	
	Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;	Payor		Counties Welfare Fund	Welfare Fund v. Purdue	1:19-op-45813 Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;			Counties Welfare Fulld	Pharma L.P., et al.	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;				I naima L.i ., et al.	2804
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker					
608.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Third Party	MDL	Local 8A-28A Welfare	Local 8A-28A Welfare Fund	N.D. Ohio
	Inc.,	Payor		Fund	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-op-45809
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.,					Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.,					2804
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.,					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 259 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
609.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Lechuga, Niola	Niola Lechuga, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies Q.H.L. and A.G.L., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45468 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
610.		NAS	MDL	Lively, Carol	Carol Lively, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby L.L. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45523 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 260 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
611.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Lyle, Alyssa	Alyssa Lyle, individually and	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				as next friend and guardian of	1:19-op-45524
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				Baby A.W. v. Purdue Pharma	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				L.P., et al.	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
612.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Martin, Kimberly	Kimberly Martin, individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				and as next friend and	1:19-op-45510
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Baby A.M. v.	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 261 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
613.		NAS	MDL	Martin, Penny	Penny Martin, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby D.M. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45508 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
614.	4	NAS	MDL	Martinez, Jacquelynn	Jacquelynn Martinez, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby J.M., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45484 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 262 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
615.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Massey, Melanie	Melanie Massey, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies S.L.M. and K.D.R. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45525 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
616.	-	Third Party Payor	Massachusetts	Mayflower Municipal Health Group	Mayflower Municipal Health Group v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45897 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 263 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
617.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	McAnany, Samantha	Samantha McAnany, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.L.M. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45526 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
618.	•	NAS	MDL	Means, Corey	Corey Means, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby E.D.J., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45470 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 264 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
619.		NAS	MDL	Meinecke, Kjellsi	Kjellsi Meinecke,	N.D. Ohio
019.	Company, Inc.;	INAS	MIDL	Wieniecke, Kjensi	individually and as next	1:19-op-45493
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				friend and guardian of Baby	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				J.B., on behalf of themselves	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				and all others similarly	2004
	Richard S. Sackler;				situated v. Purdue Pharma	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				L.P., et al.	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				D.1 ., et al.	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
620.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Moore, Bobbie Lou	Bobbie Lou Moore,	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				individually and as next	1:18-op-46305
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				friend and guardian of minor	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				of minor R.R.C., on behalf of	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				themselves and all others	
	Richard S. Sackler;				similarly situated v. Purdue	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 265 of 283

			~	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	~ ~ .	
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
621.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Muffley, Amanda	Amanda Muffley,	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				individually and as next	1:19-op-45507
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				friend and guardian of Baby	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				M.S. v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				et al.	
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
622.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Ortiz, Maria	Maria Ortiz, individually and	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				as next friend and guardian of	1:19-op-45492
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				Baby A.O., on behalf of	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				themselves and all others	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				similarly situated v. Purdue	
	Richard S. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 266 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
623.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	MDL	Painting Industry Insurance Fund	Painting Industry Insurance Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45793 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
624.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Patterson, Gena	Gena Patterson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby F.P. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45534 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 267 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
625.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Paul, Chloe	Chloe Paul, individually and	N.D. Ohio
023.	Company, Inc.;	IVAS	WIDL	Taul, Chioc	as next friend and guardian of	1:19-op-45467
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				Baby A.R.P., on behalf of	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				themselves and all others	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				similarly situated v. Purdue	2004
	Richard S. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				Thama 2.1., et al.	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
626.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Perkins, Jessica	Jessica Perkins, individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				and as next friend and	1:19-op-45535
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Babies P.A. and	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				R.A. v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				et al.	
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 268 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
627.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Peterson, Sally	Sally Peterson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby E.A.P., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45472 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
628.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Puckett, Heather	Heather Puckett, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby C.M.B. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45539 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 269 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
629.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Rees, Deric; Rees, Ceonda	Deric Rees and Ceonda Rees, individually and as next friend and guardian of baby T.W.B. on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. McKesson Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45252 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
630.		NAS	MDL	Richardson, Waikeisha	Waikeisha Richardson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies E.M.1. and E.M.2. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45538 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
631.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	NAS	MDL	Riling, Andrew G.; Riling, Beverly	Andrew G. Riling and Beverly Riling, as next friends of A.P. Riling, a minor under the age of 18 v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45056 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 270 of 283

	D. 1. 1. 1. 1.		G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
632.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Roach, Tyler M.	Tyler M. Roach, natural Tutor	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				on behalf of his minor child,	1:18-op-45662
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				Baby K.E.R., and as class	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				representative for all Neonatal	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				Abstinence Syndrome	
	Richard S. Sackler;				afflicted babies born in	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				Louisiana v. McKesson	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				Corp., et al.	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
633.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Rodriguez, Jessica	Jessica Rodriguez,	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				individually and as next	1:19-op-45463
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				friend and guardian of Baby	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				M.A.P., on behalf of	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				themselves and all others	
	Richard S. Sackler;				similarly situated v. Purdue	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 271 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
634.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	NAS NAS	MDL	Salmons, Walter; Salmons, Virginia	Walter and Virginia Salmons, individually and as the next friend or guardian of Minor W.D. and on behalf of all other similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45268 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
635.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Scully, Jenny	Jenny Scully, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby I.S. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45544 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
636.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	NAS	MDL	Shaffer, Jodi	Jodi Shaffer, individually and as next friend and guardian of minor R.C., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46302 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 272 of 283

	D. I. I. I. I.		G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		G VG V
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
637.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Shepard, Amy	Amy Shepard, individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				and as next friend and	1:19-op-45536
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Baby E.S. v.	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
638.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Shewmake, Shilo	Shilo Shewmake, individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				and as next friends and	1:19-op-45482
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardians of Babies L.G.,	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				A.S., and J.S., on behalf of	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				themselves and all others	
	Richard S. Sackler;				similarly situated v. Purdue	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 273 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
639.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Shockley, Kayla	Kayla Shockley, individually	N.D. Ohio
037.	Company, Inc.;	INAS	WIDL	Shockiey, Kayla	and as next friend and	1:19-op-45527
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Baby M.G.L. v.	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				Tarac Thaina E.T., et al.	2001
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
640.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Simonson, Alicia	Alicia Simonson, individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				and as next friend and	1:19-op-45479
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Baby M.S., on	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				behalf of themselves and all	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				others similarly situated v.	
	Richard S. Sackler;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 274 of 283

	D. 1. 1D. d		G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		G VG V
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
641.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Stewart, Wendy	Wendy Stewart, individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				and as next friend and	1:19-op-45481
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Baby K.J.C., on	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				behalf of themselves and all	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				others similarly situated v.	
	Richard S. Sackler;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
642.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Taylor, Jessica	Jessica Taylor, individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				and as next friend and	1:19-op-45528
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Baby D.S. v.	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 275 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
643.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Taylor, Lori	Lori Taylor, individually and	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				as next friend and guardian of	1:19-op-45529
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				Baby M.T. v. Purdue Pharma	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				L.P., et al.	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
644.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Thomas, Jennifer	Jennifer Thomas, individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				and as next friend and	1:19-op-45542
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Baby A.S. v.	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 276 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
645.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Tindall, Nichole	Nichole Tindall, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby L.M. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45530 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
646.	4	NAS	MDL	Tuttle, Nicole	Nicole Tuttle, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.T., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45476 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 277 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
647.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Underwood, Taylor Brooke	Taylor Brooke Underwood, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby C.U. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45537 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
648.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	VonCannon, Caroline	Caroline VonCannon, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies C.W. and S.W. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45540 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
649.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	NAS	MDL	W.E., by and through her guardian and next friend, Pamela Osborne	W.E., by and through her guardian and next friend, Pamela Osborne, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46347 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 278 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
650.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Warren, Desirae	Desirae Warren, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.W., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45486 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
651.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Watson, Paula	Paula Watson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby D.M. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45545 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 279 of 283

			_	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
652.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of	NAS	MDL	Weatherwax, Quincy	Quincy Weatherwax, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby L.W., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45483 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
653.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Whitley, Roxie; Denson, Chris; Denson, Diane; Holland, James; Holland, Teri	Roxie Whitley, individually and as next friend of Baby Z.B.D.; Chris and Diane Denson, individually and as next friends of Baby L.D.L; and James and Teri Holland, individually and as next friends of Babby A.C.H, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45598 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 280 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
654.		NAS	MDL	Whittaker, Shelley	Shelley Whittaker, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies E.W., G.L.O., and N.S.G., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45475 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
655.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Whittington, Katherine	Katherine Whittington, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies S.W. and A.W. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45541 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 281 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
656.		NAS	MDL	Williams, Farrah	Farrah Williams, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.W., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45485 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
657.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Wood, Rachel	Rachel Wood, Individually and as Next Friend and Guardian of Minor O.W., and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45264 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 282 of 283

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
658.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Wright, Naomi	Naomi Wright, individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				and as next friend and	1:19-op-45543
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Baby M.W. v.	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
<u>MDI</u>	L Appeals					
659.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Class Action	MDL	Hanlon, Amanda;	Amanda Hanlon, et al. v.	6th Cir.
	Inc.			Gardner, Amy	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	19-3398

19-23649-shl Doc 2897 Filed 05/21/21 Entered 05/21/21 14:03:57 Main Document Pg 283 of 283